CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD DRAFT STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION				
Docket #	W-3443					
Staff	Samuel P. Hunter					
Petitioner(s)	Camel City Cor	Camel City Commercial, LLC				
Owner(s)		Camel City Commercial, LLC				
Subject Property	PIN 6825-78-5091					
Address	492 West End Boulevard					
Type of Request	City Council special use permit for a parking exemption for a Restaurant					
	(without drive-through service) in Growth Management Area (GMA) 2.					
	NOTE: Approval of a special use permit is contingent upon an					
	affirmative finding of all Findings of Fact as outlined in the Other					
		Applicable Plans and Planning Issues section below.				
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location	_	Southeast portion of the intersection of Reynolda Road and West End				
		Boulevard				
Jurisdiction		Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	Northwest					
Site Acreage		± .11 acre				
Current	The subject property is currently vacant. There is an actors' studio in the					
Land Use	same building at the ground level, with two residences on the floor					
C 1'	above.	D'A	TI			
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use			
Property Zoning and Use	North	РВ НО	Micro-brewery			
and Use	West	ID IIO	(Joymongers Barrel Hall) Hanes Park			
		IP HO				
	South East	PB HO	Residential duplex Single-family dwellings			
Dharainal		RSQ HO	<u> </u>			
Physical Characteristics	The existing two-story mixed-use building sits at the low end of a slope					
Proximity to	that increases in elevation as one travels eastward. The building has access to public water and sower from West End					
Water and Sewer	The building has access to public water and sewer from West End					
Stormwater/		Boulevard. There are no known stormwater or drainage issues at the site.				
Drainage	There are no kin	There are no known stormwater or drainage issues at the site.				
Watershed and	The site is locat	The site is located in the West End Historic Overlay District (HO). The				
Overlay Districts	site is not located in the west End Historic Overlay District (110). The					
Analysis of						
General Site	The site is fully developed in a mixed-use, urban setting. No changes are					
Information	proposed to the site.					

SITE ACCESS AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION					
Street Name	Classification	Frontage	ADT Count	Capacity/LOS D	
West End	Minor	04 64	12 100	12.000	
Boulevard	Thoroughfare	94 feet	13,100	13,800	
Reynolda Road	Minor Thoroughfare	No direct frontage	13,000	27,500	
Proposed Access	An existing alley	at West End I	Boulevard a	and Pilot View Street provides	
Point(s)	access to the rear of the site.				
Trip Generation -	Existing:				
Existing/Proposed	The space is currently vacant. No trips are generated.				
	Proposed:				
	1,750 sf/1,000 x 127.15 (high-turnover restaurant) = 222.5 trips per day				
Sidewalks	Sidewalks exist on both sides of West End Boulevard and Reynolda				
	Road.				
Transit	WSTA Route 109 runs along Reynolda Road and West End Boulevard.				
Analysis of Site	The site is accessible using a variety of modes of transportation. While				
Access and	The site is accessible using a variety of modes of transportation. While				
Transportation	there is no off-street parking for nonresidential uses at this location, on-				
Information	street parking is available near the site.				
SITE PLAN COMPLIANCE WITH UDO REQUIREMENTS					
SITE	PLAN COMPLIA	ANCE WITH	UDO RE	QUIREMENTS	
SITE Building	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foo			QUIREMENTS Placement on Site	
	Square Foo]		
Building			The mixe	Placement on Site	
Building	Square Foo		The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the	
Building Square Footage	Square Foo 1,750 Required	tage Existi	The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site.	
Building Square Footage	Square Foo 1,750	tage	The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout	
Building Square Footage	Square Foo 1,750 Required	Existi 2 space	The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from	
Building Square Footage Parking	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces	Existi 2 space	The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley	
Building Square Footage Parking	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxin	Existi 2 space	The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxin	Existi 2 space	The mixe	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxin 60 Maxin Unlim	Existi 2 space	The mixe r ng es	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the hajority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxim 60 Maxim Unlim • Section 3.	Existi 2 space num num nited .2.13E: Specia	The mixe r ng es	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed 100 percent	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxim 60 Maxim Unlim • Section 3. • Section 6.	Existi 2 space num nited 2.13E: Specia 1.1C: Change	The mixe r ng es Use Perm s in Use	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed 100 percent it by Elected Body	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxim 60 Maxim Unlim • Section 3. • Section 6. • Section 9.	Existi 2 space num nited 2.13E: Specia 1.1C: Change 4.3E: Parking	The mixe r ng es Use Perm s in Use	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed 100 percent	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxim 60 Maxim Unlim • Section 3. • Section 6.	Existi 2 space num nited 2.13E: Specia 1.1C: Change 4.3E: Parking 7, 1988	The mixe r ng res Use Perm s in Use for Nonres	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed 100 percent it by Elected Body	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	I,750 Required 18 spaces Maxim 60 Maxim Unlim Section 3. Section 6. Section 9. to March (A) Legacy policies (B) Environmental	Existi 2 space num nited 2.13E: Specia 1.1C: Change 4.3E: Parking 7, 1988 : Yes	The mixe r ng es Use Perm s in Use for Nonres	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed 100 percent it by Elected Body	
Building Square Footage Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	Square Foo 1,750 Required 18 spaces Maxim 60 Maxim Unlim • Section 3. • Section 6. • Section 9. to March (A) Legacy policies	Existi 2 space num nited 2.13E: Specia 1.1C: Change 4.3E: Parking 7, 1988 : Yes	The mixe ring res Use Perm s in Use for Nonres	Placement on Site d-use building occupies the najority of the site. Layout Rear of the site, accessed from alley Existing Two stories Proposed 100 percent it by Elected Body	

W-3443 Staff Report 2 August 2020

Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO Requirements	To the extent possible, the site is compliant with all UDO requirements. However, it is not eligible for the parking exemption pertaining to changes in use in GMAs 1 and 2 because the proposed use is Restaurant (without drive-through service). The only off-street parking provided (existing) for this mixed-use building is for the residential uses occupying the upper level. Even utilizing applicable parking reductions for proximity to transit and sidewalks, as well as the automatic reduction for Pedestrian Business (PB) zoning, the site is unable to provide the required off-street parking. A Restaurant (without drive-through service) at this location would be required to provide 18 spaces, not accounting for all available reductions. (With reductions, 11 spaces are required.) This request is the result of an inability to provide the required number of spaces beyond the two that currently exist.	
CC	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES	
Legacy 2030 Growth Management Area Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	 Growth Management Area 2 – Urban Neighborhoods Require sufficient, but not excessive parking, while protecting adjacent land uses, surrounding neighborhoods, and the environment. Provide incentives to reduce on-site parking, promote active forms of transportation, and minimize environmental impacts. Encourage attractive parking design and more efficient use of parking. Consider minimum and maximum on-site parking requirements, shared and on-street parking incentives and approvals, fee-in-lieu of construction options, parking lot design and connectivity, neighborhood compatibility and new technologies to reduce stormwater and other environmental impacts. 	
Relevant Area Plan(s)	South Central Winston-Salem Area Plan Update (2014)	
Area Plan Recommendations	The area plan recommends commercial uses for the site.	
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.	
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is located within the West End activity center.	

W-3443 Staff Report 3 August 2020

Other Applicable Plans and Planning Issues

The City Council shall issue a special use permit only when it makes an affirmative finding as follows (*Planning staff comments in italics*):

City Council Findings:

1. That the use will not materially endanger the public health or safety if located where proposed and developed according to the application and plan as submitted and approved.

The proposed use, Restaurant (without drive-through service), is not a threat to public health or safety. There are numerous businesses with the same classification within the West End activity center. Further, no development is proposed at this location, and similar uses have been established at the same location in the past.

2. That the use meets all required conditions and specifications.

To the extent possible, the site is compliant with all UDO requirements. This permit is necessary because the proposed use makes the site ineligible for an exemption granted to other older nonresidential buildings in the same area. The existing off-street parking for this mixed-use building is for the residential uses above the space in question.

3. That the use will not substantially injure the value of adjoining or abutting property, or that the use is a public necessity; and,

Planning staff does not have the expertise to make property value determinations. However, as mentioned previously, there are numerous uses with the same classification in close proximity.

4. That the location and character of the use, if developed according to the application and plan submitted and approved, will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general conformity with *Legacy 2030*.

The site is located within an activity center, where compact, pedestrian-oriented development is encouraged that provides needed services within walking distance of residential areas. Additionally, the area plan specifically recommends strengthening the existing commercial areas in this activity center (through public and private investment) by incorporating more mixed-use development as redevelopment or new development. The proposed use is consistent with many other uses in the immediate vicinity and fits well within the recommendations of the area plan.

The activity center is characterized by its pedestrian-friendly design, with ample on-street parking to facilitate traffic calming.

Including back-in parking on Northwest Boulevard, the area can accommodate approximately 158 on-street parking spaces. Most of the uses in the activity center offset the on-street parking with well-located off-street parking that is not visible from Reynolda Road or West End Boulevard. Considering all available parking reductions, the presence of nearby transit and on-street parking, the ability of neighbors to walk to this location, and the high-turnover nature of the use, staff believes the request is in keeping with its surroundings and in general conformity with Legacy.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
Strengthens the West End activity center				
by redeveloping an existing business				
location.				
Ample on-street parking is available along				
many of the central streets in this activity	Patrons utilizing on-street parking may take up			
center (Reynolda Road, Northwest	spaces in nearby residential areas.			
Boulevard, West End Boulevard, Summit				
Street, Canal Drive).				
Does not take away from the character of				
the area by adding an off-street parking lot.				

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD **PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3443** MAY 28, 2020

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

George Bryan asked Desmond what kind of parking was available for the retail/office space that was there previously. Desmond stated that the UDO does not require additional parking to be provided for those uses. The only use that is required to provide additional parking in older buildings that were constructed prior to 1988 in GMAs 1 and 2 is Restaurant. Anything other than a restaurant in an older commercial building does not have to provide additional parking.

George asked if the applicant has made any attempts to accommodate customers with parking by contracting with other nearby parking lots to provide parking. Desmond stated that the applicant cannot get a use permit for zoning without the Special Use Permit, and he is not aware of any intent on the applicant's part.

George stated that there are neighbors who are already squeezed by having no off-street parking, and he hopes City Council will be more than helpful in arranging permit parking for the neighbors in that area who are having problems with parking.

Aaron King commented that this case would go forward to City Council for a quasi-judicial hearing for the issuance of a Special Use Permit. Folks will be able to attend that meeting, give sworn testimony and be able to talk about those things. Aaron stated that this subject was on City Council's radar and would expect parking needs to be discussed at that meeting. What the Planning Board is asked to do today is decide whether the site plan meets UDO requirements.

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find the site plan conforming

to UDO requirements.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Tommy Hicks, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Johnny Sigers, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services