## CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

g Map for the ial Use) to r is requesting
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ial Use) <u>to</u> r is requesting l Shelter,
ors, General; re, Drop-In; nurch or odge; College re; Funeral Government fice; Habilitation Motel; el, Indoor; ery or Micro- Motor Vehicle, useum or Art re, Retail; lay Retail; lice or Fire Services, at (with drive- ugh service); g; Services, A; enter; Storage and Research an ehousing; ild Care y Care Center;

Neighborhood	A	241	1 44 1 . 1		
Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.				
Zoning District Purpose Statement	The GB District is primarily intended to accommodate a wide range of retail, service, office, and high-density residential uses located along thoroughfares in areas which have developed with minimal				
	front setbacks. The district is not intended to encourage or				
	accommodate strip commercial development. The district would accommodate destination retail and service uses, characterized by				
	either a larger single business use or the consolidation of numerous				
	uses in a building or planned development, with consolidated access.				
	This district is intended for application in GMAs 1, 2 and 3 and Metro				
Rezoning	Activity Centers.  Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the				
Consideration from	requested zoning district(s)?				
Section 3.2.15 A 13					
	Yes, the proposed uses and zoning are compatible with the commercial and industrial character of the area.				
Location	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION  North side of Old Walkertown Road, east of Davis Road				
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	Northeast				
Site Acreage	$\pm 0.96$ acres				
Current					
Land Use	Child Daycare Center				
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use		
Property Zoning	North	RS9	Vacant land		
and Use	South	LID C	Rail spur and storage lot		
	East	HB-S	Storage Services, Retail Restaurant (with drive-		
	West	HB	through service)		
Rezoning	Is/are the use	(s) permitted under the pr	,		
<b>Consideration from</b>	classification/request compatible with uses permitted on other				
Section 3.2.15 A 13	properties in	the vicinity?			
	Yes, development surrounding the subject property is intense and vehicle-oriented.				
Physical	The subject property is generally flat with a gentle downward slope to				
Characteristics	the north.				
Proximity to Water and Sewer	The site has direct access to public water and sewer services.				
Stormwater/	This request does not require consideration of the Stormwater				
Drainage	Management Ordinance. Any new or additional Stormwater requirements would be determined during permitting.				
Watershed and	requirements v	vouid be determined during	g permitting.		
Overlay Districts	The site is not located within any watersheds or overlay districts.				
J					

W-3490 Staff Report 2 August 2021

	of General	v i i v				
Site Information		development. The fully developed site has no anticipated constraints				
due to topography, drainage, or regulated watersheds and floodplains.						
RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES						
Case	Request	Decision	Direction	Acreage		nmendation
	•	& Date	from Site	8	Staff	ССРВ
W-2025	HB & RS9 t HB-S	o Approved 1/2/1996	Current Site	0.96	Approval	Approval
W-2034	RS9 to HB-	S Approved 2/19/1996	Adjacent property due east	4.22	Approval	Approval
W-3335	RS9 & HB t HB	o Approved 10/2/2017	Adjacent property due west	1.12	Approval	Approval
	SITE A	CCESS AND TI	RANSPORTA	TION INFO	ORMATIO	N
Stree	et Name	Classification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	Capac	city at Level of Service D
	alkertown Road	Major Thoroughfare	146 feet	9,100		15,300
	Proposed Access Because this is a Limited Use request without a site plan, proposed				lan, proposed	
Point(s)		access points are unknown. However, the existing site has a single access point from Old Walkertown Road.				
Planned Improve		There is no site plan associated with this request, so no improvements are required. The petitioner has not proposed any improvements with this request.				
Trip Gen	eration -	Because this site is not associated with an approved development				evelopment
	Proposed	plan, and one has not been submitted with this request, no existing or				
Sidewalk	c	proposed trip generation can be calculated.  There is currently no sidewalk along the site's road frontage;			ontage:	
however, new development would		_		_		
		along the entire	1	30 roqu		Jozef Hallo
Transit		WSTA Routes 96 and 98 stop approximately one mile south of the				e south of the
	site on Oak Ridge Drive.					
Connecti	vity	There is potential for an internal connection to the west if the site is			st if the site is	
		redeveloped and/or a parking expansion occurs.				
Transpor	rtation	_				
Impact A (TIA)	analysis	No TIA was required with this request.				
Analysis	of Site	The site is situa	ated along Old V	Walkertown	Road, a ma	ajor
Access ar	nd	thoroughfare. Future development would require the installation of				
Transpor		sidewalks along the Old Walkertown Road frontage. Any				
Informat	ion	redevelopment of the site or parking expansion would necessitate				
		internal cross-connections with the adjacent commercial prope			ial properties.	

CON	FORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES		
Legacy 2030 Growth	GMA 3 (Suburban Neighborhoods)		
Management Area Relevant	Recycle and reuse land and buildings.		
Legacy 2030	<ul> <li>Encourage redevelopment and reuse of existing sites and</li> </ul>		
Recommendations	buildings that is compatible and complementary with the		
Dalamant Amas	surrounding area.		
Relevant Area Plan(s)	Northeast Suburban Area Plan Update (2017)		
Area Plan	<ul> <li>The property is shown on the proposed land use map for commercial use.</li> <li>The property is within the boundaries of an area with Special Land Use Conditions. Recommendations include:</li> </ul> Expansion of nonresidential zoning beyond the		
Recommendations			
	existing boundaries of this site onto adjacent parcels is not recommended.		
Site Located Along	The site is not located along a growth corridor.		
Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.		
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an Activity Center.		
Comprehensive	The Comprehensive Transportation Plan indicates that this section of		
Transportation Plan Information	Old Walkertown Road is planned to be a three-lane facility with a center turn lane and sidewalks on either side of the road.		
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the petition?		
<b>Consideration from</b>			
~			
Section 3.2.15 A 13	No		
Section 3.2.15 A 13	No Is the requested action in conformance with Legacy 2030?		
Section 3.2.15 A 13			
Analysis of	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to		
Analysis of	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement reduction to accommodate existing parking, and allows greater		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement reduction to accommodate existing parking, and allows greater flexibility if the site were to be redeveloped.		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement reduction to accommodate existing parking, and allows greater flexibility if the site were to be redeveloped.  The developed site is situated along a major thoroughfare. The surrounding properties along Old Walkertown Road also contain		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement reduction to accommodate existing parking, and allows greater flexibility if the site were to be redeveloped.  The developed site is situated along a major thoroughfare. The		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?  Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement reduction to accommodate existing parking, and allows greater flexibility if the site were to be redeveloped.  The developed site is situated along a major thoroughfare. The surrounding properties along Old Walkertown Road also contain		
Analysis of Conformity to Plans	Yes  The request is to rezone commercial property from a district that places emphasis on vehicular traffic and business visibility (HB) to one whose focus is accommodating a wide range of businesses in developed areas (GB). The intent of the GB district is more similar to the current use and form of the site, allows for a parking requirement reduction to accommodate existing parking, and allows greater flexibility if the site were to be redeveloped.  The developed site is situated along a major thoroughfare. The surrounding properties along Old Walkertown Road also contain developed commercial properties.		

boundary. This request does not propose to geographically expand commercial zoning or development.

This request would expand the allowed commercial uses on the site. The expansion of uses would allow for greater flexibility in adaptive reuse of the existing commercial building or appropriate redevelopment. Staff is also recommending a monument signage condition be carried forward to improve the aesthetic impact of this site.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The request is consistent with the				
recommendations of the Area Plan and				
Legacy 2030.				
The request is consistent with the pattern of	Several of the proposed uses could potentially			
development and use types in the general	increase the amount of traffic on Old			
vicinity.	Walkertown Road.			
The request allows greater flexibility in				
using the already developed commercial				
site.				

### SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

#### • OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

a. Freestanding signage shall be limited to one monument sign with a maximum height of six (6) feet and a maximum copy area of thirty-six (36) square feet.

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval**

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.** 

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3490 AUGUST 12, 2021

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING**

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

#### **WORK SESSION**

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning petition.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

\_\_\_\_\_

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services