### CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETITION INFORMATION					
Docket	W-3578					
Staff	Bryan D. Wilson					
Petitioner(s)	Vanguard Properties of Winston-Salem, LLC & Ramey Development					
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Corporation					
Owner(s)	Same					
Subject Property	PINs 6854-34-9337 and 6854-33-1619					
Address	The vacant site is currently unaddressed					
Type of Request	Special Use Rezoning					
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property <u>from</u> RS9 (Residential, Single Family –9,000 square					
	foot minimum lot size) and LI (Limited Industrial) <u>to</u> RM18-S (Residential, Multifamily – 18 units per acre). The petitioner is					
	requesting the following uses:					
	• Residential Building, Multi-Family; Residential Building, Townhouse; Residential Building, Duplex; Residential Building, Twin Home; Residential Building, Single Family; and Planned Residential Development					
	<b>NOTE:</b> General, Special Use Limited, and Special Use zoning were discussed with the petitioner(s), who decided to pursue the rezoning as presented. With a General Use request, all uses in the district must be considered.					
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.					
Zoning District	The RM18 District is primarily intended to accommodate multifamily					
Purpose	uses at a maximum overall density of eighteen (18) units per acre. This					
Statement	district is appropriate for Growth Management Areas 1 and 2 and may					
	be suitable for Growth Management Area 3 and Metro Activity Centers					
	where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads,					
	parks, and other governmental support services are available, and the					
	site has direct access to a minor or major thoroughfare.					
Rezoning	Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the					
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?					
from Section	Yes, the site is within GMA 3, has adequate access to public utilities,					
3.2.19 A 16	and is located along a minor throughfare. The request proposes a density					
	of less than 8 units per acre.					
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location	East side of Cole Road, north of US 311 and south of Interstate 40					
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem					
Ward(s)	Southeast					
Ward(s) July 2023	Southeast					
Site Acreage	± 55.95 acres					

Current		The site is currently undeveloped.					
Land Use	e	The site is currently undeveloped.					
Surround	ding	Direction	Direction Zoning District		Use		
Property	Zoning	North	RS9, RM12-S, and		Interstate 40		
and Use			RM8-	S			
		East	RS9			oped property	
		South	RS9		U	nily homes and	
						oped property	
		West	RS9			amily homes	
Rezoning			· •	-	-	fication/request	
Consider		compatible wit	h uses permitte	d on other	properties in	n the vicinity?	
from Sec		Yes, the site is l	argely surround	ed by single	e-family zoni	ng districts. The	
<b>3.2.19</b> A	16	proposed multif	amily apartment	ts are locate	ed internally t	o the site, away	
		from existing si	ngle-family hom	nes. The we	stern portion	of the site is	
		proposed to con	tain attached tov	wnhomes, w	which are gen	erally	
		considered to be	e a compatible la	and use with	n single-famil	y zoning	
		districts.					
Physical		The undevelope	d site has signif	icant and va	arying sloping	g topography.	
<b>Characteristics</b> There is one designated stream running through the			ough the west	tern portion of			
		the site.					
Proximit	•	This site has access to public water along this section of Cole Road					
Water an	nd Sewer	public sewer exists within the property along a stream bank.					
Stormwa	ter/	Stormwater and runoff drainage will be managed by eight propo				nt proposed	
Drainage	brainage devices located thro			site.			
Watersh		The site is not located within a water supply watershed.					
Overlay ]							
Analysis		The site is currently undeveloped and heavily wooded. The site contains					
General		sloping topogra				1	
Informat	tion	access to public utilities and is not located within a water supply					
		watershed.					
				IIIOTADII	20		
Con	Deserve		NT ZONING I				
Case Reque				Acreage		mendation	
W 0706 D004		Date	from Site	20.20	Staff	CCPB	
W-2786	RS9 to		Southwest	39.39	Approval	Approval	
W 25CO	RM8-			5.60	A	A	
W-2560	RS9 to	11		5.63	Approval	Approval	
W 0007	RM12-			A 17	A., 1	A 1	
W-2237	RS9 to	11		4.17	Approval	Approval	
		11/2/199					
			request				
			and south				

W-2016	MU-S to I	MU-	Approved	West	32.28	Approval	Approval
	S		12/18/1995				
	SITE	ACC	ESS AND T	<b>RANSPORT</b> A	<b>ATION INF</b>	ORMATIC	DN
Street	Name	Clas	ssification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	-	ity at Level of Service D
Cole	Road		Minor roughfare	900 feet	1,654		15,636
Proposed Point(s)	<b>Access</b>	The proposed site plan shows two access points along Cole Road.				Cole Road.	
Proposed Improve		The developer will be required to provide left and right turn slip lanes with 50 feet of storage at both proposed entrances to accommodate anticipated traffic generation. The developer will also be required to dedicate 45 feet of additional right-of-way from the centerline of Cole Road along the frontage.				commodate e required to	
Trip Gen Existing/	eration - Proposed	Existing Zoning: RS9         59.95 acres/9,000sf = 290 homes x 9.57 (single-family trip rate) = 2,777         trips per day         Proposed Zoning: RM18-S					
		354 units x 6.65 (apartment trip rate) + 78 units x 5.81 (residential townhouse trip rate) = 2,804 trips per day					
Sidewalk	S	The developer will provide fee-in-lieu for sidewalks along the site frontage of Cole Road.					ng the site
Transit		The closest transit stop is approximately 1.2 miles north of the site at the intersection of Broadbay Drive and Waughtown Street. No other transit location is within the vicinity of the site.					
Connecti	vity	The proposed site plan shows two separate access points along Cole Road. There are two internal streets which would cross an unnamed stream to access the apartments in the eastern portion of the site. No new public streets are proposed within the proposed development.					
Transpor Impact A (TIA)		The submitted TIA did not propose any additional improvements. WSDOT has required additional turn lanes with storage to provide safe turning movements into the development.					
Analysis Access an Transpor Informat	nd rtation	The proposed development includes two new access points, with two private streets crossing an unnamed stream to serve the apartments located on the eastern part of the site. Staff analyzed potential opportunities for future connectivity and found that on-site topography and natural features prevent any rational future connections to neighboring properties. Despite the lack of connectivity, the proposed development access points provide sufficient access to the site while minimizing potential negative impacts on the surrounding environment.					

SITE	PLAN COMPLIA	NCE WI	TH U	DO RE	OUIREMENTS		
Building	Square Footage			Placement on Site			
Square Footage	213,615sf; includes		All townhomes are located on the western				
				on of th	e site. All apartment buildings		
	1			located on the eastern portion of the			
	buildings site.				1		
Units (by type)	•	Three-b	edroo	m town	homes- 78 units		
and Density	•				ents– 34 units		
· ·	•			-	nents– 107 units		
	•			1	ments–213 units		
	-	Thee o	curoor	in upur			
		Total	anartn	nent uni	ts: 354		
		10000		Units:			
Parking	Required	Pr	oposed		Layout		
	821		889		Internal garages and head-in		
					surface parking		
Building Height	Maxim	um			Proposed		
0 0	60 fee	et		Th	ree- and four-story buildings		
Impervious	Maxim	um			Proposed		
Coverage	80%				28.34%		
UDO Sections	• Section 4.5.	14: RM1	8: Res	idential	Multifamily District		
Relevant to					ng, Multifamily; Residential		
Subject Request					•		
<b>U I</b>	Building, Townhouse; or Residential Building, Twin Home (W) (use-specific standards)						
	<ul> <li>Table 6.1.2: Motor Vehicle and Bicycle Parking Space Requirements</li> </ul>			cycle Parking Space			
Complies with	(A) Legacy 2030 policies: Yes						
Section 3.2.11	(B) Environmental Ord. N/A						
	(C) Subdivision Reg	ulations	Yes				
Analysis of Site	The proposed site	plan illus	trates	nine mu	ltifamily apartment buildings		
Plan Compliance					ortion of the site and 78		
with UDO	townhomes on the	western j	portior	n of the	site. The plan proposes two		
Requirements	entrances to the de	velopmei	nt and	shows a	all required internal sidewalk		
	connections. Additionally, the site plan shows a 20-foot Type II				nows a 20-foot Type II		
	bufferyard around the perimeter of the site, as well as an additional 40-						
	foot buffer along the Cole Road frontage to provide extra screening.						
	Stormwater on site is proposed to be managed with eight separate						
	stormwater device						
	NFORMITY TO 1						
Legacy 2030	Growth Manageme	ent Area í	3 - Su	burban	Neighborhoods		
Growth							
Management							
Area							
Relevant	• Encourage a mixture of residential densities and housing types						
Legacy 2030	through land use recommendations.						
<b>Recommendations</b>			4				
W-3578 Staff Report	4 April 20						

	<ul> <li>Create new and enhance existing neighborhoods, emphasizing connectivity, walkability, a variety of land uses, and access to services and institutional uses.</li> <li>Design to preserve the natural features of a site, such as its tonography and views, when developing land.</li> </ul>				
	topography and views, when developing land.				
Relevant Area	Southeast Suburban Area Plan Update (2016)				
Plan(s)					
Area Plan	• The area plan recommends industrial uses for the subject				
Recommendations	property.				
Site Located	The site is not located along a growth corridor.				
Along Growth					
Corridor?					
Site Located	The site is not located within an activity center.				
within Activity					
Center?					
Comprehensive	The Comprehensive Transportation Plan recommends sidewalks on				
Transportation	both sides of this section of Cole Road.				
<b>Plan</b> Information					
Greenway Plan	The Greenway Plan Update recommends a future greenway expansion				
Information	along this section of Fiddler's Creek. The developer has provided the				
	required 40-foot easement as a part of this request.				
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the				
Consideration	petition?				
from Section	No.				
3.2.19 A 16	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?				
	Yes.				
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	The request is to rezone an approximately 55.95-acre site from RS9 and LI to RM18-S. The request proposes a mixture of 354 apartment units and 78 townhomes.				
	The proposed density of 7.72 units per acre is comparable to that of an RM8 district, but the petitioner has requested the RM18 district to allow for a maximum building height of 60 feet. The proposed plan is sensitive to the surrounding single-family homes, with all nine apartment buildings located on the eastern portion of the site and the townhome units located to the west.				
	Although the <i>Southeast Suburban Area Plan Update</i> recommends industrial land use at this location, further analysis by staff found that the site's topography, streams, and limited access constrain its ability to support future industrial development. Additionally, the site is close to existing single-family homes and other multifamily development to the west. <i>Legacy</i> encourages the development of a mixture of housing types throughout the serviceable land area.				

While the request is expected to significantly increase traffic near the site, Cole Road will still be under capacity even if this site is developed as proposed.					
CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIS	T WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal				
The request would provide needed additional housing in the serviceable land area.	The request is not consistent with the specific land use recommendation of the area plan.				
The request is generally consistent with the recommendations of <i>Legacy 2030</i> .					
The request would not significantly impact the traffic capacity of Cole Road. SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL					
The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:					
City of Winston-Salem. If requisited shall be submitted and approve management device into any b to remain, or in close proximit	<b>ING PERMITS:</b> tormwater management study for review by the nired, an engineered stormwater management plan ed. Relocation or installation of any stormwater uffer areas or existing vegetated areas designated y to adjacent property with residential zoning, proval at minimum and may require a Site Plan				
<ul> <li>b. Developer shall cordon off all a areas or undisturbed areas. The Vegetation in all these areas sh accordance with UDO requirer</li> <li>c. Developer shall obtain a drivew improvements may include but</li> <li>Installation of a 50-foot-long</li> </ul>	vay permit from WSDOT; additional				

- a. All documents, including covenants, restrictions, and homeowners' association agreements, shall be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds. Final plats must show common open space declarations. Covenants relating to stormwater must be approved by the City of Winston-Salem Stormwater Division.
- b. Developer shall build proposed streets to UDO street standards.
- c. Final plat shall show lots, common areas, streets, and utilities.
- d. Developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit.

e. The final plat shall include the dedication of a 40-foot greenway easement along the north side of Fiddlers Creek. The easement shall begin outside of the undisturbed portion of the required stream buffer per the Stormwater Management Ordinance.

### • PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

## • <u>PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY</u>:

a. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> <u>recommendations</u>, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY**.

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3578 APRIL 13, 2023

Bryan Wilson presented the staff report.

George Bryan asked if there were any site issues with the proposed development, to which Bryan replied he is not aware of any.

### PUBLIC HEARING

#### FOR:

Steve George, representative with CSC Group, the petitioner.

• Mr. George stated he is available to answer any questions, and that this is his company's first development venture in Winston-Salem.

George Bryan asked if the neighbors had expressed any concerns to Mr. George, who replied that there were only a few residents who participated in the neighborhood outreach calls. One neighbor expressed a desire to sell an adjacent property to the developer.

AGAINST: John Mark Hendrick

• Mr. Hendrick stated there are already several multifamily developments in the area. He noted that this is a high crime area. His other concerns related to an increase in crime and traffic, and a decrease in property values.

Greg Richardson

• Mr. Richardson stated his family has lived on Cole Road for multiple generations. He is concerned with the crime in the area and feels it will increase with approval of the proposed development.

### Andrew Warrington

• Mr. Warrington recently moved to the area and commented on the high crime rate caused by the local apartments and is concerned about increased crime due to the proposed development. He is also concerned about an increase of traffic.

W-3578 Staff Report

### WORK SESSION

Board members discussed the community's concerns with crime and traffic in the area.

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is inconsistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment. SECOND: Jason Grubbs VOTE: FOR: George Bryan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO Director of Planning and Development Services