CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

DOCKET: UDO-CC5 **STAFF:** David Reed

REQUEST

This text amendment is proposed by Planning and Development Services staff to amend the Unified Development Ordinances (UDO) to extend the timeframe in which the Historic Resources Commission (HRC) shall act upon complete applications for certificates of appropriateness (COAs).

BACKGROUND

For many years, the UDO has required the HRC to act on complete COA applications within 120 days of submission. Failure to act within that timeframe would constitute automatic approval of the COA. The HRC has never had any problem holding the required quasi-judicial public hearing on a COA application within the aforementioned timeframe, however.

Unfortunately, the emergence of COVID-19 has greatly limited the HRC's ability to hold regular, in-person meetings. Additionally, the State Legislature recently enacted a new rule requiring that all parties with standing to speak on a quasi-judicial matter agree to holding the public hearing for that matter virtually. In some cases, the number of parties with standing to speak on various COA items could be in the hundreds, and it would therefore be unrealistic to think staff could get agreement from all parties to hold such a public hearing virtually. In many cases, holding an inperson hearing would be the only realistic option for the HRC, although finding an appropriate venue for such a meeting may take longer than usual, depending upon the number of participants expected to attend. As a result of these factors, the Commission and staff are proposing extending the timeframe for acting upon COA applications to 180 days.

The NC General Statues already allow Historic Resources Commissions up to 180 days to review COA applications, as described in NCGS 160A-400.9 (d): "All applications for certificates of appropriateness shall be reviewed and acted upon within a reasonable time, not to exceed 180 days from the date the application for a certificate of appropriateness is filed, as defined by the ordinance or the commission's rules of procedure".

ANALYSIS

As a consequence of several HRC meeting cancellations related to COVID-19, two COA applications have already crossed the 120 day approval threshold and were automatically approved in June. While these applications would likely have received approval from the HRC if public hearings were held on them, the current ordinance provision prevented the Commission from giving citizens an opportunity to speak on the cases. The pandemic has demonstrated the need to provide as much flexibility as possible for handling unexpected situations, and changing the UDO to reflect the 180 day COA approval timeframe allowed by the NCGS would give the HRC

additional time to hold a public hearing, thereby ensuring stakeholders have the opportunity to participate in the COA review process. As such, staff recommends approval of this amendment.

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR UDO-CC5 AUGUST 13, 2020

David Reed presented the staff report.

Jack recommended that staff not use the 180-day period as standard practice but use it in emergency situations only, such as this time dealing with COVID.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment.

SECOND: George Bryan

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Tommy Hicks, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services