CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION		
Docket	W-3554			
Staff	Marc Allred			
Petitioner(s)	James Howard, Kerry Howard, and Margo Cooper			
Owner(s)	Same			
Subject Property	PINs 6823-51-3070 and 6823-51-8174			
Address	This vacant site is not currently addressed.			
Type of Request	Special Use rezoning			
Proposal	 The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property <u>from</u> RS9 (Residential Single Family – 9,000 square feet minimum lot size) and RM12-S (Residential, Multifamily – 12 units per acre) to RM12-S (Residential, Multifamily – 12 units per acre). The petitioner is requesting the following uses: Residential Building, Multifamily; Residential Building, Single Family; Residential Building, Duplex; Residential Building, Townhouse; Residential Building, Twin Home; and Planned Residential Development 			
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.			
Zoning District	The RM12 District is primarily intended to accommodate multifamily			
Purpose	uses at a maximum overall density of twelve (12) units per acre. This			
Statement	district is appropriate for GMAs 1, 2, and 3 and may be suitable for Metro Activity Centers where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental support services, are available.			
Rezoning	Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the			
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?			
from Section	Yes. The site is in GMA 3 and has adequate access to public			
3.2.19 A 16	infrastructure.			
	<u>GENER</u>	AL SITE INFORMATION	N	
Location	East side of Stafford Place Boulevard, south of Stafford Place Circle.			
Jurisdiction	City of Winston-Salem			
Ward(s)	South Ward			
Ward(s) July 2023	South Ward			
Site Acreage	\pm 18.91 acres			
Current	Undeveloped Land			
Land Use	_			
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use	
Property Zoning	North	RM12-S	Apartments	
and Use	East	MRB-S	Retail Stores	
	South	RS9	Single-family homes	
	West	RM18-S	Apartments	

Rezoning	ezoning Is/are the use(s) permitted under the proposed classification/request						
Consider	•	compatible with uses permitted on other properties in the vicinity?					
from Sect		Yes, the proposed uses are compatible with the proposed RM12-S					
3.2.19 A		zoning district and the adjacent properties.				I KW112-5	
J.2.17 11	10	ZOIII	zoning district and the adjacent properties.				
Physical		The site is undeveloped and wooded. There is an intermittent stream that					
Characte	ristics	runs through the middle of the proposed development. PIN 6823-51-					
		3070 slopes to the east and PIN 6823-51-8174 slopes to the west with					
		varying degrees of elevation change.					
Proximity	y to	Publ	ic water and	sewer are avai	lable to this	site.	
Water an	d Sewer						
Stormwa	ter/	The	proposed pla	n depicts two s	stormwater	control devic	es to manage
Drainage	:	each	portion of th	e development	t. Stafford F	Forest Drive w	vill cross the
_		strea	m running th	rough the site	with a culve	ert connecting	g each side of
		the d	levelopment.				
Watershe	ed and	The	site is not loc	ated in a water	r supply wat	tershed.	
Overlay I	Districts						
Analysis	of	The	subject prope	erty is currently	y undevelop	ed and slope	s towards an
General S	Site	inter	mittent stream	n. The site has	adequate a	ccess to publ	ic utilities and is
Informat	ion	not l	ocated withir	n a water suppl	y watershed	ł.	
			RELEVAN	T ZONING	HISTORIE	S	
Case	Reque				Acreage	Recommendation	
	-		Date	from Site	C .	Staff	ССРВ
W-3438	RS9 to)	Approved;	Current	9.81	Approval	Approval
	RM12-			Site			
W-3366	MU-S	to	Approved;	North	11.10	Approval	Approval
	RM12-	S	5/7/18				
W-3215	RS9 to)	Approved;	West	9.83	Approval	Approval
	RM18-	S	5/5/14				
W-2757	RS9 to)	Approved;	East	29.44	Approval	Approval
	MRB-	S	5/2/2005				
	SITE	ACC	ESS AND T	RANSPORTA	ATION INI	FORMATIO	N
Street	Name	Cla	ssification	Frontage Average		Capacity at Level of	
					Daily	S	ervice D
					Trip		
					Count		
Staffor	Stafford Place Local Street		cal Street	184 feet	N/A		N/A
Boule	Boulevard						
Proposed							····
-	Access			ccessed at the			
Point(s)	Access	of St	afford Place	Boulevard. Th	e developer	is also propo	osing a private
-	Access	of St stree	afford Place t stub toward	Boulevard. The sthe existing of	e developer Cedar Post I	is also prope Road street st	osing a private tub. However, a
-	Access	of St stree seve	afford Place t stub toward n foot strip o	Boulevard. The sthe existing of fand in separate	e developer Cedar Post I ate ownersh	is also prope Road street st ip exists betw	osing a private tub. However, a veen the public
-	Access	of St stree seve right	afford Place t stub toward n foot strip of -of-way and	Boulevard. The s the existing of f land in separa the subject pro-	e developer Cedar Post I ate ownersh	is also prope Road street st ip exists betw	osing a private tub. However, a
Point(s)		of St stree sever right made	afford Place t stub toward n foot strip of -of-way and e at this time.	Boulevard. The s the existing of f land in separa the subject pro	e developer Cedar Post I ate ownersh perty which	is also propo Road street st ip exists betw prevents a c	osing a private tub. However, a veen the public
-	Road	of St stree sever right made	afford Place t stub toward n foot strip of -of-way and e at this time.	Boulevard. The s the existing of f land in separa the subject pro-	e developer Cedar Post I ate ownersh perty which	is also propo Road street st ip exists betw prevents a c	osing a private tub. However, a veen the public

Trip Generation -	Existing Zoning: RS9
Existing/Proposed	9.2 acres = 44 homes x 9.57 (single-family trip rate) = 421 trips per day
	Existing Zoning: R12-S
	$\overline{72 \text{ units x 6.65 (apartment trip rate)}} = 478 \text{ trips per day}$
	/2 units it olog (uput uniont unp rute) - 176 unips per ung
	Existing total trip concretion $= 200$ trips non day
	Existing total trip generation $= 899$ trips per day.
	Proposed Zoning: RM12-S
	192 units x 6.65 (apartment trip rate) = $1,277$ trips per day
Sidewalks	Sidewalks currently exist along both sides of Stafford Place Boulevard
	and on the south side of Stafford Village Boulevard between Town
	Square Drive and Peters Creek Parkway.
	Square Drive and Peters Creek Parkway.
	Internal reduction rethrouse one chosen along the nonline areas in front
	Internal pedestrian pathways are shown along the parking areas in front
	of the proposed buildings and along the main access leading to Stafford
	Place Boulevard.
	Due to topography issues, a pedestrian connection accessing the
	Walmart shopping center to the east is not feasible.
Transit	WSTA routes 83 and 101 have stops at the Walmart shopping center at
11 unisit	the corner of Peters Creek Parkway and Stafford Village Boulevard. It is
	a 0.7 mile walk from the bus stop to the proposed development access
	point on Stafford Place Boulevard.
Connectivity	The site connects to the neighboring multifamily development at the
	southern terminus of the publicly maintained portion of Stafford Place
	Boulevard. A private stub street is shown that would allow for a future
	connection to Cedar Post Road to the south; An intervening strip of land
	currently prevents the connection from being made.
Transportation	TIA is not required for this development.
Impact Analysis	The is not required for this development.
(TIA)	
Analysis of Site	The site will have one access point from Stafford Place Boulevard. The
Access and	site plan depicts three streets within the development. Transit is
Transportation	available at the Walmart shopping center at the corner of Stafford
Information	Village Boulevard and Peters Creek Parkway. Sidewalks exist along
	Stafford Place Boulevard and Stafford Village Boulevard to allow for
	safe pedestrian access to the shopping center and to public transit.

SITE	PLAN COMPLIA	NCE W	ITH U	DO RE	QUIREMENTS	
Building	Square Footage			Placement on Site		
Square Footage	 Building 100, 400, and 500 – 25,668 Square Feet each Building 200, 300, 600, and 700 – 25,141 Square Feet each Building 800 – 26,208 Square Feet Community Center – 2,292 Square Feet 			 Building 100, 400, and 500 will be located on the southside of Stafford Forest Drive. Building 200 and 300 will be located on the southwest part of property. Building 600 and 700 will be located on the southeast part of property. Building 800 and Community Center will be located on north side of Stafford Forest Drive. 		
Units (by type)	One bedroom – 30 units					
and Density	 Two bedroom - 80 units <u>Three bedroom - 82 units</u> Total units = 192 units 192 units/18.91 acres = 10.15 units per acre 					
Parking	Required				Layout	
	Vehicle – 349			355	Vehicle – 90-degree parking Bicycle – five racks with four spaces each	
Building Height	Maximum				Proposed	
	45' 40'		40'			
Impervious	Maxim			Proposed		
Coverage	75%				33%	
UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	 Section 4.5.13: RM12; Residential Multifamily District Section 5.2.71: Residential Building, Multifamily; Residential Building, Townhouse; or Residential Building, Twin Home (W) (use-specific standards) Table 6.1.2: Motor Vehicle and Bicycle Parking Space Requirements 					
Complies with	(A) <i>Legacy 2030</i> policies:		Yes	Yes		
Section 3.2.11	(B) Environmental Ord.		N/A	N/A		
	(C) Subdivision Regulations		N/A	N/A		
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO Requirements	The proposed site plan depicts eight multifamily apartment buildings and one community center. The community center is located near the proposed access point at Stafford Place Boulevard. Common recreation areas are proposed in the southeastern and northeastern portion of the site. The site plan shows a required 40' Type II Bufferyard adjacent to the adjoining RS9-zoned properties to the south. The developer is proposing a six-foot opaque fence in addition to the bufferyard to screen					

	the southern common recreation area from the adjacent single-family homes.			
CC	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES			
<i>Legacy 2030</i> Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods			
Relevant <i>Legacy 2030</i> Recommendations	 Concentrate development within the serviceable land area of Forsyth County, with the highest intensities at activity centers. Encourage the inclusion of housing at higher residential densities in activity centers. Facilitate land use patterns that offer convenient access to shopping. 			
Relevant Area Plan(s)	South Suburban Area Plan Update (2018)			
Area Plan Recommendations	 Intermediate-density residential land use is recommended for sites greater than two acres that are appropriately developed with multifamily or townhouse structures. The proposed land use map recommends intermediate density residential land use for the western parcel (8.1-18 units per acre) and low-density attached residential (0-8 units per acre) for the eastern parcel. 			
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.			
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is within the Peters Creek Activity Center.			
Comprehensive Transportation Plan Information	No improvements are recommended for Stafford Place Boulevard.			
Rezoning Consideration	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the			
from Section	petition? No			
3.2.19 A 16	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?			
	Yes. The site is within a designated activity center and proposes multifamily units that are in proximity to services and employment centers.			
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and	The request is to rezone an approximately 18.91-acre site from RS9 and RM12-S to RM12-S to construct 192 apartment units.			
Planning Issues	The proposal meets the recommendation of the <i>South Suburban Area</i> <i>Plan Update</i> for multifamily development at this location. The proposed development density of 10.15 units per acre is appropriate here.			

Eurthormore, the site is	s directly adjacent to existing multifamily			
development and nes v	within the Peters Creek Activity Center.			
	ted by the recommendations of <i>Legacy</i> , which			
	lopment be concentrated within the serviceable			
	ges the inclusion of higher density residential			
development within activity centers.				
CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The proposal meets the recommendations	The site has limited vehicular connectivity, with			
of the South Suburban Area Plan Update	only one primary access point.			
and <i>Legacy 2030</i> .				
The proposed development would provide	The development does not include a direct			
additional needed housing units at an	pedestrian connection to the adjacent shopping			
appropriate location within the serviceable	center to the east.			
land area.				
The site is located within a designated				
activity center and has access to				
multimodal transit.				
SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL				

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• **PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS**:

- a. The developer shall have a stormwater management study submitted for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved. Relocation or installation of any stormwater treatment device into any buffer areas, vegetation designated to remain, or close proximity to adjacent residentially zoned land shall require a Staff Change approval at minimum and may require a Site Plan Amendment.
- b. The developer shall cordon off all areas shown on the site plan as stream buffer areas or undisturbed areas. These areas shall be retained and not disturbed. Vegetation in all these areas shall be protected from grading encroachment in accordance with UDO requirements.
- c. The developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of the driveway permit.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

• **PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:**

- a. The developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit.
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.
- c. The developer shall install a six-foot high opaque fence along the rear of the southern common recreation area as depicted on the site plan.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> <u>recommendations</u>, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY**.

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3554 JANUARY 12, 2023

Marc Allred presented the staff report.

George Bryan asked if staff had any concerns about the location of proposed common recreation areas and whether they would be easily accessible to all residents. Marc Allred responded that staff did not.

Brenda Smith asked if the proposed opaque fence would exist in the conjunction with the vegetative buffer. Marc responded yes, the vegetative buffer would be on the outside of the fence and extend along the entire south side of the site, adjacent to the single-family residential neighborhood.

George asked whether staff considering this site walkable to Wal-Mart. Chris Murphy stated there are existing sidewalks along Stafford Village Boulevard that will allow residents to access the commercial areas at the intersection with Peters Creek Parkway.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR:

Davis Ray, 5614 Riverdale Drive, Jamestown, NC 27282

• Mr. Ray gave a brief overview of the project

AGAINST:

Angela Caudle, 1705 Cedarberry Court, Winston-Salem, NC 27127

- I have been a landowner here for 35 years. This site used to be a tobacco farm.
- I understand the need for housing. I am fine with development but am concerned that I will be looking out from my patio into somebody's home.
- I am not sure how this development adds value to my home.
- As a native American, the creek and wildlife drew me to this area. I am afraid that this will be going away now.

Debra Kelker, 1617 Kesteven Road, Winston-Salem, NC 27127

• I have three concerns.

- I know the Board had concerns when they approved the adjacent 72-unit development with a single access point. This project will add 192 more units on top of the existing 72. We have concerns regarding the stub street where this development could connect with our subdivision.
- I have a very high concern for my property value. The property between this development and our subdivision went from a value of \$33,300 on January 7, 2020 to a value of \$4,600 on September 1, 2020.
- Will the stub street be closed off as part of the proposed bufferyard?
- Many residents of our subdivision regularly walk to Wal-Mart and Lowes. If built, residents of this project will be able to walk through our neighborhood to access Wal-Mart.
- If you take down the trees on this site, you leave our tall trees in a very precarious position. They may fall without support.

WORK SESSION

- MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is consistent with the comprehensive plan.
- SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment. SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO Director of Planning and Development Services