CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

| | PETITION INFORMATION | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Docket | W-3503 | | | | |
| Staff | Gary Roberts, Jr., AICP | | | | |
| Petitioner(s) | New Church | | | | |
| Owner(s) | Same | | | | |
| Subject Property | PIN 6807-07-3994 | | | | |
| Address | 4505 Yadkinville Road | | | | |
| Type of Request | Special Use rezoning from RS9 to RM5-S | | | | |
| Proposal | The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property from RS9 (Residential, Single Family – 9,000-square foot minimum lot size) to RM5-S (Residential, Multifamily – 5 units per acre maximum density – Special Use). The petitioner is requesting the following uses: • Residential Building, Multifamily; Residential Building, Twin Home; Residential Building, Single Family; Residential Building, Duplex; Residential Building, Townhouse; and Planned Residential Development | | | | |
| Continuance History | This case was continued from the November 10, 2021 Planning Board meeting to the December 9, 2021 meeting. | | | | |
| Neighborhood Contact/Meeting | A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached. | | | | |
| Zoning District Purpose Statement | The RM5 District is primarily intended to accommodate low density, pedestrian-oriented sites and communities containing duplexes, twin homes, multifamily, and townhouse residential buildings with three or four units, and similar residential uses at a maximum overall density of five units per acre. This district is intended for GMAs 2 and 3 and may be suitable for GMA 4 and Metro Activity Centers where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental support services, are available. | | | | |
| Rezoning | Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the | | | | |
| Consideration | requested zoning district(s)? | | | | |
| from Section 3.2.15 A 13 | Yes, the proposed townhouse development is located within GMA 3 (Suburban Neighborhoods) and fronts along two thoroughfares. | | | | |
| | GENERAL SITE INFORMATION | | | | |
| Location | Northeast corner of Yadkinville Road and Grandview Club Road | | | | |
| Jurisdiction | Winston-Salem | | | | |
| Ward(s) | Northwest | | | | |
| Site Acreage | \pm 25 acres | | | | |
| Current | The site is currently undeveloped and was previously part of the | | | | |
| Land Use | Grandview golf course. | | | | |

| Surround | ding | Direction | | Zoning District | | 1 | Use | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| Property | Property Zoning | | | RS9 | | Single-fa | mily homes | |
| and Use | | | | | | Undeveloped property | | |
| | | East | | AG-L and I | RS9 | and a sin | ngle-family | |
| | | | | | | h | ome | |
| | | South | | RS9 | | Single-fa | mily homes | |
| | | | | | | Convenie | nce store and | |
| | | West | | LB | | | o Grandview | |
| | | | | | | Plaza Sho | pping Center | |
| Rezoning | Ţ | Is/are the use | (s) pern | nitted under | the propos | ed classifica | tion/request | |
| Consider | | compatible w | ith uses | permitted of | on other pro | perties in tl | ne vicinity? | |
| from Sec | tion | The proposed | low-der | sity attached | l residential o | developmen | t is | |
| 3.2.15 A | 13 | compatible wi | th the us | ses permitted | on the adjac | ent LB, RS | 9 and AG-L | |
| | | properties. | | | | | | |
| Physical | | The undevelop | ped and | partially woo | oded site has | a gentle slo | pe downward | |
| Characte | eristics | toward the sou | itheast. | Most of the s | ite is located | l within the | regulatory | |
| | | floodplain of Muddy Creek, which borders the eastern edge of the site. | | | | | | |
| Proximit | | Public water can be accessed from Yadkinville Road and Grandview | | | | | | |
| Water an | d Sewer | Club Road. Public sewer can be accessed from Yadkinville Road. | | | | | | |
| Stormwa | | | | | | | | |
| Drainage | | | | | | • | | |
| | developer is not required to manage stormwater <i>quality</i> . In lieu of | | | | | | | |
| | | providing management for stormwater <i>quantity</i> , the developer propo | | | | | | |
| | | to conduct a downstream impact assessment as allowed by the | | | | the | | |
| | | stormwater management ordinance. | | | | | | |
| Watersho Overlay | | The site is not located within a water slipply watershed | | | | | | |
| Analysis | | The undeveloped site has generally favorable topography and access to | | | | | | |
| General S | | | public water and sewer. The site is not located within a designated | | | | | |
| Informat | ion | | watershed; however, the eastern portion of the site is impacted by the | | | | | |
| | floodplain of Muddy Creek. | | | | | | | |
| | RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES | | | | | | | |
| Case | Reque | st Decisi | | Direction Acres | Acreage | - | mendation | |
| | 2104020 | Da | | from Site | | Staff | ССРВ | |
| W-3472 | RS9 to H | B-S Withd | | Current | 25 | Denial | N/A | |
| | | 6/10/2 | | site | | Domai | - 1/ 1 2 | |
| W-3222 | W-3222 RS9 to AG-L | | oved | Directly | 14.85 | Approval | Approval | |
| | | | 014 | east | | 11 | 11 | |
| F-1395 | F-1395 RS9 to LB-S | | rawn | Directly | 1.68 | Denial | N/A | |
| | | | 2003 | southwest | | | | |
| F-1014 R6 (RS9) to | | · | | Directly | 17.53 | Denial | Denial | |
| | B3-S (HB-S | | 1991 | south | | | | |

W-3503 Staff Report 2 December 2021

| SITE | ACCESS AND | TRANSPORTAT | ION INFO | ORMATION |
|---|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Street Name | Classification | Frontage | Average Daily Trip Count | Capacity at Level of Service D |
| Yadkinville Road | Major Thoroughfare | 1,639 feet | 11,000 | 15,300 |
| Grandview Club Road | Minor Thoroughfare | 351 feet | 2,900 | 15,300 |
| Proposed Access Point(s) | The development will utilize two full-access public street connections: one along Yadkinville Road, opposite Alexander Road, and the other from Grandview Club Road. | | | |
| Planned Road Improvements | The <i>Comprehensive Transportation Plan</i> recommends a three-lane cross-section for Yadkinville Road with wide outside lanes, curb, gutter, and sidewalks, as well as a two-lane cross-section for Grandview Club Road with wide outside lanes, curb, gutter, and sidewalks. The proposed site plan includes a westbound right turn lane on Yadkinville Road with 25 feet of storage. | | | |
| Trip Generation - Existing/Proposed | Existing Zoning: RS9 25 acres / 9,000 sf = 121 homes x 9.57 (single-family trip rate) = 1,158 trips per day Proposed Zoning: RM5-S 64 units x 5.81 (residential condominium/townhouse trip rate) = 372 trips per day | | | |
| Sidewalks | Sidewalks are shown along both sides of the proposed internal streets and will be required along Grandview Club Road. | | | |
| Transit | WSTA Route 97 serves the intersection of Shattalon Drive and Reynolda Road approximately 1.85 miles northeast of the site. | | | |
| Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) | A TIA is not required. | | | |
| Analysis of Site Access and Transportation Information | The proposed townhouse development shows good public street connectivity with access from Yadkinville Road and Grandview Club Road. Both thoroughfares have additional capacity. Due to the proposed number of units and the specific proposed housing type, the anticipated traffic increase is substantially less than could be expected under the current zoning. The developer has agreed to provide sidewalks along both sides of the internal streets. The site has over 1,600 feet of frontage along Yadkinville Road. Because no other portion of Yadkinville Road in the surrounding area is improved as specified in the <i>Comprehensive Transportation Plan</i> , and considering the relatively low proposed trip generation, the recommended improvements along Yadkinville Road will not be required. The developer will be responsible for providing a right deceleration lane at the entrance along this frontage, along with the recommended improvements along Grandview Club Road. | | | |

W-3503 Staff Report 3 December 2021

| SITE | PLAN COMPLI | ANCE WITH U | DO RE | QUIREMENTS | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Units (by type) and Density | 64 townhouse units on 25 acres = 2.56 units per acre | | | | |
| Parking | Required | Proposed | | Layout | |
| | 2 spaces per dwelling unit | 2 spaces per dy unit | welling | Garage parking with driveways connecting to public streets | |
| Building Height | Maximum Proposed | | | Proposed | |
| | 40 f | 40 feet Two stories | | | |
| Impervious | Maxii | num | Proposed | | |
| Coverage | N/. | A | | 13.4 percent | |
| UDO Sections | • Section 4.5.1 | 1: RM5 Residen | tial Mult | tifamily District | |
| Relevant to | • Section 5.2.7 | 1: Residential B | uilding, ' | Townhouse (use-specific | |
| Subject Request | standards) | | | | |
| | | | oodway | Fringe Regulations | |
| Complies with Section 3.2.11 | (A) Legacy 2030 policies: | Yes | | | |
| Section 3.2.11 | (B) Environmental Ordinance | Yes | | | |
| | (C) Subdivision Regulations | Yes | | | |
| Analysis of Site | The proposed site plan accommodates a low-density townhouse | | | | |
| Plan Compliance | community serve | ed by approximat | tely 1,30 | 0 linear feet of new public | |
| with UDO | streets. Most of the site will remain undeveloped, as it is impacted by the | | | | |
| Requirements | Muddy Creek regulatory floodplain. The required common recreation | | | | |
| | area is shown at the eastern end of the new street, adjacent to the | | | | |
| | floodplain area, and the required bufferyard is shown along the | | | | |
| | perimeter of the site where it abuts single-family zoning. CONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES | | | | |
| | DNFORMITY IC | PLANS AND | PLANN | ING ISSUES | |
| Legacy 2030 Growth | | | | | |
| Management | Growth Management Area 3 - Suburban Neighborhoods | | | | |
| Area | | | | | |
| Relevant | Increase infill development in the serviceable land area. | | | | |
| Legacy 2030 | Encourage a mixture of residential densities and housing types | | | | |
| Recommendations | through land use recommendations. | | | | |
| Relevant Area | West Suburban Area Plan Update (2018) | | | | |
| Plan(s) | • | | | | |
| Area Plan Recommendations | • The proposed land use map recommends single-family residential | | | | |
| Site Located | (0-8 Du/Ac) use of this site. | | | | |
| Along Growth | The site is not located along a growth corridor. | | | | |
| Corridor? | The site is not located along a growth corridor. | | | | |
| Site Located | The site is not located within an activity center; however, it is directly | | | | |
| within Activity | east of the Yadkinville Road/Transou Road Activity Center. | | | | |
| Center? | | | | | |

W-3503 Staff Report 4 December 2021

| | I . | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Greenway Plan | An extension of the Muddy Creek Greenway is proposed along this | | | |
| Information | portion of Muddy Creek. A greenway easement exists on the site and is | | | |
| A 11 | reflected on the proposed site plan. The proposed street names have been approved by MapForsyth | | | |
| Addressing | The proposed street names have been approved by MapForsyth. | | | |
| Rezoning Consideration | Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the | | | |
| from Section | petition? No. | | | |
| 3.2.15 A 13 | | | | |
| 0,2,120 12 20 | Is the requested action in conformance with Legacy 2030? | | | |
| | Yes, see comments below. | | | |
| Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues | The request is to rezone a 25-acre undeveloped tract from RS9 to RM5-S to accommodate 64 townhouse units. The site is in a suburban setting, primarily surrounded by single-family homes. The western side of the site abuts the Yadkinville Road/Transou Road Activity Center, which is zoned LB. The West Suburban Area Plan Update recommends single-family residential land use for the site with a density of up to eight units per acre. Due to the relatively large size of the subject property, the proposed density of 2.56 units per acre is substantially below the recommended density. Legacy encourages a mixture of housing types with consideration to the context of the site. The townhomes will be in four-unit buildings that are two stories in height. Consequently, the scale of the buildings is well within the range of compatibility with nearby single-family homes. To provide a degree of screening from Yadkinville Road, the developer has agreed to install a three-foot berm planted with a Type II bufferyard along this frontage. In addition to the above, staff believes the location of the site at the intersection of two thoroughfares and within proximity to a neighborhood-scale commercial area make this a reasonable request. | | | |
| | | | | |
| CON | | | | |
| | | T WITH RECOMMENDATION | | |
| | ects of Proposal | Negative Aspects of Proposal | | |
| The proposed residential density is compatible with that of the surrounding neighborhoods and less than the density recommended in the area plan. The design would provide good public | | | | |
| street connectivity and includes sidewalks | | | | |
| along both sides. | | The proposed land use map recommends single- | | |
| The request should generate significantly | | family residential use of the site. | | |
| less traffic than what could be expected | | | | |
| under the present zon | - | | | |
| | omes would provide a | | | |
| needed mixture of ho | ousing types in this | | | |
| general area. | | | | |

W-3503 Staff Report 5 December 2021

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. Developer shall have a stormwater management study submitted for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved. Relocation or installation of any stormwater management device into any buffer areas, vegetation designated to remain, or near adjacent residentially zoned land shall require a Staff Change approval at minimum and may require a Site Plan Amendment.
- b. Developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the City of Winston-Salem and NCDOT; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of the driveway permit(s). Required improvements include:
 - Dedication of thirty (30) feet of right-of-way from the centerline of Yadkinville Road and installation of a right turn lane at the proposed entrance along that frontage, with twenty-five (25) feet of storage and appropriate taper; and
 - Dedication of forty-five (45) feet of right-of-way from the centerline of Grandview Club Road and widening of Grandview Club Road with curb, gutter, and sidewalk consistent with and connecting to the improved section to the north.

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

• PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF OCCUPANCY PERMITS:

- a. Developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit.
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.

• OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

a. Developer shall install a three-foot berm planted with a 40-foot Type II bufferyard along the Yadkinville Road frontage. Bufferyard plantings shall be installed at the crest of the berm.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3503 DECEMBER 9, 2021

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

Jeff Fansler (WSDOT) answered several traffic questions presented by Melynda Dunigan that were raised in the agenda materials. Staff will seek further information from Stormwater regarding downstream impact studies that would address water pooling in this area.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak,

Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning amendment.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak,

Mo McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP

Acting Director of Planning and Development Services