## **Project - Turnkey Solution for Real Time Crime Center**

MWBE Commitment		
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?		
<u>Certified M/WBE Compliance-Primary Vendor</u> (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor.	5	
<u>Not Qualified</u> Vendors proposal indicated that they do not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement.	0	
Cost Effectiveness / Price Value		
Response	Points	
Lowest cost compared to other proposals	5	
Less than 10% above lowest proposal	4	
11% - 20% above lowest proposal	3	
21% - 50% above lowest proposal	2	
Greater than 50% above lowest proposal	0	
Relevant Experience and Oualifications		

Relevant Experience and Qualifications		
Rating	Points	
Has significant experience, providing 5 or more verifiable references/clients		
Has great experience, providing 4 or more verifiable reference/clients	4	
Has average experience, providing 3 or more verifiable references/clients		
Fewer than 3 verifiable references/clients were provided.	1	
Did not include the minimum verifiable references/clients	0	
Quality of Proposal		
Response	Points	
Superior : Proposer meets / exceeds a minimum of 95% of system functionalities, fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses	5	
Above Average: Proposer meets / exceeds a minimum of 85% of system functionalities, fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses	4	
Average: Proposer meets / exceeds a minimum of 75% of system functionalities, addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project's requirements. May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor weaknesses.	3	
Below Average: Proposer meets / exceeds < 75% of system functionalities, does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully meeting the project's requirements. Significant weaknesses are demonstrated and clearly outweigh any strengths presented.	1	
Poor: Proposer meets / exceeds < 75%, does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	0	

Constant Technologies, Inc.				
	Weight	Grade	<b>Total Score</b>	
M/WBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00	
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	10.00	4.00	40.00	
Relevant Qualifications / Experience	30.00	5.00	150.00	
Quality of Proposal	40.00	5.00	200.00	
Final Score		Max 500	390.00	
IVCI, LLC				
	Weight	Grade	<b>Total Score</b>	
M/WBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00	
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	10.00	4.50	45.00	
Relevant Qualifications / Experience	30.00	4.00	120.00	
Quality of Proposal	40.00	4.00	160.00	
Final Score		Max 500	325.00	