CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION		
Docket #	W-3348			
Staff	Gary Roberts, Jr. AICP			
Petitioner(s)	William Crouse			
Owner(s)	Same	Same		
Subject Property	PIN# 6813-90-8	PIN# 6813-90-8804		
Address	The undevelope	The undeveloped site does not currently have an address assignment.		
Type of Request	Special use rezoning request from RS9 to RM8-S			
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Maps for the			
	subject property from RS9 (Residential, Single Family – 9,000 sf			
			Multifamily – 8 dwelling units	
	per acre maxim	um density). The petitioner	is requesting the following	
	uses:			
	Life Care Community; Residential Building, Single Family; and Planned Residential Development			
Neighborhood		B for a summary of the peti	itioner's neighborhood	
Contact/Meeting	outreach efforts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S	
Zoning District	The RM8 Distri	ct is primarily intended to a	accommodate duplexes, twin	
Purpose		uses, multifamily, and other		
Statement		num overall density of eight		
	district is appropriate for GMAs 2 and 3 and may be suitable for Metro			
		Activity Centers where public facilities, including public water and		
	sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental support services are			
	available.			
Applicable	(R)(1) - Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the			
Rezoning		requested zoning district(s)?		
Consideration			velopment) is less than eight	
from Chapter B, Article VI,		er acre and the site is locate	d in GMA 3 where urban	
Section 6-2.1(R)	services are pro	vided.		
Section 0-2.1(K)	GENER	AL SITE INFORMATIO	V	
Location		est Clemmonsville Road, w		
Jurisdiction	City of Winston		est of Faula 21170	
Ward(s)	South			
Site Acreage	± 1.44 acres			
Current	The site is currently undeveloped.			
Land Use	and the content of th			
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use	
Property Zoning	North	RS9	Single family homes	
and Use	East	RS9	Single family homes	
	South	RS9	Undeveloped property	
	West	RS9	Single family homes	
Applicable		he use(s) permitted under		
Rezoning		equest compatible with use	es permitted on other	
Consideration	properties in th	ne vicinity?		

From Character Proximit Water and Stormwa Drainage Watersho	eristics y to ad Sewer ter/ ed and	Due to the layout of the proposed development including the specific location and scale of the residential buildings shown on the site plan, the proposed uses are compatible with the uses permitted on the adjacent properties. The undeveloped site has a gentle slope downward in all directions from the center of the site. Public water and sewer are available to the site. No known issues. The site is not located within a water supply watershed.					
Overlay I Analysis General I Informat	of Site	The site has no development constraints such as steep slopes, watersheds, or designated floodplains.					
				T ZONING			
Case	Reque	st	Decision &	Direction	Acreage		nmendation
			Date	from Site		Staff	ССРВ
W-2731	County I		Approved	Directly	56.77	Approval	Approval
E 000	to City F		11-1-2004	east	1.40		B 11
F-890	R6 to R6		Denied	900'	1.48	Approval	Denial
	(RS9-S		7-11-1988	southwest	A TOTAL TAIL		
Ct. 4				RANSPORTA			
Street	Name	Cia	ssification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	_	ity at Level of ervice D
Clemmonsville		Major	336'	13,000	15,300		
Ro	Road Thoroughfare		-		,		,
Proposed	Access			s a private str	eet access o	onto Clemmo	nsville Road.
Point(s) This street would extend southward through the portion of the		of the overall					
		deve	elopment which	h is within Fo	rsyth Count	ty, and would	connect with
			•	ich is a public			
Planned 1	Road	The proposed site plan shows right-of-way dedication along			•		
Improvements Clemmonsville Road, forty (40) feet from the centerline. The dev					-	7T1 1 1	
Improve	ments	Clen	nmonsville Ro	oad, forty (40)	feet from the		
Improve	ments	Clen will	nmonsville Ro also be requir	oad, forty (40) ed to install a	feet from the left turn lan		
		Clen will side	nmonsville Ro also be requir walk along Cl	oad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I	feet from the left turn lan		
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sides	nmonsville Ro also be requir walk along Cl ting Zoning: I	oad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I RS9	feet from the left turn land Road.	e, curb and g	utter, and
Trip Gen		Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44	nmonsville Ro also be requir walk along Cl ting Zoning: I acres x 43,56	oad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I	feet from the left turn land Road.	e, curb and g	utter, and
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44	nmonsville Ro also be requir walk along Cl ting Zoning: I	oad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I RS9	feet from the left turn land Road.	e, curb and g	utter, and
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44 Trip	nmonsville Ro also be requir walk along Cl ting Zoning: I acres x 43,56 s per Day	oad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I RS9 0 sf / 9,000 sf	feet from the left turn land Road.	e, curb and g	utter, and
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44 Trip	nmonsville Ro also be requir walk along Cl ting Zoning: I acres x 43,56 s per Day	pad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I RS9 0 sf / 9,000 sf	feet from the fe	e, curb and g	rip Rate) = 57
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44 Trip Prop Beca	nmonsville Roalso be required walk along Clating Zoning: It acres x 43,56 s per Day	pad, forty (40) ed to install a semmonsville I RS9 0 sf / 9,000 sf RM8-S ares are propos	feet from the fe	9.57 (SFR Trusted)	rip Rate) = 57
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44 Trip Prop Beca gene	nmonsville Roalso be required walk along Clating Zoning: It acres x 43,56 s per Day	pad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I RS9 0 sf / 9,000 sf RM8-S ares are proposed	feet from the fe	9.57 (SFR Trust) gubject proper	rip Rate) = 57
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44 Trip Prop Beca gene trip s	nmonsville Roalso be required walk along Clating Zoning: It acres x 43,56 s per Day acres Zoning: ause no structuration can be generation for	pad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville IRS9 0 sf / 9,000 sf RM8-S ares are proposed termined. He the portion of	feet from the left turn language. = 6 units x sed for the showever, the fithe overall	9.57 (SFR Trust) subject proper following is development	rty, no trip the estimated t which is within
Trip Gen	eration -	Clenwill sidev Exis 1.44 Trip Prop Beca gene trip g the j	nmonsville Roalso be required walk along Clating Zoning: It acres x 43,56 s per Day cosed Zoning: ause no structuration can be generation for urisdiction of	ed to install a emmonsville I RS9 O sf / 9,000 sf RM8-S are proposed termined. He portion of Forsyth Coun	feet from the left turn land Road. = 6 units x sed for the showever, the fine overall ty and when	9.57 (SFR Trusted property of the building set	rty, no trip the estimated t which is within gs are proposed:
Trip Gen	eration - Proposed	Clenwill sides Exis 1.44 Tripp Prop Beca gene trip gene trip gene 124	nmonsville Roalso be required walk along Clating Zoning: It acres x 43,56 s per Day bosed Zoning: ause no structuration can be generation for urisdiction of units x 2.81(Roalso be also be acres as a contraction of units x 2.81(Roalso be also be acres as a contraction of units x 2.81(Roalso be also be acres as a contraction of units x 2.81(Roalso be acres as a contraction of uni	pad, forty (40) ed to install a emmonsville I RS9 0 sf / 9,000 sf RM8-S ares are propose determined. H the portion of Forsyth Councetirement Cor	feet from the left turn languad. = 6 units x sed for the selection where the overall ty and where the munity Tr	9.57 (SFR Trust) subject proper e following is development the building ip Rate) = 34	rty, no trip the estimated t which is within

	required.		
Transit	1	rsection of Peters Creek Parkway and	
		ed approximately 1.4 miles to the east.	
Connectivity		ment in conjunction with the site located	
·	directly to the south which is also under consideration for rezoning (F-		
	1570).	_ ,	
Transportation	A TIA is not required.		
Impact Analysis			
(TIA)			
Analysis of Site	While no buildings are proposed on the site plan, the proposed rezoning		
Access and	would permit access to the development of a Life Care Community		
Transportation	immediately south. Due to the nature of this type of development which		
Information	may offer a full range of living and care arrangements for persons who		
	are either disabled or over the age of 55, the estimated vehicular trip generation is significantly less than what could be expected under a single		
		1	
	family home development scena	110.	
	In consideration of the overall si	te plan which includes the portion which	
		-	
	is within the jurisdiction of Forsyth County (see tandem rezoning case F-1570) the proposed design demonstrates good internal vehicular and		
		o facilitate greater connectivity between	
	neighborhoods, staff recommends the proposed new private street (Silver		
	Grove Road) which extends the length of the site from Clemmonsville		
	Road to Paragon Drive would include a public access easement and not		
	be gated. The petitioners are not in agreement with this recommendation		
	and are proposing a gate at the intersection of Silver Grove Road and		
	Paragon Drive.		
	PLAN COMPLIANCE WITH		
		on the overall 15.45 acre site which is	
partially within t		nston-Salem and partially within the	
D 111	jurisdiction of Forsyt		
Building	Square Footage	Placement on Site	
Square Footage	170,616	See comments below.	
Units (by type)		partment units / 15.45 acres (total	
and Density	development acreage) = 8 units		
Parking	Required	Proposed	
Building Height	190 spaces Maximum	212 spaces Proposed	
Dunuing Height	45'	One and three stories	
Impervious	Maximum	Proposed	
Coverage	70%	+/- 44%	
UDO Sections	Chapter B, Article II, Section		
Relevant to	*		
	Chapter B, Article II, Section 2-5.60 Planned Residential Development		
Subject Request		ii 2 0.00 1 iumiou regiudiniui	
Subject Request	Development		
Subject Request	Development	n 2-5.64 Residential Building,	

Yes

Complies with

(A) Legacy 2030 policies:

Chapter B,	(B) Environmental Ord.	NA	
Article VII,	(C) Subdivision Regulations	NA	
Section 7-5.3	(c) subdivision regulations	11/1	
Analysis of Site	The site plan for the subject property includes the City of Winston-Salem		
Plan Compliance	portion of an overall proposed Life Care Community development which		
with UDO	has dual jurisdictional authority (see tandem rezoning case F-1570). Both		
Requirements	site plans are functionally dependent upon one another.		
	The portion of the site plan for the subject property shows the connection onto Clemmonsville Road, the trash compactor, and a community garden. It also includes the required Thoroughfare Open Space component along Clemmonsville Road. Thirty foot wide Type II bufferyards are located along the eastern and western property lines. No buildings are proposed on the subject property. The overall site plan (which includes both the City and County portions) meets the requirements of the UDO including those of the Planned Residential Development (PRD) section.		
CO		AND PLANNING ISSUES	
Legacy 2030		3 - Suburban Neighborhoods Area	
Growth	C		
Management			
Area			
Relevant	 Increase infill develop: 	ment in the serviceable land area.	
Legacy 2030	 Promote land use comp 	patibility through good design and create a	
Recommendations	healthy mix of land uses in proximity to one another.		
		ge-friendly neighborhoods that cater to the	
	needs of different age		
		n of neighborhoods with lifecycle housing	
		w seniors to age in place.	
		ess to promote a variety of housing types, ter to seniors within the same neighborhood.	
Relevant Area		(2011), Update in progress	
Plan(s)	South Suburban Med I tan	(2011), Opuate in progress	
Area Plan	The Proposed Land Us	se Map for both the existing and the draft	
Recommendations	*	subject property for single-family residential	
	land use (0-8 DU/AC).		
Site Located	The site is not located alon		
Along Growth			
Corridor?			
Site Located	The site is not located with	in an activity center.	
within Activity			
Center?			

Other Applicable	As noted previously, the site plan for the subject property is the City of			
Plans and	Winston-Salem portion of an overall 15.45 acre site which has dual			
Planning Issues	jurisdictional approval authority (See tandem rezoning case F-1570).			
Applicable	(R)(3) - Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in			
Rezoning	the petition?			
Consideration	No			
from Chapter B, Article VI,	(R)(4) - Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?			
Section 6-2.1(R)	Yes			
Analysis of				
Conformity to	The subject request to rezone a 1.44 acre undeveloped site from RS9 to RM8-S is tied to a larger rezoning request (F-1570) for an adjacent			
Plans and	undeveloped tract would allow for the construction of a Life Care			
Planning Issues	Community. Life Care Community is defined as: "An area of land under			
Training Issues	unified ownership planned and developed as a unit to provide for the			
	transitional residency and care of persons aged fifty-five (55) years or			
	older and/or handicapped. Life care communities may offer a full range			
	of living and care arrangements, including independent living in			
	attached or detached dwelling units, assisted living in congregate care			
	facilities, and full time health care in nursing care institutions."			
	Legacy 2030 recommends the development of a variety of housing types			
	and the creation of neighborhoods which would allow seniors to age in			
	place. Staff believes the subject request is consistent with this goal.			
	The South Suburban Area Plan and the draft update both recommend			
	single-family residential land use (0-8 DU/AC) for this property. The			
	overall request is consistent with the area plan recommendation in that it is for a residential land use and not for a commercial or industrial etc.			
	development. Also, while the overall development includes a variety of			
	housing types and not only single family detached homes, it does comply			
	with the maximum density recommendation of eight dwelling units per			
	acre.			
	From a traffic generation perspective and as noted previously, the			
	development would generate less traffic than what could be expected			
	under the current RS9 zoning.			
	Planning staff favorably notes that the proposed design is sensitive to the			
	scale and location of the adjacent single family homes to the east within			
	the Bethany Trace neighborhood. This side would have a 30 foot wide			
	Type II bufferyard adjacent to the property line. The proposed one story			
	single and duplex homes would be setback approximately 100 feet from			
	said property line. The western side of the site (which abuts an existing			
	church) is where the larger three story multifamily buildings are			
	proposed.			
	In summary, considering the proposed residential land use, the density,			
	the traffic impact, and the sensitive site design, Planning staff			
	recommends approval of the request.			
	1000mmenus approvar or me request.			

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The overall request is consistent with the recommendations of <i>Legacy 2030</i> in that it would promote a variety of housing types and the creation of neighborhoods which	The site plan, as submitted, does not include a public access easement for the new private street which would connect Paragon Drive to Clemmonsville Road.			
would allow seniors to age in place. The overall proposed development would generate less traffic than what could be expected under the current zoning.				
The overall site plan places one story single family homes and duplexes on the eastern side of the site near the Bethany Trace neighborhood and three story multifamily buildings on the western portion of the site closer to a church.				

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following proposed conditions are from interdepartmental review comments and are proposed in order to meet codes or established standards, or to reduce negative off-site impacts.

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. Developer shall flag in the field the Tree Save Area as noted on the site plan. Vegetation in this area shall be protected from grading encroachment in accordance with UDO requirements.
- b. Developer shall obtain a driveway permit from NCDOT/City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of driveway permit. Required improvements include:
 - Dedication of right-of-way along Clemmonsville Road forty (40) feet from centerline.
 - Install sidewalk and curb and gutter along Clemmonsville Road.

PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF OCCUPANCY PERMITS:

a. Developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

NOTE: These are staff comments only; <u>final recommendations</u> on projects are made by the City-County Planning Board, with <u>final decisions</u> being made by the appropriate Elected Body, who may approve, deny, table or request modification for any project. THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3348 OCTOBER 12, 2017

Aaron King presented the staff report.

In response to a question by the Board regarding street connectivity, the following comments were provided:

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR:

Scott Miller, 120 Club Oaks Court, Suite 100, Winston-Salem, NC 27104

- I am the President of MLA Design Group.
- We had a neighborhood meeting that was well attended with about 25 to 30 folks there.
- This was some discussion about connection through Paragon Drive and that is one of the things that led us to the gate at that rear location.
- The developer preferred not to make that connection originally just to keep that traffic flow at a minimum through this development. But at the same time, we understood the need to make the connection from a fire service and safety standpoint.
- Most of the residences that brought up questions about the connection really like the idea of the gated component.
- Actually staff had asked us that prior to this meeting and we told them that we are pretty much obligated to the residences next door that we would place that gate there unless forced to do otherwise. And that is why it is still on the plan.

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Jason Grubbs moved approval of the zoning petition and certified that the site plan (including staff recommended conditions) meets all code requirements if the petition is approved.

SECOND: Allan Younger

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Arnold King, Chris Leak, Brenda

Smith, Allan Younger AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

A. Paul Norby, FAICP
Director of Planning and Development Services