Project - Crosland Park Master Plan

MWBE Commitment	
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?	Points
Certified MWBE Compliance-Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor.	5
Not Qualified Vendors proposal indicated that they did not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement.	0

Location of Business	
Location	Points
Within Winston-Salem	5
Within North Carolina	3
Outside of North Carolina	0

Work Experience	
Level	Points
>5 Relevant Projects	5
4-5 Relevant Projects	4
3-4 Relevant Projects	3
1-2 Relevant Projects	2
0 Relevant Projects	0

Understanding of Project	
Level	Points
Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses	5
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses	4
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project's performance requirements. May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor weaknesses.	3
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully meeting the project's requirements. Significant weaknesses are demonstrated and clearly outweigh any strengths presented.	2
Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	1

Proposed Plan of Action	
Level	Points
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They	
communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got	5
project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they	
said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They	
communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got	4
project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they	
said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They	
communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got	3
project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they	
said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They	
communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got	1
project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they	
said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They	
communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got	0
project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they	
said it look/turnout in the proposal.	

Staff Availability	
Percent Available	Points
81 to 100	5
71 to 80	4
51 to 70	3
31 to 50	2
21 to 30	1
0 to 20	0

Firm Nam	e:	NORWO	OOD
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	5.00	100.00
Work Experience	25.00	5.00	125.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	3.20	48.00
Proposed Plan of Action	15.00	4.00	60.00
Staff Availability	5.00	4.00	20.00
Final Score			453.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name	:	STIMM	IEL
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Work Experience	25.00	5.00	125.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.80	72.00
Proposed Plan of Action	15.00	4.80	72.00
Staff Availability	5.00	5.00	25.00
Final Score			394.0
_	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name:	JOR	DAN CON	SULTANTS
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Work Experience	25.00	5.00	125.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	5.00	75.00
Proposed Plan of Action	15.00	4.40	66.00
Staff Availability	5.00	4.00	20.00
Final Score			386.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500