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## The City's Objectives

- Review and evaluate the City's current classification and compensation program (review of $1 / 3$ of City positions)
- Develop classification descriptions for designated positions
- Determine current relationship of wage and benefits relative to the labor market
- Evaluate the internal ranking of all positions
- Develop a compensation plan that provides for internal equity and ensures external competitiveness with the appropriate labor market


## The City's Objectives (cont.)

- Identify relevant compensation factors that accurately reflect the value of different kinds of work
- Establish policies and administrative guidelines for implementation and maintenance of the compensation plan
- Prepare a plan to implement the study recommendations


## The Winston-Salem Study Process

- Discussions with the City Manager, Management Team, Human Resources and Department Heads
- Employee informational meetings
- Collection of data - Position Analysis Questionnaires
- Development of classification descriptions
- Evaluation of positions based on current job requirements
- Obtain market salary and benefits information
- Development of compensation plan
- Assignment of positions to pay grades
- Development of implementation options


## Compensation and Benefits Survey Benchmark Communities

- City of Asheville, NC
- City of Burlington, NC
- Town of Cary, NC
- City of Charlotte, NC
- City of Concord, NC
- City of Durham, NC
- Durham County, NC
- City of Fayetteville, NC
- City of Greensboro, NC
- City of Greenville, NC
- City of High Point, NC
- City of Kernersville, NC
- City of Raleigh, NC
- City of Wilmington, NC


## Salary Survey

- 88 positions included in survey
- Comparison of starting salaries to market
- $7.96 \%$ below average minimum salaries
- Internal pay relationship inequities exist within the City
- Benefits overall are consistent with the market


## Job Evaluation

## Systematic Analysis and Factor Evaluation (SAFE ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) System Job Evaluation Factors

| Training and Ability | Experience Required |
| :--- | :--- |
| Level of Work | Human Relations Skills |
| Physical Demands | Working Conditions |
| Independence of Actions | Impact on End Results |
| Supervision Exercised |  |

## Pay Philosophy

- Provide fair and equitable compensation to employees
- Balance external market and internal equity
- Maintain competitive pay structure with consideration of City's fiscal resources
- Performance based component for individual employee compensation
- Develop an understandable compensation program


## Proposed Pay Scale - Excluding Police

| \% Between Grades: | $7 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Range: | $50.0 \%$ |
| Starting midpoint: | 29,250 |


|  | Salary Range |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Min | Mid | Max |
| $1^{*}$ | $23,400.00$ | $29,250.00$ | $35,100.00$ |
| 2 | $25,038.00$ | $31,297.50$ | $37,557.00$ |
| 3 | $26,790.66$ | $33,488.33$ | $40,185.99$ |
| 4 | $28,666.01$ | $35,832.51$ | $42,999.01$ |
| 5 | $30,672.63$ | $38,340.78$ | $46,008.94$ |
| 6 | $32,819.71$ | $41,024.64$ | $49,229.57$ |
| 7 | $35,117.09$ | $43,896.36$ | $52,675.64$ |
| 8 | $37,575.29$ | $46,969.11$ | $56,362.93$ |
| 9 | $40,205.56$ | $50,256.95$ | $60,308.33$ |
| 10 | $43,019.95$ | $53,774.93$ | $64,529.92$ |
| 11 | $46,031.34$ | $57,539.18$ | $69,047.01$ |
| 12 | $49,253.54$ | $61,566.92$ | $73,880.30$ |
| 13 | $52,701.28$ | $65,876.60$ | $79,051.92$ |
| 14 | $56,390.37$ | $70,487.97$ | $84,585.56$ |
| 15 | $60,337.70$ | $75,422.12$ | $90,506.55$ |

* Increases minimum rate of pay to $\$ 11.25$ per hour
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## Proposed Pay Scale - Police

| \% Between Grades: | $5 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Range: | $50.0 \%$ |
| Starting midpoint: | 44,750 |


|  | Salary Range |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Min | Mid | Max |
| 1 | $35,800.00$ | $44,750.00$ | $53,700.00$ |
| 2 | $37,590.00$ | $46,987.50$ | $56,385.00$ |
| 3 | $39,469.50$ | $49,336.88$ | $59,204.25$ |
| 4 | $41,442.98$ | $51,803.72$ | $62,164.46$ |
| 5 | $43,515.12$ | $54,393.90$ | $65,272.69$ |
| 6 | $45,690.88$ | $57,113.60$ | $68,536.32$ |
| 7 | $47,975.42$ | $59,969.28$ | $71,963.14$ |
| 8 | $50,374.20$ | $62,967.74$ | $75,561.29$ |
| 9 | $52,892.90$ | $66,116.13$ | $79,339.36$ |
| 10 | $55,537.55$ | $69,421.94$ | $83,306.33$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Title | Grade |
| Police Officer Trainee | 1 |
| Police Officer | 2 |
| Police Corporal | 6 |
| Police Detective | 6 |
| Police Sergeant | 10 |

## Recommended Implementation <br> - Excluding Police

|  | \# of Staff |  | Current Salary | Proposed Salary |  | Difference |  | \% Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Below Min | 442 | \$ | 12,799,567.06 | \$ | 13,864,421.83 | \$ | 1,064,854.78 | 8.32\% |
| Employee Within Range | 287 | \$ | 11,309,532.47 | \$ | 11,535,723.12 | \$ | 226,190.65 | 2.00\% |
| Employee Above Max | 2 | \$ | 127,802.69 | \$ | 127,802.69 | \$ | - |  |
| Total - Wages Only | 731 | \$ | 24,236,902.21 | \$ | 25,527,947.64 | \$ | 1,291,045.43 | 5.33\% |
| Total Including Benefits |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,803,848.67 |  |

- Police

- Total cost of implementation is $\$ 2,564,067$, impact to general fund is $\$ 1,998,705$


## Questions and Discussion
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