## CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETITION INFORMATION				
Docket	W-3457				
Staff	Gary Roberts, Jr., AICP				
Petitioner(s)	Windsor Holdings, LLC				
Owner(s)	Same				
Subject Property	PIN 6815-93-5621				
Address	526 South Stratford Road				
Type of Request	Special Use Limited rezoning from PB to GB-L				
Type of Request         Proposal	<ul> <li>The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property from PB (Pedestrian Business) to GB-L (General Business – Special Use Limited). The petitioner is requesting the following uses:</li> <li>Academic Biomedical Research Facility; Academic Medical Center; Access Easement, Private Off-Site; Adult Day Care Center; Arts and Crafts Studio; Banking and Financial Services; Bed and Breakfast; Boarding or Rooming House; Building Contractors, General; Child Care, Drop-In; Child Care Institution; Child Care, Sick Children; Child Day Care Center; Church or Religious Institution, Community; Church or Religious Institution, Neighborhood; Club or Lodge; College or University; Combined Use; Family Group Home B; Family Group Home C; Food or Drug Store; Funeral Home; Furniture and Home Furnishings Store; Government Offices, Neighborhood Organization, or Post Office; Group Care Facility A; Habilitation Facility A; Habilitation Facility B; Habilitation Facility C; Hospital or Health Center; Hotel or Motel; Institutional Vocational Training Facility; Life Care Community; Library, Public; Micro-Brewery or Micro-Distillery; Museum or Art Gallery; Nursing Care Institution; Offices; Park and Shuttle Lot; Parking, Commercial; Police or Fire Station; Recreation Facility, Public; Recreation Services, Indoor; Residential Building, Townhouse; Residential Building, Twin Home; Restaurant (without drive-through service); Retail Store;</li> </ul>				
	School, Private; School, Public; School, Vocational or Professional; Services, A; Services, B; Shelter for Homeless; Shopping Center; Shopping Center, Small: Special Events Center: Stadium, Coliseum				
	<ul> <li>Shopping Center, Small; Special Events Center; Stadium, Coliseum, or Exhibition Building; Storage Services, Retail; Swimming Pool, Private; Terminal, Bus or Taxi; Testing and Research Lab; Theater, Indoor; Transmission Tower; Urban Agriculture; Utilities; Veterinary Services; and Warehousing</li> </ul>				
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.				

Zoning District Purpose Statement Rezoning	The GB District is primarily intended to accommodate a wide range of retail, service, and office, and high density residential uses located along thoroughfares in areas which have developed with minimal front setbacks. The district is not intended to encourage or accommodate strip commercial development. The district would accommodate destination retail and service uses, characterized by either a larger single business use or the consolidation of numerous uses in a building or planned development, with consolidated access. This district is intended for application in GMAs 1, 2 and 3 and Metro Activity Centers <b>Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the</b>				
Consideration	requested zoni				
from Section 3.2.15 A 13	Yes. The site is located along a major thoroughfare within GMA 2, and it is nearly surrounded by GB and HB zoning.				
	GENER	AL SITE INFORMATIO	N		
Location		West side of South Stratford Road, south of Knollwood Street			
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	Southwest				
Site Acreage	± .54 acre				
Current Land Use	Windsor Jewelers is currently located on the site.				
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use		
<b>Property Zoning</b>	North	RS9	Single-family homes		
and Use	East	GB	Commercial parking for Stratford Oaks		
	South	HB	Multiple businesses across South Stratford Road		
	West	HB	Bank		
Rezoning Consideration	Is/are the use(s) permitted under the proposed classification/request compatible with uses permitted on other properties in the vicinity?				
from Section 3.2.15 A 13	The proposed list of uses is compatible with the broad mixture of uses permitted on the adjacent GB and HB properties and less compatible with the uses permitted on the adjacent RS9 properties.				
Physical Characteristics	The site is fully developed and generally flat.				
Proximity to Water and Sewer	Public water and sewer lines are located beneath South Stratford Road.				
Stormwater/ Drainage	No known issues.				
Watershed and Overlay Districts	The site is not located within a water supply watershed.				
Analysis of General Site Information	Existing conditions offer no constraints to the rezoning and potential redevelopment of this property.				

	RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES						
Case Reque		<b></b>	Decision &	Decision & Direction		Recon	nmendation
		st	Date	from Site	Acreage	Staff	ССРВ
W-2903 HB to I		ΡB	Approved		.54	Approval	Approval
11 2903			1/3/2007	property			
	SITE	ACC	ESS AND T	<b>CRANSPORT</b> A			DN
Street Name		Classification		Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	Capac	ity at Level of ervice D
Ro	South Stratford Road		oulevard	128 feet	22,000		38,100
Proposed	Access	Because this is a Special Use Limited request without a site plan or					
Point(s)				s, proposed acc			
				primary access			
Trip Gen				estimate the ex	kisting or pi	roposed trip g	generation
Existing/			use there is a		Stratford T	Pood	
Transit	5			ted along South			
Connecti	vitv	WSTA Route 103 runs along South Stratford Road. The rear parking area of the site connects to the adjacent properties to					
connecti	vity	the west and east.					
Analysis	of Site				th Stratford	Road, which	n is served by
Access ar		The site has frontage along South Stratford Road, which is served by transit and sidewalks. An inactive railroad runs along the opposite side					
Transpor		of South Stratford Road.					
Informat	ion						
		A City driveway permit will be required for any change of use or redevelopment of the site.					
				T the site. D PLANS AND	DI ANINII	NC ISSUES	
Legacy 20		nfu		J PLANS AND	PLAININII	NG ISSUES	
Growth Managen Area		Growth Management Area 2 - Urban Neighborhoods					
Relevant Legacy 20 Recommo	endations	<ul> <li>Promote well-designed development and redevelopment that creates a pleasing, healthy, livable, and sustainable community.</li> <li>Reduce the visual impact of large signs throughout the city and county.</li> <li>Ensure that the appearance of existing and new buildings, development patterns, and streetscapes contribute to the desired feel and identity of each town.</li> </ul>					
Relevant Plan(s)		Sout	hwest Winst	on-Salem Area	Plan Upda	te (2016)	
Area Plan Recomme	n endations	<ul> <li>The area plan recommends commercial uses for the site.</li> <li>Commercial areas should be compact with limited access to major thoroughfares and should not promote strip development.</li> </ul>					

3

Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is located along the South Stratford Road growth corridor, where urban form is recommended.			
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an activity center.			
Rezoning Consideration	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the petition?			
from Section	No			
3.2.15 A 13	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?			
	Yes			
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	In 2007, the site was rezoned from HB to PB to allow for greater setback flexibility and a parking reduction. The proposed uses for this request are the PB uses which are also allowed in the GB district, excluding some uses that may not be practical or compatible at this location. The GB district has a greater allowance for freestanding signage than the existing PB zoning. This request is consistent with the recommendation of the area plan and compatible with the existing commercial zonings in the general area.			
		T WITH RECOMMENDATION		
Positive Aspects of Proposal The site is nearly surrounded by GB and HB properties and fronts along a growth corridor. The proposed uses are only those allowed in both the existing and proposed districts, with some exclusions for practicality or		Negative Aspects of Proposal           Without a Special Use request and a site plan, there is no specificity as it pertains to site design.		
compatibility. The site is served by transit and sidewalks.				

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> <u>recommendations</u>, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY**.

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3457 NOVEMBER 12, 2020

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

Melynda asked if the petitioner would need to come into compliance with parking standards for the GB zoning district. Desmond stated that the parking standards were based on the size of the building, not the zoning district. Both districts allow for a 30 percent reduction in parking, so there would not be an issue whether it stayed PB or is changed to GB.

George asked staff if they felt there would be more rezoning cases in the future due to a desire for bigger signs, or if staff felt this was a one-time event. Desmond stated that he has not seen others come forward with the intent to rezone based on wanting larger signs. In this case, the surrounding commercial properties are similarly zoned and allow larger signs.

#### PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

### WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning petition. SECOND: Jason Grubbs VOTE: FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King Director of Planning and Development Services