CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION				
Docket	W-3521					
Staff	Marc Allred					
Petitioner(s)	Covington-Ring, Incorporated					
Owner(s)	Same					
Subject Property	PIN 6818-44-8854					
Address	The vacant parcel does not have an address assignment.					
Type of Request	Site Plan Amendment					
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the site plan approved with Zoning Docket W-3502. The approved plan was for a 107-unit townhouse development. The proposed plan would reimagine the site as an 83-lot detached Planned Residential Development (PRD).					
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.					
Zoning District	The RM8 District is primarily intended to accommodate duplexes, twin					
Purpose	homes, townhouses, multifamily, and other low intensity multifamily					
Statement	uses at a maximum overall density of eight (8) units per acre. This					
	district is appropriate for Growth Management Areas 2 and 3 and may					
		be suitable for Metro Activity Centers where public facilities, including				
	public water and sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental support services are available.					
Rezoning	Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the					
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?					
from Section	Yes, the site is located within GMA 3 and is a suitable location for all					
3.2.19 A 16	residential uses. The request has a density less than 8 units per acre and					
	has access to public utilities.					
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location	West side of Murray Road, across from Becks Church Road					
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem					
Ward(s)	North					
Site Acreage	± 20.99 acres					
Current Land Use	The site is currently undeveloped.					
Surrounding	Direction Zoning District Use					
Property Zoning	North	RS9	Manufactured housing			
and Use			development			
	East	RS9	Single-family homes			
	South	RS9	Single-family homes			
	West	МН	Manufactured housing development			

Rezoning Consider	ation	Is/are the use(s) permitted under the proposed classification/request compatible with uses permitted on other properties in the vicinity?						
from Sec 3.2.19 A		The proposed uses are compatible with adjacent residential uses and the surrounding residentially zoned area.						
Physical Characte	eristics	The site is currently undeveloped with heavy vegetation. The site is relatively flat with no water features. A stream follows the western border near Bright Oak Avenue.						
Proximit	y to	The site has access to water from Murray Road. Sewer is available from						
Water ar	d Sewer	the west and south. As the request proposes extending sewer from the						
				ner will have to	-			
		property to the south (PIN 6818-44-6379) to access public sewer.						
Stormwa				ff will be mana	ged by two	detention po	onds with sand	
Drainage		filte	S.					
Watersho Overlay		The	The site is not located in a water supply watershed.					
Analysis		The	subject prop	erty is currently	undevelop	ed and has fa	avorable	
General			topography. The site has adequate access to public utilities and is not					
Informat	ion	located within a watershed.						
RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES								
Case	Reque	st	Decision &		Acreage		nmendation	
	_		Date Approved	from Site Current	0	Staff	ССРВ	
W-3502	W-3502 RM12-S RM8-S		12/6/2021	site	20.99	Approval	Approval	
W-2063	RS9 to RS9-S		Denied 11/4/1996	North of site	23.60	Approval	Approval	
W-1990	RM12-S to RM12-S		Denied 11/20/1995	Current site	20.99	Approval	Approval	
W-827	R-6 to R-		Approved 5/18/1981	site	21.68	Approval	Approval	
	SITE ACCESS AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION							
Street Name Cla			ssification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	Capacity at Level of Service D		
Murray Road Tho		Minor proughfare	433 feet	9,300	13,800			
Proposed Point(s)		The request proposes access from Murray Road, aligned with Becks Church Road.						
Planned Improve		The proposed site plan shows a southbound right turn lane on Murray Road with 25 feet of storage, as well as a northbound left turn lane on Murray Road (at the intersection with Becks Church Road) with 50 feet of storage.						

Trip Generation -	W-3502 Site Plan:					
Existing/Proposed	107 townhouses x 5.81 trips per home = 621.67 trips per day					
	on the second se					
	Proposed Amendment:					
	83 detached homes x 9.57 trips per home = 794.31 trips per day					
Sidewalks	Sidewalks are proposed along one side of all internal streets.					
Transit	WSTA Route 97 stops south of the subject property at the intersection of					
	Shattalon Drive and Murray Road.					
Connectivity	There are no internal stub streets proposed because there are no					
	opportunities for connectivity in the surrounding area.					
Transportation						
Impact Analysis	A TIA is not required for this request.					
(TIA)						
Analysis of Site	The request has one proposed access from Murray Road, across from					
Access and	Becks Church Road. An emergency access is also proposed along					
Transportation Information	Murray Road at the northeastern corner of the site. The <i>Comprehensive</i>					
Imormation	Transportation Plan recommends enhancing Murray Road to					
SITE	accommodate more traffic and pedestrian activity. PLAN COMPLIANCE WITH UDO REQUIREMENTS					
Units (by type)	I LAN COMI LIANCE WITH ODO REQUIREMENTS					
and Density	83 units/20.99 acre	$es = 3.95 \iota$	units per acre			
Parking	Required	Pro	posed	sed Layout		
0			<u> </u>	ž – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		
	2 spaces per	3 spa	aces per	Garage parking with		
	2 spaces per dwelling unit		aces per ling unit	Garage parking with driveways connecting to		
Building Height		dwel		driveways connecting to		
	dwelling unit Maximu 45 fee	dwel		driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A		
Impervious	dwelling unit Maximu	dwel		driveways connecting to public streets Proposed		
Impervious Coverage	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce	dwel	ling unit	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce	dwel	ling unit	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce • Section 4.5. • Section 5.2.	dwel	ling unit 8 Residential	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce • Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards)	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8	8 Residential ned Residentia	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce • Section 4.5. • Section 5.2.	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8	ling unit 8 Residential	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce • Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards)	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies:	8 Residential ned Residentia	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce • Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 police	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies:	8 Residential ned Residentia	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11	Maximu 45 fee Maximu 85 perce • Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 polic (B) Environmental Of	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies: rdinance	8 Residential ned Residential Yes Yes Yes	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District al Development (use-specific		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site	Maximum 45 fee Maximum 85 percer Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 policity (B) Environmental Office (C) Subdivision Regularity (C) S	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies: rdinance ulations	8 Residential ned Residential Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District al Development (use-specific		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance	Maximum 45 fee Maximum 85 percer Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 policity (B) Environmental Office (C) Subdivision Regularity public streets. Side	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies: rdinance ulations plan show walks are	8 Residential ned Residential Yes Yes Yes Yes As 3 detached shown on on	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District al Development (use-specific displayed) displayed residential lots facing internal are side of the internal streets,		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	Maximum 45 fee Maximum 85 perce Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 policity (C) Subdivision Regularity public streets. Side along with a central contraction of the proposed site proposed sit	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies: rdinance ulations plan show walks are ally locate	Residential red Residential Yes Yes Yes Yes As 83 detached shown on ond common red	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District al Development (use-specific dresidential lots facing internal te side of the internal streets, creation area. The proposed		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance	Maximum 45 fee Maximum 85 perces Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 policity (C) Subdivision Regulary 2030 policity (C) Subdivision Regulary 2030 with a central site plan also included the standards of the proposed site proposed sit	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies: rdinance ulations plan show walks are ally locate des requir	Residential Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes And the common resided bufferyard	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District al Development (use-specific al Development (use-specific de side of the internal streets, creation area. The proposed des, two stormwater control		
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	Maximum 45 fee Maximum 85 perces Section 4.5. • Section 5.2. standards) (A) Legacy 2030 policity (C) Subdivision Regulary 2030 policity (C) Subdivision Regulary 2030 with a central site plan also included the standards of the proposed site proposed sit	dwell um et um ent .12: RM-8 .66: Plann cies: rdinance ulations plan show walks are ally locate des requir	Residential Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes And the common resided bufferyard	driveways connecting to public streets Proposed N/A Proposed 35.07 percent Multifamily District al Development (use-specific dresidential lots facing internal te side of the internal streets, creation area. The proposed		

W-3521 Staff Report 3 April 2022

CC	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES		
Legacy 2030 Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods		
Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	 Provide purposeful open space through planned residential developments. Large tree area provides good protection for the stream that is on the western edge of the property. 		
Relevant Area Plan(s)	North Suburban Area Plan Update (2014)		
Area Plan Recommendations	 The area plan recommends intermediate-density residential development between 8.1 and 18 units per acre for the subject property. The area plan also recommends developing a variety of housing types for different income levels, family sizes and personal preferences to provide a mixture of housing opportunities. 		
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.		
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an activity center.		
Comprehensive Transportation Plan Information	The <i>Comprehensive Transportation Plan</i> recommends a three-lane cross-section for Murray Road with a middle turn lane and wide outside lanes with curb, gutter, and sidewalks on both sides.		
Addressing	Addresses will be assigned during final platting.		
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the		
Consideration	petition?		
from Section	No		
3.2.15 A 13	Is the requested action in conformance with Legacy 2030?		
	Yes		

Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues The request is a Site Plan Amendment to convert the previously approved 107-unit townhouse development to an 83-lot Planned Residential Development (PRD). The proposed density would be 3.95 dwelling units per acre. Public utilities and transit are both accessible from the site, and all internal streets are proposed as public streets with sidewalks along one side.

The *North Suburban Area Plan Update* recommends this site for multifamily development with a density of 8.1-18 units per acre. The request proposes a density much lower than the recommended density, and its scale is comparable to the surrounding area.

The request proposes a decrease in density compared to the previously approved plan; however, due to the change to detached residential development, vehicle trips are expected to increase compared to the previous plan. Improvements to Murray Road should work to mitigate resulting traffic impacts.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION **Positive Aspects of Proposal Negative Aspects of Proposal** A large tree save area is proposed to protect the stream on the western edge of the property. The request would provide recreational space (active open space) for residents to This request does not propose any connections to enjoy as an amenity of the development. neighboring development. The request will not drastically increase traffic along Murray Road. The request is in keeping with the development pattern of the residential area north of Shattalon Drive.

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. The developer shall submit a stormwater management study for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved. Relocation or installation of any stormwater management device into any buffer areas or existing vegetated areas designated to remain, or in close proximity to adjacent property with residential zoning, shall require a Staff Change approval at minimum and may require a Site Plan Amendment.
- b. The developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional road improvements may be required prior to the issuance of driveway permits. Required improvements include:
 - Installation of a left turn lane with 50 feet of storage along Murray Road;
 - Installation of a right turn lane with 25 feet of storage along Murray Road; and
 - A fee in lieu of signal design at the intersection of Murray Road and Becks Church Road.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. Developer shall record a negative access easement along the Murray Road frontage.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:

a. The developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit(s).

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3521 APRIL 20, 2022

Marc Allred presented the staff report.

Melynda asked for clarification regarding a proposed condition to acquire property from the adjoining owner to the north. Desmond indicated that the land would provide additional buffering to the north. The previously approved plan showed a 15-foot-wide Type II bufferyard, which would have been required between the multi-family zoning and single-family zoning. Because the new request is for a Planned Residential Development (PRD), a 30-foot buffer is required. Having the extra room allows the petitioner to fit in the required plantings and have more buffer space between the access road and the northern property.

Walter Farabee added that he believes WSDOT needs to add the Murray Road area to its study list.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR:

Nickie Parker, 2806 Reynolda Road #172, Winston-Salem, NC 27106

• I basically want to say that this is going from townhomes to single-family homes.

AGAINST:

Rebecca Silberman, 5315 Shattalon Drive, Winston-Salem, NC 27106

• I am all for the change of development. I want to bring up some of the concerns that you all are bringing up. I just want to see infrastructure increase the same way housing developments are.

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak,

Brenda Smith AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak,

Brenda Smith AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO

Director of Planning and Development Services