CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION		
Docket	W-3567			
Staff	Marc Allred			
Petitioner(s)	WR Hutchings Construction, LLC			
Owner(s)	Same			
Subject Property	PIN 6847-89-84	458		
Type of Request	Special Use rez	oning		
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property from RS9 (Residential Single Family – 9,000 square feet minimum lot size) to RM8-S (Residential, Multifamily – 8 units per acre). The petitioner is requesting the following uses: • Residential Building, Multifamily; Residential Building, Single Family; Residential Building, Duplex; Residential Building, Townhouse; Residential Building, Twin Home; and Planned Residential Development			
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of t	the petitioner's neighborhoo	d outreach is attached.	
Zoning District	The RM8 District is primarily intended to accommodate duplexes, twin			
Purpose	homes, townhouses, multifamily, and other low intensity multifamily			
Statement	uses at a maximum overall density of eight (8) units per acre. This district is appropriate for Growth Management Areas 2 and 3 and may			
	be suitable for Metro Activity Centers where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental			
	support services are available.			
Rezoning	Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the			
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?			
from Section	Yes. The site is in GMA 3 and has adequate access to public			
3.2.19 A 16	infrastructure.			
	an in a	AT CUMP INTO PAGE AT A		
T		AL SITE INFORMATION		
Location	Northeast corner of the intersection of Old Walkertown Road and			
Inwindiation	Dippen Road. Winston Solam and Forsyth County (Panding City Appayation)			
Jurisdiction Ward(s)	Winston-Salem and Forsyth County (Pending City Annexation)			
Ward(s) July 2023	Northeast			
Site Acreage	Northeast ± 7.19 acres			
Current		ently vacant land. The cite w	vas previously the location of	
Land Use	The site is currently vacant land. The site was previously the location of a nursing care facility that was demolished during construction of the			
Zana Ose	Northern Beltway.			
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use	
Property Zoning	North	RS9	Vacant land	
and Use	South	RS9	Church and vacant land	

Proposed Point(s) Proposed		1 1			ed for the p		
_	Access	The proposed of	I	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	No additional road improvements are required for the proposed		
D 1	A gaogg	The proposed site plan shows a single access point from Dippen Road.					
Dipper	n Road	Collector Street	483'	N/A		N/A	
Ro	oad	Thoroughfare		,			
Old Wal	lkertown	Major	556'	Count 7,000		18,200	
Street	Name	Classification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip	_	city at Level of Service D	
	SITE	ACCESS AND					
			ior rezonings e	 vist in the ir			
Case	Reques	st Decision Date	& Direction from Site	Acreage	Recon	nmendation CCPB	
			NT ZONING				
Informati	ion	public utilities and is not located within a water supply watershed.					
General S		The subject property is currently vacant and generally flat due to its previous use for a nursing care facility. The site has adequate access to					
Analysis		The subject pro	perty is currently	y vacant and	generally f	lat due to its	
Overlay I		The site is not located within a water supply watershed.					
Watershe	nd and	documentation to verify compliance with the Stormwater Division.					
		development. The developer will need to provide additional					
		decrease in impervious area from the previous nursing care facility					
		ordinance requirements. The site appears to be exempt from any new stormwater controls as the proposed development would result in a net					
		•			•		
Drainage		devices currentl into the City of	• • •	-			
Stormwa		The property ge	•				
Water an							
Proximity		Public water and	d sewer are avai	lable to the p	property.		
		northern end.					
Characte	ristics	nursing care fac					
Physical		southeast along New Walkertown Road. The majority of the property is graded and flat due to its former use for a					
		south. The closest multifamily district is approximately ½ mile to the					
		mixture of rural land, large lot single-family homes and a church to the					
3.2.19 A 1	16	other properties	•		-	•	
from Sect		No. The use Res					
Consider	ation	compatible wit	h uses permitte	d on other p	properties i	in the vicinity?	
Rezoning	Ţ	Is/are the use(s) permitted under the proposed classification/request					
		East West	RS9 N/A			ern Beltway	
		Hact	R €U		Δατίο	cultural land	

Trip Generation -	Existing Zoning: RS9				
Existing/Proposed	$7.19 \text{ acres} = 34 \text{ potential homes(RS9)} \times 9.57 \text{ (single-family trip rate)}$.57 (single-family trip rate) =	
	325.38 trips per day				
		•			
	Proposed Zoning:	RM8-S			
	56 units x 6.65 (ap		in rate	=) - 372	40 trips per day
	30 umts x 0.03 (ap	artificite ti	ip rau	c) = 312	.40 trips per day
Sidewalks	Sidowalka ara pror	osod on a	no sic	lo of the	internal private street. No
Sidewalks					
	additional sidewalks are existing or proposed along either public road				
_	frontage.	<u> </u>			
Transit	No transit opportu				
Analysis of Site				-	hicular access point and
Access and	internal sidewalks	for pedes	trian c	onnecti	vity between the parking area
Transportation	and the apartment	buildings.	No si	idewalk	s exist or are proposed along
Information	_	_			ublic transit available in the
	area.	· ·		•	
	The number of trir	s generat	ed by	this dev	elopment would be
		_	•		developed under the current
		_	-		c generation is not expected to
	, c		-		
	have a significant impact on the capacity of this section of Old				
CITE	Walkertown Road.				
	PLAN COMPLIA		I'H U		
Building	Square Foota				Placement on Site
Square Footage	5,552 square feet.		e two-story building is on the eastern		
			portion of the site.		
			The remaining three-story buildings are		
	of 6,460 square feet each. located in the northern and southern			n the northern and southern	
	portions of site.			portions of site.	
Units (by type)	Two bedroom - 56 units Total units - 56 units		6 units		
and Density			units		
· ·	56 units/7.19 acres = 7.79 units per acre		units per acre		
Parking	Required	Pro	posec	ı	Layout
8	98		113		90-degree parking
Building Height	Maxim			<u> </u>	Proposed
Dunding Height	45'				37'
Impervious	Maximum			Proposed	
Coverage	70%				22.28%
	1.5.15				
UDO Sections	• Section 4.5.12: RM18; Residential Multifamily District				
Dolovont to			,		•
Relevant to	• Section 5.2.	71: Resid	ential	Buildin	g, Multifamily; Residential
Relevant to Subject Request	• Section 5.2. Building, To	71: Resid	ential e; or R	Buildin	•
	• Section 5.2.	71: Resid	ential e; or R	Buildin	g, Multifamily; Residential
	• Section 5.2. Building, To (use-specifi	71: Resid ownhouse c standard	ential e; or R ls)	Buildin esidenti	g, Multifamily; Residential
	• Section 5.2. Building, To (use-specifi	71: Residownhouse standard: Motor V	ential e; or R ls)	Buildin esidenti	g, Multifamily; Residential al Building, Twin Home (W)
Subject Request	 Section 5.2. Building, To the control of the con	71: Residownhouse c standard: Motor V	ential e; or R ls)	Buildin esidenti	g, Multifamily; Residential al Building, Twin Home (W)
	 Section 5.2. Building, To (use-specifi) Table 6.1.2 Requireme (A) Legacy 2030 poli 	71: Residownhouse c standard: Motor Vonts	ential e; or R ls) Vehicle	Buildin esidenti	g, Multifamily; Residential al Building, Twin Home (W)
Subject Request Complies with	 Section 5.2. Building, To (use-specifi) Table 6.1.2 Requirement 	71: Residownhouse c standard: Motor Vonts	ential e; or R ls) Vehicle	Buildin esidenti	g, Multifamily; Residential al Building, Twin Home (W)

	(C) Subdivision Regulations N/A			
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO Requirements	The proposed site plan depicts five apartment buildings which will contain a total of 56 two-bedroom units. Four of the buildings are proposed to be three-story and one building would be two-stories in height. The plan shows all required access, parking, bufferyard, and landscaping. At this juncture it does not appear that active stormwater management would be required for this site. Staff has worked with the developer to provide an additional 20' bufferyard along the frontage with Old Walkertown Road. The addition of the bufferyard will provide some additional landscape screening to attenuate streetscape view impacts.			
	The petitioner is currently seeking annexation of the property into the City of Winston-Salem and therefore the site will have to meet all City			
	UDO requirements, including any stormwater requirements.			
CC	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES			
Legacy 2030 Growth	GMA 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods			
Management				
Area				
Relevant	Encourage redevelopment and reuse of existing sites and			
Legacy 2030 Recommendations	buildings that is compatible and complementary with the			
Recommendations	 surrounding area. Promote the concept of gentle density in existing neighborhoods. Encourage rurally compatible residential development to minimize the impact on the community's rural character. 			
Relevant Area	Walkertown Area Plan Update (2014)			
Plan(s)	Northeast Suburban Area Plan Update (2017)			
Area Plan Recommendations	 Both area plans proposed land use maps recommend institutional land uses on the site in recognition of the previous nursing care facility use. Higher intensity uses should be located within proposed activity centers. 			
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.			
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an Activity Center.			
Comprehensive	This section of Old Walkertown Road is proposed to be modernized			
Transportation	with paved shoulders and bike lane(s).			
Plan Information				
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the			
Consideration	petition?			
from Section	Yes. The section of the Northern Beltway near the site has been			
3.2.19 A 16	completed. This site does not have direct access to the Beltway corridor			

but is directly adjacent to it. The impacts of the Northern Beltway on future land uses should be considered in any rezoning of this site.

Is the requested action in conformance with Legacy 2030?

No. The request is inconsistent with the surrounding development pattern and the suburban/rural form and character of the area. The request would allow for three-story apartments that are not compatible with the surrounding area.

Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues The request is to rezone an approximately 7.19-acre site from RS9 to RM8-S to develop a 56-unit apartment complex. The petitioner has formally applied for annexation of the Forsyth County portion of the site into the City of Winston-Salem jurisdiction. The ultimate approval of this request is contingent upon annexation approval.

The site plan proposes five apartment buildings, four of which are three-stories in height. Both *Legacy* and both area plans recommend minimizing urban sprawl and keeping higher intensity uses such as midrise apartments along growth corridors and in activity centers. While the site does lie within GMA 3 and has access to water and sewer, it is directly adjacent to GMA 4 which is a rural residential area.

Staff acknowledges that area conditions have changed since the construction and development of this section of the Northern Beltway; however, the closest beltway interchange is at New Walkertown Road, a driving distance of approximately 2.2 miles from the site. The site is surrounded by low-intensity development and is not situated along a growth corridor or within an activity center. While a moderate density attached housing product of no more than two stories may be appropriate at this location, the proposed three-story apartment buildings are out of character with an area dominated by single-story structures and agricultural land. As the proposed development character is inconsistent with both *Legacy 2030* and both area plan recommendations, staff recommends denial of this request as currently proposed.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION

001,020,0101,01012,0201,41111112001,1111111111		
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal	
The request would allow for the	The request is inconsistent with both the	
redevelopment of a previously developed	Walkertown Area Plan Update and the	
site that has access to public utilities.	Northeast Suburban Area Plan Update land use	
	recommendations.	
The Northern Beltway has impacted the	The request is generally inconsistent with the	
character of the area and should be a	recommendations of <i>Legacy 2030</i> which	
consideration in future rezoning requests	promotes development which is compatible with	
along the corridor.	its surroundings.	
	Approval of the request could promote land use	
	intensification and rezonings in an area that is	
	outside of a growth corridor or activity center.	
	_	

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE ANY PERMITS:

a. The entire property shall be accepted for annexation by the City of Winston-Salem.

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. The developer shall submit a stormwater management study for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved. Relocation or installation of any stormwater management device into any buffer areas or existing vegetated areas designated to remain, or in close proximity to adjacent property with residential zoning, shall require a Staff Change approval at minimum and may require a Site Plan Amendment.
- b. The developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the North Carolina Department of Transportation; additional improvements include but are not limited to:
 - Record a negative access easement for the property line(s) adjoining Old Walkertown Road.

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:

- a. The developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit.
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.

OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

a. The developer shall provide a 20' Type II Bufferyard along the entire frontage of Old Walkertown Road.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Denial

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR**REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3567 MARCH 9, 2023

Marc Allred presented the staff report.

George Bryan asked if Walkertown staff was present to address their compatibility concerns with the proposal. Staff explained the proposal was counter to Walkertown's area plan, but that Town staff did not object to this proposal. Jack Steelman asked if this area is served by water and sewer, to which Kirk Ericson replied that it is not. Staff and the Board members discussed the rationale for supporting or opposing high-density development at this location.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: Steve Causey

Mr. Causey, the engineer for the project, stated he was available to answer any questions.

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Mo McRae recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

inconsistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Salvador

Patiño, Jack Steelman AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Mo McRae recommended approval of the ordinance amendment.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Salvador

Patiño, Jack Steelman AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO
Director of Planning and Development Services