CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD DRAFT STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION				
Docket	W-3455					
Staff	Gary Roberts, Jr., AICP					
Petitioner(s)		Wachovia Bank, N.A.				
Owner(s)	Same					
Subject Property	PIN 6817-82-35	532				
Address	100 Sunnynoll	100 Sunnynoll Court				
Type of Request	Site Plan Amendment for Services, A in a GO-S zoning district					
Proposal	The petitioner proposes to amend the previously approved site plan of W-3440 for changes related to secure access. The subject property is approved for Services, A (Zoning Docket W-2199).					
Continuance History	This request was automatically continued from the October 8, 2020 Planning Board meeting to the November 12 meeting.					
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.					
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location	Northwest corner of the intersection of Sunnynoll Court and Silas Creek					
	Parkway					
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem					
Ward(s)	North					
Site Acreage	\pm 8.65 acres					
Current	There is currently a two-story, 90,250-square foot data center on the site.					
Land Use	The facility is used as a data center (UDO classification Services, A).					
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use			
Property Zoning and Use	North	GB-S	Undeveloped property and a Sheetz convenience store			
	East	LO-L and RM12-S	Undeveloped property and multifamily residential			
	South	GO-S and RS9	Offices			
	West	RS9	Single-family homes			
Physical Characteristics	The developed site has variable topography along its boundaries.					
Proximity to Water and Sewer	A water main exists under Silas Creek Parkway and Sunnynoll Court, and a sewer line exists under Sunnynoll Court.					
Stormwater/	A post-construction stormwater management study and permit will be					
Drainage	required.					
Watershed and Overlay Districts	The site is not located within a water supply watershed.					
Analysis of	The site is developed with a large building and parking area. The site					
General Site	appears to have no development constraints such as steep slopes,					
Information	watersheds, or designated floodplains.					

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES								
Case Request		4	Decision &	Direction		Recommendation		
		St Date		from Site	Acreage	Staff	ССРВ	
W-3440	GO-S S Plan Amendm	Approved 7/6/2020		Current site	8.65	Approval	Approval	
W-3266	Multiple districts to GB-S, LO-L, and GB-L		Approved 7/20/2015	Directly north and east	27.23	Approval	Approval	
W-2898	GO-S S Plan Amendm		Approved 1/3/2007	Current site	8.45	Approval	Approval	
W-2199	GO-S a RS9 to G	O-S	Approved 1/5/1998	Current site	8.45	Approval	Approval	
	SITE	ACC	ESS AND T	RANSPORTA)N	
Street	Name	Classification		Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	Capac	Capacity at Level of Service D	
	Creek kway	Ex	xpressway 573 fee		34,000		49,000	
	oll Court	Lo	cal Street	625 feet	25 feet N/A		N/A	
Proposed				udes the addition of a second access from Sunnynoll				
Point(s)			Court.					
Planned Improve		The <i>Comprehensive Transportation Plan</i> recommends a four-lane cross section for Silas Creek Parkway with a grassed median and bike lanes, curb, gutter, and sidewalks on both sides.						
Trip Gen Existing/	eration - Proposed	Approved Site Plan Amendment: Because there is no multiplier for data centers, the multiplier for single- tenant office buildings is used: 90,250 sf / 1,000 x 11.57 = 1,044 trips per day Proposed Site Plan Amendment: 96,850 sf / 1,000 x 11.57 = 1,120 trips per day						
Sidewalk	S	96,850 sf / 1,000 x 11.57 = 1,120 trips per day There are sidewalks along Fairlawn Drive approximately 300 feet north.						
Transit		WSTA Routes 88, 99, and 109 serve Reynolda Road approximately 600						
		feet west.				F		
Analysis Access an Transpor Informat	nd rtation	Presently, the site has one gated access from Sunnynoll Court, which is used by service vehicles and employees. The proposal would add a second gated access from Sunnynoll Court at the southern end of the site for service vehicles. Sunnynoll Court provides access to other office buildings in the area and provides a beneficial linkage between Polo						
		Road and Silas Creek Parkway. Staff foresees no transportation-related issues associated with this request.					ortation-related	

W-3455 Staff Report 2 November 2020

SITE	PLAN COMPLIA	NCE W	ITH UDO RI	EQUIREMENTS		
Building	Square Footage			Placement on Site		
Square Footage	96,850		Nort	North central portion of the site		
Parking	Required	P	roposed	Layout		
	27 spaces	49	9 spaces	Three rows of angled parking		
Building Height	Maximu	n		Proposed		
	60 feet			Two stories		
Impervious	Maximui	m		Proposed		
Coverage	80 percent			53.7 percent		
UDO Sections						
Relevant to	Section 4.6.4: General Office District			trict		
Subject Request						
Complies with	(A) Legacy 2030 poli	icies:	Yes	Yes		
Section 3.2.11	(B) Environmental Ord.		N/A			
	(C) Subdivision Regulations		N/A			
Analysis of Site				00 square feet to the existing		
Plan Compliance	, ,			arking and circulation areas.		
with UDO	The site remains subject to the approval conditions for W-2199,					
Requirements	subsequent staff changes, and W-3440. ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES					
	ONFORMITY TO I	PLANS	AND PLANI	NING ISSUES		
Legacy 2030						
Growth	Growth Management Area 3 - Suburban Neighborhoods					
Management Area						
Relevant	Promote quality design so that infill does not pagetively impact					
Legacy 2030	 Promote quality design so that infill does not negatively impact surrounding development. 					
Recommendations	 Ensure appropriate transitional land uses or physical buffering 					
	between residential and nonresidential uses to maintain the character and stability of neighborhoods.					
Relevant Area						
Plan(s)	North Suburban Area Plan Update (2013)					
Area Plan	The plan recommends office uses at this location.					
Recommendations	F					
Site Located			.1	• 1		
Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.					
Site Located						
within Activity	The site is not located within an activity center.					
Center?	The site is not located within an activity center.					
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the					
Consideration	petition?					
from Section	No					
3.2.15 A 13	Is the requested action in conformance with Legacy 2030?			e with Legacy 2030?		
	Yes					
	1					

Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues The existing GO-S zoning is consistent with the office use recommended in the area plan. The previously approved 75-foot undisturbed bufferyard along the western boundary of the site (adjacent to single-family residential zoning) would remain in place. Some grading is proposed within the twenty foot bufferyard in the southwestern corner of the site to accommodate the proposed parking lot reconfiguration. No changes are proposed to the recently approved screening and landscaping along Silas Creek Parkway.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The request is consistent with the area plan.				
The undisturbed vegetated area along the	Some of the established vegetative screening			
northwestern boundary of the site would	within the southern and western bufferyards			
remain in place.	would be removed and replaced with new			
No changes are proposed that would	plantings.			
intensify the current use of the site.				

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMITS:

a. The only permitted use for the subject property within the Services, A category is Computer Data Center (Standard Industrial Classification 737).

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. Developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of the driveway permit(s). Required improvements include:
 - Recordation of a negative access easement along the Silas Creek Parkway frontage.
- b. Developer shall have a stormwater management study submitted for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved by the Stormwater Division. Such plan may include the establishment of a funded escrow account for maintenance and repair of stormwater controls. Relocation or installation of any stormwater control measure into any buffer areas, vegetated areas designated to remain, or in close proximity to adjacent residentially zoned land shall require a Staff Change approval at minimum and may require review by the Planning Board.
- c. No encroachment into the right-of-way for Silas Creek Parkway shall be permitted.

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed walls and security fencing along Sunnynoll Court shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted images as verified by Planning staff.

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF OCCUPANCY PERMITS:

a. Developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit.

W-3455 Staff Report 4 November 2020

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3455 NOVEMBER 12, 2020

Gary Roberts presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR:

Bart Sargent, 2760 Hestertown Road, Monroe, GA 30655

- The nature of this project is to upgrade security protocols at this site. This is a high-security location. This site was built in the late '90s, and a lot of the security measures have changed greatly since that time. This is an effort to enhance security, as well as alleviate some of the traffic backup at the entry point of this site.
- There is a very short queue as you enter the site through the gate off Sunnynoll Court. When deliveries arrive, even though they may be scheduled, those delivery vehicles have to go through various inspections that hold up other employees that have the credentials to easily access the site. That, in turn, throws traffic out onto Sunnynoll Court. There is not a lot of traffic on and off the site, but at peak times that is the issue they are having. A second service entrance would alleviate the conflict of backed up traffic.
- We are proposing replacing the existing security fences around this site. The fencing that is there today has, in effect, aged out. They are having difficulty maintaining the area around those fences. There are two fences around the perimeter on this site plan that are 10 feet apart. The proposed outer fence will be a different type from what is currently there. The submittal we did earlier included a vinyl-coated mesh fence. The request from security is for that to be a 10-foot outer fence and a chain link fence for the interior barrier.
- There are buffers farther along the property line to the west where the single-family residences are located. There is a 75-foot undisturbed buffer that will be maintained. There's also, I believe, a 20-foot Type III landscape buffer along those residences that will also be maintained. There will be minimal grading in the buffer near the security guardhouse. All of the vegetation in that area will be replaced.

Joseph Rogers, 9416 Fairmead Drive, Charlotte, NC 28269

• The overall goal for our project was in consideration of the neighboring businesses in the area. We realize we have a significant amount of daily traffic to keep our data center

- operational, and we realize that traffic is creating congestion on Sunnynoll Court, as well as on Silas Creek Parkway. We were very intentional with our design and understanding our space restriction with that particular site in that we couldn't expand any more than we have. We have come up with a design that would allow free-flow of traffic and free-flow of pedestrian and employee traffic while allowing a secondary entrance for deliveries that would minimize the congestion or the bottleneck situation on Sunnynoll Court.
- On the neighboring side of the property, we have had a lot of discussion about how to continue to keep that buffer in place, and how to allow privacy without intruding onto their property or inconveniencing them during the construction phase, or in perpetuity. Our first thought was how to handle the overall fencing on the back side. We do have two layers of fencing, anti-climb and mesh fencing, to provide screening. We are happy to provide additional vegetation on the back side to make the neighbors more comfortable. We want to be as friendly and accommodating as we can, but we also have to operate within our corporate security requirements and corporate standards as we layer in our new security fencing and setback requirements. If there are specific concerns from the neighbors in terms of screening or vegetation, we are willing to listen and try to be as accommodating as possible to help move this forward.

Brent Thomas, 4317 Park Drive, Norcross GA, 30093

I am available for questions if anyone has any.

AGAINST:

Rob Heflin, 1516 Fern Cliffe Drive, Winston-Salem, NC 27106

- We have lived here since 2007, and Wells Fargo has been a wonderful neighbor. They have done good things to make sure the neighbors on the adjoining property line have privacy and stable value in their homes. One of the assumptions is that we have backup on Silas Creek Parkway from the existing entrance. Being a former intelligence officer, I am aware of the security issues that they have, and at this point I have not seen any traffic backup. I have seen delivery trucks and Waste Management trucks back up on Sunnynoll because, one, they arrive way too early. Waste Management does not do early pickups anymore. All this makes sense and doesn't look harmful except for one consideration: Delivery trucks will be coming at this time of year where darkness is early, and the lights from their trucks will be potentially shining through the wired mesh fence into our properties. The leaves and vegetation will be gone, so the 75-foot barrier is not at its full peak. I'm wondering if there is some sort of consideration for a barrier that blocks the light from the trucks.
- Another concern I had was the high-volume fiberoptic cable running along the new entrance. Is that the petitioner's, or does that belong to the public, or to AT&T? And what will happen to that if it's for public use?

Aaron King stated that there was not a standard in place regarding service vehicle lights shining onto someone's property. The bufferyards generally do a good job of deterring light intrusion, and

the fence is a good complement to that. Aaron stated that it would be up to the applicant as to whether they would be willing to change the fence to be opaque or add some evergreen plantings to help shield potential light. The second question about the fiberoptic cable is not something that the City generally gets involved in; however, it may be a question that one of the engineers from the Wells Fargo team could answer.

Mr. Sargent pointed out that a good portion of the property line by the guardhouse would not have fencing. However, in discussions with security, the Wells Fargo team would be okay with installing more dense vegetation as long as it could be 10 feet away from the fencing to maintain visibility. The petitioner would have no problem putting evergreen vegetation along that property. With regard to the second question, there is an easement for utilities along the west end of the existing parking area, and those utilities will remain in place.

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Tommy Hicks, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Brenda Smith

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the Site Plan Amendment, with the added

condition that a single row of evergreen trees be planted in an area satisfactory to the

petitioner and Mr. Heflin.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Tommy Hicks, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Chris Leak, Brenda Smith

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services