## CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

PETITION INFORMATION						
Docket #	W-3492					
Staff	Gary Roberts, Jr.					
<b>Petitioner</b> (s)	Johanne Mary Mitchell					
Owner(s)	Same					
<b>Subject Property</b>	PIN 6825-78-63	06				
Address	461 West End B					
Type of Request	City Council Special Use Permit for a parking exemption for a					
	,	Restaurant (without drive-through service) in Growth Management Area				
	(GMA) 2.					
	NOTE A LC C LLIL B LL LL					
	<b>NOTE:</b> Approval of a Special Use Permit is contingent upon an					
		affirmative finding of all Findings of Fact as outlined in the Other				
	Applicable Plans and Planning Issues section below.  GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location						
Jurisdiction	Northwest side of West End Boulevard, across from Summit Street Winston-Salem					
Ward(s)	Northwest					
Site Acreage	± .05 acre					
Current	± .05 acre					
Land Use	The subject property is currently unoccupied.					
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning Di	strict	Use		
<b>Property Zoning</b>	Northeast	PB		West End Cabinet Company		
and Use	East	PB-HO	)	Single-family home		
	South	PB		Contempo Furniture		
	West	GB-L		Business uses		
Physical				acent to Peters Creek and a		
Characteristics		majority of the site is located within the regulatory floodplain.				
Proximity to	The building has access to public water from West End Boulevard and					
Water and Sewer	public sewer to t					
Stormwater/	There are no known stormwater or drainage issues at the site.					
Drainage						
Watershed and	The site is located across the street from the West End Historic Overlay					
Overlay Districts	District (HO). The site is not located in a watershed.					
Analysis of General Site	The developable area of the site is impacted by the Peters Creek					
Information	floodplain.					
SITE ACCESS AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION						
Street Name	Classification	Frontage	ADT	Capacity/LOS D		
West End	Minor		Count			
Boulevard		20 feet	13,100	13,800		
				N/A		
Officialism private access cascilism   20 feet   IV/A   IV/A				1 <b>V</b> / <i>F</i> <b>\</b>		

<b>Proposed Access</b>	The site has frontage along West End Boulevard and is served by a				
Point(s)	private access easement to the rear of the site.				
Trip Generation -	Existing trip generation cannot be determined because the building is				
Existing/Proposed	currently unoccupied.				
	<u>Proposed</u> :				
	$1,773$ square feet/ $1,000 \times 127.15$ (high-turnover restaurant) = 225 trips				
C! J II	per day				
Sidewalks Transit	Sidewalks are located on both sides of West End Boulevard.				
Analysis of Site	WSTA Route 109 runs along Reynolda Road and West End Boulevard.				
Analysis of Site Access and	The site is accessible using a variety of transportation modes. While				
Transportation	there is no off-street parking on-site, on-street parking is available near				
Information	the site.				
	E PLAN COMPLIANCE WITH UDO REQUIREMENTS				
Building	Square Footage	Placement on Site			
Square Footage	1,773	The building occupies a majority of the			
	1,775	site.			
Parking	Required	Existing			
	18 spaces	None			
<b>Building Height</b>	Maximum	Existing			
	60	Two/three stories			
Impervious	Maximum	Proposed			
Coverage	Unlimited	100 percent			
UDO Sections	• Section 3.2.13E: Special Use Permit by Elected Body				
Relevant to Subject Request	• Section 6.1.1C: Changes in Use				
Subject Request		king for Nonresidential Structures Built Prior			
	to March 7, 1988				
	, ,	year and Elandryay Eringa Dagylations			
Complies with	Section 8.1: Floody	way and Floodway Fringe Regulations			
Complies with	, ,	way and Floodway Fringe Regulations Yes			
Complies with Section 3.2.11	Section 8.1: Floody				
_	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floods</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision</li> </ul>	Yes			
_	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floods</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations</li> </ul>	Yes Yes N/A			
Section 3.2.11	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floods</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations The request would re-use to</li> </ul>	Yes Yes			
Section 3.2.11  Analysis of Site	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floody</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations</li> <li>The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proservice), it is not eligible for the service of the prosection of the service of the prosection of the prosection of the prosection of the proposed.</li> </ul>	Yes Yes N/A he existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to			
Section 3.2.11  Analysis of Site Plan Compliance	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floody</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proposed, it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1</li> </ul>	Yes Yes N/A he existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to and 2. The site is very tight and has no off-			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floody</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations</li> <li>The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proservice), it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1 street parking. Otherwise,</li> </ul>	Yes Yes N/A he existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floody</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proposed, it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1</li> </ul>	Yes Yes N/A he existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to and 2. The site is very tight and has no off-			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	<ul> <li>Section 8.1: Floody</li> <li>(A) Legacy policies:</li> <li>(B) Environmental Ord.</li> <li>(C) Subdivision Regulations The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proposed, it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1 street parking. Otherwise, requirements.</li> </ul>	Yes  Yes  N/A  the existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to and 2. The site is very tight and has no off-the site is compliant with all UDO			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 8.1: Floody  (A) Legacy policies:  (B) Environmental Ord.  (C) Subdivision Regulations  The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proservice), it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1 street parking. Otherwise, requirements.  A Restaurant (without drivents)	Yes  Yes  N/A  he existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to and 2. The site is very tight and has no off-the site is compliant with all UDO  re-through service) at this location would be			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 8.1: Floody  (A) Legacy policies:  (B) Environmental Ord.  (C) Subdivision Regulations  The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proposed. Because the proposervice), it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1 street parking. Otherwise, requirements.  A Restaurant (without driving required to provide 18 space)	Yes  Yes  N/A  The existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to and 2. The site is very tight and has no off-the site is compliant with all UDO  Te-through service) at this location would be ces, not accounting for all available			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 8.1: Floody  (A) Legacy policies:  (B) Environmental Ord.  (C) Subdivision Regulations  The request would re-use to proposed. Because the proservice), it is not eligible for changes of use in GMAs 1 street parking. Otherwise, requirements.  A Restaurant (without driver required to provide 18 space reductions. (With reductions)	Yes  Yes  N/A  he existing building with no expansion posed use is Restaurant (without drive-through or the parking exemption pertaining to and 2. The site is very tight and has no off-the site is compliant with all UDO  re-through service) at this location would be			

W-3492 Staff Report 2 August 2021

CONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES					
Legacy 2030 Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 2 – Urban Neighborhoods				
Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	<ul> <li>Require sufficient, but not excessive parking, while protecting adjacent land uses, surrounding neighborhoods, and the environment. Provide incentives to reduce on-site parking, promote active forms of transportation, and minimize environmental impacts.</li> <li>Encourage attractive parking design and more efficient use of parking.</li> <li>Consider minimum and maximum on-site parking requirements, shared and on-street parking incentives and approvals, fee-in-lieu of construction options, parking lot design and connectivity, neighborhood compatibility and new technologies to reduce stormwater and other environmental impacts.</li> </ul>				
Relevant Area Plan(s)	South Central Winston-Salem Area Plan Update (2014)				
Area Plan Recommendations	The area plan recommends commercial uses for the site.				
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.				
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is located within the West End Activity Center.				
Other Applicable Plans and Planning Issues	The City Council shall issue a special use permit only when it makes an affirmative finding as follows ( <i>Planning staff comments in italics</i> ):				
g	City Council Findings:				
	<ol> <li>That the use will not materially endanger the public health or safety if located where proposed and developed according to the application and plan as submitted and approved.</li> <li>The proposed use, Restaurant (without drive-through service), is not a threat to public health or safety. There are numerous other restaurants within the West End activity center. Further, no expansion to the existing building is proposed.</li> <li>That the use meets all required conditions and specifications.</li> <li>To the extent possible, the site is compliant with all UDO requirements. This permit is necessary because the proposed use</li> </ol>				
	makes the site ineligible for an exemption granted to other older nonresidential buildings in the same area. The site does not have access to off-street parking.				

W-3492 Staff Report 3 August 2021

3. That the use will not substantially injure the value of adjoining or abutting property, or that the use is a public necessity; and,

Planning staff does not have the expertise to make property value determinations. However, as mentioned previously, there are numerous other restaurants in close proximity.

4. That the location and character of the use, if developed according to the application and plan submitted and approved, will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general conformity with *Legacy 2030*.

The site is located within an activity center, where compact, pedestrian-oriented development is encouraged. Activity centers are intended to provide needed services within walking distance of residential areas. Additionally, the area plan specifically recommends strengthening the existing commercial areas in this activity center (through public and private investment) by incorporating more mixed-use development. The proposed use is compatible with many other uses in the immediate vicinity and fits well within the recommendations of the area plan.

The activity center is characterized by its pedestrian-friendly design, with ample on-street parking which facilitates traffic calming. Including back-in parking on Northwest Boulevard, the area can accommodate approximately 158 on-street parking spaces. Most of the uses in the activity center offset the on-street parking with well-located off-street parking that is not visible from Reynolda Road or West End Boulevard. Considering the presence of nearby transit and on-street parking, the ability of nearby residents to walk to this location, and the high-turnover nature of the use, staff believes the request is in keeping with its surroundings and in general conformity with Legacy.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION					
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal				
The proposed use strengthens the West End activity center by redeveloping an existing business location.  Ample on-street parking is available along many of the streets in this activity center (Reynolda Road, Northwest Boulevard, West End Boulevard, Summit Street, Canal Drive).  The proposed use does not detract from the	Patrons utilizing on-street parking may take up spaces in nearby residential areas.				
pedestrian-friendly character of the area by adding an off-street parking lot.					

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Approval

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3492 AUGUST 12, 2021

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

George recommended that a parking study be conducted before voting on W-3492 and stated that this was not downtown Winston-Salem, where there are parking decks, and he does not want to see a decision made that would hurt retail that has been in place for a long time in that area. Jason stated that the Board is being asked to make a very limited finding as to whether, except for the conditions requiring the Special Use Permit, the site plan complies with the UDO. Desmond explained that, when one applies for a Special Use Permit for parking reduction, the reason they would be applying for the Special Use Permit isn't necessarily taken into account when the Board considers whether the plan meets UDO requirements.

### **PUBLIC HEARING**

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

#### **WORK SESSION**

MOTION: Clarence Lambe moved to certify the site plan meeting UDO requirements.

SECOND: Jack Steelman

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

\_\_\_\_\_

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services