CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PET	ITION INFORMATION			
Docket #	W-3398				
Staff	Gary Roberts, Jr. AICP				
Petitioner(s)	Zachary Rothrock and Glenda Rothrock				
Owner(s)	Same				
Subject Property	PIN#s 5895-25-	-7450 and 5895-25-8419			
Address	4385 and 4389	Styers Ferry Road			
Type of Request		oning from PB-S to RS9			
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Maps for the subject property <u>from</u> PB-S (Pedestrian Business – Special Use – Offices; Services, A; and Building Contractors, General) <u>to</u> RS9 (Residential, Single Family – 9,000 sf minimum lot size).				
	<u>NOTE</u> : General, special use limited, and special use district zoning were discussed with the petitioner(s) who decided to pursue the rezoning as presented. With a General use, all uses in the District must be considered.				
Neighborhood	See Attachment B for a summary of the petitioner's neighborhood				
Contact/Meeting	outreach.				
Zoning District	The RS9 District is primarily intended to accommodate relatively high				
Purpose	density single family detached dwellings in urban areas. This district is				
Statement	intended for application in GMAs 2 and 3 and may be suitable in Metro Activity Centers where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental support services, are				
A	available.				
Applicable Rezoning	$(\mathbf{R})(1)$ - Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the requested gaping district(a)?				
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?				
from Chapter B,	Yes, a portion of the subject property was previously zoned RS9 and the site abuts other RS9-zoned lots. The site is also located within GMA 3				
Article VI,	(Suburban Neighborhoods) and is served with water and sewer.				
Section 6-2.1(R)	(Suburban Merginbornoous) and is served with water and sewer.				
	GENER	RAL SITE INFORMATIO	N		
Location			ers Ferry Road and Lura Road		
Jurisdiction	City of Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	West				
Site Acreage	± 1.09 acres				
Current	A single family home is located on the southern portion of the site. The				
Land Use	building on the northern portion appears to have been originally				
	constructed as a residence, although it has been commercially zoned since				
	1973. It was most recently used as an office for a building contractor;				
	however, it has been vacant since the summer of 2018, according to the				
	property owner.				
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use		
Property Zoning and Use	North	RS9 & HB	Single family homes and an office		

Surroun	ding	East		HB		Auto sales				
Property Zoning			South	RS9		Undevelo	oped property			
and Use	and Use		West	RS9		Single f	amily homes			
Applicab				ne use(s) permi						
Rezoning			classification/request compatible with uses permitted on other							
Consider			properties in the vicinity?							
from Cha	- /		Yes, the proposed low-density residential uses are the same as those							
Article V	· ·	perm	itted on the	adjacent RS9-z	oned proper	rties.				
Section 6	-2.1(R)	The developed site has a gentle to moderate slope downward toward the								
Physical Characte	mistics		-	eastern half of t		1				
				eastern nam of t			lature trees.			
Proximit Water ar			she has acce	iss to public wa	tel allu sewo					
Stormwa		Nok	nown issues	2						
Drainage			110 w 11 155ue5							
Watersh		The	site is not lo	cated within a v	vater supply	watershed.				
Overlay 1					PP1					
Analysis		The	property con	sists of two lot	s, both of w	hich are devel	oped with			
General				res. With the ex						
Informat	ion	topog	topography at the southwestern corner, the subject property does not							
		appe		ny development						
	Γ			NT ZONING	HISTORIE					
Case	Reque	st	Decision &		Acreage		mendation			
			Date	from Site	27 04	Staff	ССРВ			
W-3381	W-3381 HB-S to GE		Approved 9-4-2018		37.01	Approval	Approval			
F-1424	F-1424 HB & RS		Approved		1.09	Approval	Approval			
	PB-S		2-28-2005							
				FRANSPORT						
Street	Name	Clas	ssification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	-	ty at Level of ervice D			
Styers Ferry Road		Major Thoroughfare		200 feet	11,000	15,300				
Lura			cal Street	267 feet	N/A	N/A				
Proposed Point(s)	Access	Because this is a general use request with no site plan, the exact location of access points is unknown.					e exact location			
Planned Improve		The <i>Comprehensive Transportation Plan</i> recommends a three-lane cross- section with wide outside lanes, curb and gutter, and sidewalks for this section of Styers Ferry Road.								
-	Crip Generation - Existing/ProposedExisting Zoning: PB-S 4,830 sf / 1,000 x 36.13 (Medical-Dental Office Building Trip Rate) = 175 Trips per Day						Trip Rate) =			

	Proposed Zoning: PS0				
	<u>Proposed Zoning: RS9</u> 1.00 agrees ($0.000 \text{ sf} = 5$ units x 0.57 (SEP trip rate) = 48 Trips per Day				
Sidewalks	1.09 acres / 9,000 sf = 5 units x 9.57 (SFR trip rate) = 48 Trips per Day There are no sidewalks in the area.				
Transit	WSTA Route 95 serves the intersection of Country Club Road and Peace				
Tansit	Haven Road approximately two miles to the southeast.				
Analysis of Site					
Analysis of Site	The subject property is at an intersection with frontage on a major				
Access and	thoroughfare which has extra capacity. The request would remove uses				
Transportation	which typically generate more vehicular trips than single-family				
Information	residential uses. Staff does not foresee any transportation- related issues				
	associated with this request.				
	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES				
Legacy 2030	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods				
Growth					
Management					
Area					
Relevant	• Support the maintenance, revitalization, and rehabilitation of existing				
Legacy 2030	housing stock contributing to neighborhood character.				
Recommendations	Discourage inappropriate commercial encroachment into				
	neighborhoods.				
Relevant Area	West Suburban Area Plan Update (2018)				
Plan(s)					
Area Plan	• Area plan recommends commercial use of this site.				
Recommendations	• Single-family residential use is recommended for existing individual				
	lots and small tracts of land in existing single-family neighborhoods.				
Site Located	The site is not located along a growth corridor.				
Along Growth					
Corridor?					
Site Located	The site is not located within an activity center.				
within Activity					
Center?					
Applicable	(R)(3) - Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in				
Rezoning	the petition?				
Consideration	Yes, the rezoning of a 37-acre parcel located directly southeast of the				
from Chapter B,	subject property was approved in 2018 (W-3381). The Traffic Impact				
Article VI,	Analysis associated with that project estimated that upon completion, it				
Section 6-2.1(R)	would generate approximately 5,523 trips per day.				
	(R)(4) - Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?				
	Yes				
Analysis of	Prior to approval of the current PB-S zoning for both lots in 2005, the				
Conformity to	southern half of the site was zoned RS9 and the northern lot was zoned				
Plans and	HB.				
Planning Issues					
	While the West Suburban Area Plan Update recommends commercial use				
	of the site, staff notes this recommendation is based upon the present				
	commercial zoning. Staff is generally supportive of rezoning requests to				
	less intense districts. The subject property is located at the entrance to a				
	single-family residential neighborhood. The proposed RS9 zoning is				

consistent with the zoning in said neighborhood.					
CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION					
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal				
The site is located at the entrance to a	The area plan recommends commercial use of				
single-family neighborhood.	this site.				
The site is developed with a single-family					
home and a former office building which is					
residential in character.					
A majority of the site borders RS9-zoned					
property.					
The request is for a less intense single-					
family residential district.					

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; <u>final recommendations</u> on projects are made by the City-County Planning Board, with <u>final decisions</u> being made by the appropriate Elected Body, who may approve, deny, table or request modification for any project. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3398 MARCH 14, 2019

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning petition. SECOND: Jason Grubbs VOTE: FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Arnold King, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Johnny Sigers AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King Director of Planning and Development Services