## CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION		
Docket	W-3487			
Staff	Gary Roberts, J	<u>r.</u>		
Petitioner(s)	Housing Authority of City of Winston-Salem			
Owner(s)	Same			
Subject Property	PIN 6836-50-9795			
Address	1125 Highland Avenue			
Type of Request	Site Plan Amendment for property zoned RMU-S (W-3324)			
Proposal	The petitioner is proposing to amend the previously approved site plan			
	by replacing a 120-unit apartment building proposal with multiple			
	apartment build	apartment buildings containing 81 units.		
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.			
	GENER	AL SITE INFORMATIO	N	
Location	-	shland Avenue between Eas	st Twelfth Street and East	
	Eleventh Street			
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem			
Ward(s)	East			
Site Acreage	± 4.47 acres			
Current		perty was historically the si	te of Brown Elementary	
Land Use		lding is vacant now.		
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use	
Property Zoning	North	RS9	Single-family homes	
and Use	East	RM18	Two-story apartment buildings	
	South	RM18	Best Choice Center/YWCA	
	West	GO-L and RS9	Church and institutional parking	
Physical		veloped site has a gentle slo	ope downward toward the	
Characteristics	northeast and in	cludes some mature canop	y trees.	
Proximity to			meath Highland Avenue, East	
Water and Sewer		and East Eleventh Street. A	1	
			to East Eleventh Street and	
	East Twelfth St			
Stormwater/		off will be managed by mu	1 0	
Drainage	measures designed to meet the applicable standards of the City's post- construction stormwater management ordinance. A stormwater			
Watanahadard	management stu	ady will be required prior to	) perinitting.	
Watershed and Overlay Districts	The site is not l	ocated within a water suppl	y watershed.	

Analysis General S Informat	Site	The developed site is located within an urban setting and has street frontage along multiple blocks. Currently located on the site are the remains of the former Brown Elementary School, which was damaged by fire in 2016. The site is also traversed by a public water line. The site has no apparent natural constraints such as steep slopes, water supply watersheds, or designated floodplains. <b>RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES</b>						
		_	Decision	Direction			imendation	
Case	Reque	st	& Date	e from Site	Acreage	Staff	ССРВ	
W-3324	GO-L 1 RMU-	S	Approved 4/3/2017	Subject property	4.47	Approval	Approval	
W-3037	-3037 RM12-S to GO-L		Approved 7/6/2009	Included the current site	5.17	Approval	Approval	
	SITE	ACC	ESS AND T	RANSPORTAT	<b>FION INF(</b>	<b>DRMATIO</b>	N	
Street	Name	Classification		Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	Capaci	Capacity at Level of Service D	
Highland	l Avenue	Collector Street		450 feet	N/A		N/A	
East Twe	lfth Street	Collector Street		465 feet	N/A		N/A	
East El Str	leventh reet	Local Street     402 feet     N/A		N/A				
Proposed Point(s)	Access	Two vehicular access points are proposed from Highland Avenue, as well as one from East Twelfth Street on the eastern portion of the site. The site will also be served by a southern extension of Dublin Drive that will connect with East Eleventh Street.				on of the site.		
Trip Gen	eration -		ing Zoning:					
Existing/	Proposed	120 u	units x 6.65	(apartment trip ra	ate) = 798 tr	rips per day		
		Duenesed Site Dien Amendment						
		Proposed Site Plan Amendment 81 units x 6.65 (apartment trip rate) = 539 trips per day						
Sidewalk	s			cated along both			eets. Where	
				easible, the site		-		
Tronait				the proposed bu			ose sidewalks.	
Transit Connecti	vitv			3 and 98 serve H oliments the exis	-		et network by	
Connecti	vity			Drive to provid	-		•	
			v	eet and East Tw				
Transpor Impact A (TIA)		A TIA is not required.						

Analysis of Site Access and Transportation Information	connected networ has very good ver of these streets. T	k of stree nicular an wo transit unit coun	ts which d pedest t lines a t, this re	n are lind trian acc lso serve equest w	aracterized by a well- ed with sidewalks. The site cess with frontage along three e Highland Avenue. yould result in less traffic than y approved plan.
SITE	PLAN COMPLIA	NCE W	ITH UL	OO RE(	QUIREMENTS
Building				Placement on Site	
Square Footage	$\pm$ 72,548 square feet			Fronting on existing and proposed streets	
Units (by type) and Density	81 dwelling units on 4.47 acres = 19 units per acre			= 19 units per acre	
Parking	Required	Pı	roposed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	81 spaces	13	2 spaces	8	90-degree head-in and parallel on-street
Building Height	Məvir	num			Proposed
Dunuing Height	<b>_</b>				Two and three stories
Impervious				Proposed	
Coverage	85 percent 66.4 percent				
UDO Sections Relevant to	<ul> <li>Section 4.5.15: RM-U Residential Multifamily District</li> <li>Section 5.2.71: Residential Building, Multifamily (use-specific</li> </ul>				
Subject Request	standards)				
Complies with	(A) Legacy 2030 po	licies:	Yes		
Section 3.2.11	(B) Environmental Ord. N/A				
	(C) Subdivision Regulations N/A				
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	The site plan illustrates multiple, two- and three-story apartment buildings. Each building contains between three and sixteen units.				
Requirements	The buildings front on public streets in compliance with the orientation requirement (primary entrances facing the street) for multifamily buildings within the Urban Neighborhoods Growth Management Area (GMA 2). A playground and picnic pavilion are shown in the northeastern section of the site.				
Legacy 2030					
Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 2 – Urban Neighborhoods				
Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	<ul> <li>Encourage higher development densities and mixed-use development within the serviceable land area.</li> <li>Facilitate land use patterns that offer a variety of housing choices.</li> <li>Promote quality design so that infill does not negatively impact surrounding development.</li> </ul>				
Relevant Area Plan(s)	East-Northeast A	rea Plan	Update	(2015)	

Area Plan Recommendations	• The proposed land use for the subject property is mixed-use.
	<ul> <li>The site is part of the <i>Cleveland Avenue Initiative Master Plan</i> (endorsed by CCPB in 2011). Relevant recommendations include:</li> <li>Continue creating pedestrian-friendly, mixed-use and mixed-income housing that is integrated with existing neighborhoods.</li> <li>Preserve and rehabilitate existing single-family neighborhoods</li> <li>Design an interconnected network of streets</li> <li>Provide public gathering places in conjunction with development.</li> </ul>
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an activity center.
Other Applicable Plans and Planning Issues	<ul> <li>The subject property is located within the Choice Neighborhoods Grant boundary. Choice Neighborhoods* is a HUD-funded program focused on three goals: <ol> <li>Housing</li> <li>Replacing distressed public and assisted housing with high-quality mixed-income housing that is well-managed and responsive to the needs of the surrounding neighborhood.</li> </ol> </li> <li>People Improving outcomes of households living in the target housing related to employment and income, health, and children's education. </li> <li>Neighborhood Creating the conditions necessary for public and private reinvestment in distressed neighborhoods to offer the kinds of amenities and assets, including safety, good schools, and commercial activity, that are important to families' choices about their community. </li> <li>* For additional information on the Choice Neighborhoods program, see Attachment A.</li> </ul>
Rezoning Consideration from Section	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the petition?
3.2.15 A 13	No Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?
	Yes
Analysis of	This Site Plan Amendment is for a 4.47-acre site that was zoned RMU-S
Conformity to	for 120 apartment units in 2017. The approved plan consisted of one
Plans and	four-story building with a density of $\pm 27$ dwelling units per acre.
Planning Issues	Four story building with a density of $\pm 27$ diverting units per dete.
	The proposed plan reduces the unit count to 81 and disperses the
	apartments among a series of smaller two- and three-story buildings.
	Considering the single-family homes across East Twelfth Street, this

	0 11	ore compatible from a building height and massing		
	perspective. Attractive	e building elevations are also included.		
	The East Northeast A	rag Plan Undate recommends mixed use		
		<i>rea Plan Update</i> recommends mixed-use ite along with other properties in the general area.		
	-	connected network of streets, access to transit and		
		eximity to various institutional uses, this site		
-		ble location for multifamily residential, which is a		
vital component of mi				
	The new buildings are	shown fronting on existing and proposed streets.		
		nent and complementary architecture are proposed		
	01	friendly neighborhood character. The Site Plan		
-		consistent with the previously noted redevelopment		
		he Cleveland Avenue Initiative.		
CON	CLUSIONS TO ASSI	ST WITH RECOMMENDATION		
Positive Aspe	ects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal		
The request would facilitate the				
<b>_</b>	<b>A</b>			
<b>_</b>	acilitate the			
The request would far redevelopment of a l school site as much-	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units.			
The request would faredevelopment of a last school site as much- The height and scale	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed			
The request would far redevelopment of a l school site as much- The height and scale buildings are more c	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the			
The request would faredevelopment of a last school site as much- The height and scale	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the			
The request would far redevelopment of a l school site as much- The height and scale buildings are more c	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the			
The request would faredevelopment of a laschool site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a laschool site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan.	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the			
The request would faredevelopment of a laschool site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan.	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic an and the site is well	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a last school site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan. The request would gathan the approved plan scale building scale scal	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic an and the site is well public streets,	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a lischool site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan. The request would gathan the approved plan served by multiple p	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic an and the site is well public streets, it.	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a lischool site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan. The request would gathan the approved plan served by multiple parts sidewalks, and trans	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic lan and the site is well public streets, it.	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a list school site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan. The request would gathan the approved plan served by multiple part sidewalks, and transformed to the request is consistent of the request is consistent.	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic an and the site is well public streets, it. stent with the <i>Legacy</i> , the <i>East</i> -	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a lischool site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan. The request would gathan the approved plan served by multiple part sidewalks, and transitions of the request is consist recommendations of the served by served by the request is consist recommendations of the request is consistent.	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic an and the site is well public streets, it. stent with the <i>Legacy</i> , the <i>East-</i> a, and the <i>Cleveland</i>	• The request may place additional redevelopment		
The request would faredevelopment of a list school site as much- The height and scale buildings are more cadjacent single-fami approved plan. The request would gethan the approved plan served by multiple proved by multiple	acilitate the long-vacated former needed housing units. e of the proposed compatible with the ly homes than the generate less traffic lan and the site is well public streets, it. stent with the <i>Legacy</i> , the <i>East-</i> <i>a</i> , and the <i>Cleveland</i> <i>aster Plan</i> .	• The request may place additional redevelopment		

established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

# • **PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMITS:**

a. If public funds are used, the developer shall obtain all necessary permits from the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) and the Division of Energy, Mineral, and Land Resources (DEMLR).

# • **PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:**

a. The developer shall have a stormwater management study submitted for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved. Relocation or installation of any stormwater treatment device into any buffer areas, vegetated areas designated to remain, or in close proximity to adjacent residentially zoned land shall require a Staff Change approval at minimum and may require a Site Plan Amendment. b. The developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of the driveway permits.

## • **PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:**

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

#### • **PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF OCCUPANCY PERMITS:**

- a. The developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permits.
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> <u>recommendations</u> and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.** 

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3487 AUGUST 12, 2021

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

Melynda Dunigan asked staff to explain the wheelchair icons on the site plan.

#### PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

#### WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

 MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the Site Plan Amendment.
 SECOND: Jason Grubbs
 VOTE:
 FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services