CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

PETITION INFORMATION					
Docket	W-3656				
Staff	Ellie Levina				
Petitioner(s)	Jemsite Development, LLC				
Owner(s)	Same				
Subject Property	PIN 6817-83-1559				
Type of Request	Site Plan Amendment for Restaurant with Drive-Through Service in a GB-S zoning district.				
Proposal	This request is for approval of a Site Plan Amendment of Zoning Docket W-3266. The revised plan proposes to replace the originally approved 9,000 square-foot retail building with a 2,195 square foot restaurant with drive-through service.				
Neighborhood	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.				
Contact/Meeting					
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION				
Location	North side of Fairlawn Drive between Fairlawn Court and Silas Creek Parkway.				
Jurisdiction	City of Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	North				
Site Acreage	± 0.97 acres				
Current Land Use	The site is currently undeveloped.				
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use		
Property Zoning	North	RM12-S	Apartments		
and Use	East	GB-S	Various commercial uses		
	South	GB-S	Restaurant with drive-through		
			(Culvers) and undeveloped land		
			(future Caliber Collision)		
	West	RM12-S	Apartments		
Physical	The subject property is relatively flat and was previously graded. The				
Characteristics	northern portion of the site contains a private drive, a screened dumpster,				
	and a parking area.				
Proximity to		-	line running through the site. Public		
Water and Sewer	sewer will be access via a private connection to public sewer located				
	offsite to the north.				
Stormwater/	The overall shopping center has an approved stormwater management				
Drainage	plan approved in 2020. Staff is unaware of any known stormwater issues.				
Watershed and	This site is not located within a water supply watershed or overlay				
Overlay Districts	district.				

Analysis of General Site Information The 0.97-acre parcel is located within a developed commercial retail center. The site has been mass graded and has access to public utilities. The northern portion of the site has already been developed with an existing parking area and dumpster.

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES									
Case	Requ	est	Decisi	on	Directio	Acreage	Recommendation		lation
			& Date		n from Site			Staff	ССРВ
W-3641	GB-S to	GB-S	Approved 03/17/202		South	3.25	Approval		Approval
		5							
W-3393	GB-S to	Site	Approv		East	0.87	Approval Ap		Approval
	Pla		1/7/20	18					
W 22.66	Amend				G :	27.06			
W-3266	GO-S, G	•	Approv 07/20/2		Contains	27.06	Approval Appro		Approval
	RM12- GB-L, L0		5	201	subject property				
	GB-L, EC		3		property				
			SS AND	TRA	ANSPORTA	ATION IN	FORM	ATION	
Street	Name	Classif	ication		Street	Fronta			Capacity
				Ma	intenance		Daily		at Level
								Trip	of
								Count	Service D
Fairlaw			ajor NCDOT		NCDOT	234	14,000		27,500
Thore		Thorou	ughfare						
Proposed	l Access	The proposed site plan depicts one access point on an existing private				nrivate			
Point(s)	TICCOS				n Court that				
. ,	of the retail businesses to the east of the site. The site also has acco								
	two right-in, right-out access points on Silas Creek parkway and						nd		
		Fairlawn Drive through this adjoining development.							
Proposed	Road	No road improvements are requested or proposed as part of this request.							
Improve									
_	Trip Generation - Existing Zoning: GB-S								
Existing/	Approved (unconstructed) 9,000 sf retail building /1,000 sf x 54.45					04.45			
	(General Retail Store) = 491 Trips Per Day Proposed Zoning: GB-S								
		$\frac{1600 \text{ Set } 2011119}{2,195 \text{ sf}} / 1,000 \text{ sf x } 496.12 \text{ (Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru)} =$							
		1,089 Trips per Day							
Sidewalk	S	Sidewalks exist along both sides of Fairlawn Drive. The plan shows all							
	required sidewalk cross-connections to Fairlawn Drive and Fairlawn					irlawn			
		Court.							

Transit	WSTA Routes 88	99 and 1	09 stop at the intersec	tion of Fairlawn Drive	
Tansit	WSTA Routes 88, 99, and 109 stop at the intersection of Fairlawn Drive and Reynolda Road, approximately ¼ of a mile to the west of the site.				
Connectivity	The site will be accessed using an existing internal cross-access				
·	driveway, which serves the Novant Health urgent care and other				
	commercial uses to the east of the site. No other access points are				
	proposed as part of this request.				
Analysis of Site	The proposed Restaurant with Drive-Through use is a high-traffic-				
Access and	generating use. The most recent NCDOT trip counts show Fairlawn				
Transportation	Drive has ample capacity to handle additional traffic. The request is not				
Information	proposing any new driveways onto Fairlawn Drive. The site has				
	excellent multimodal access to pedestrian and public transit facilities.				
SITE	PLAN COMPLIA	NCE WI	TH UDO REQUIRE	MENTS	
Building	Square Foots			ent on Site	
Square Footage	2,195 sf	~			
Parking	Required		Proposed	Layout	
G	22 spaces		29 spaces	A mixture of 90-	
	_		-	degree head-in	
		and angled parking			
Building Height	Maximum Proposed				
	60' 23'				
	Maximum				
Impervious				Proposed	
Coverage		No Lim	it	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections	• Section 4.6	No Lim .10 – GB	it General Business Dis	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to	• Section 4.6	No Lim .10 – GB	it	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	• Section 4.6 • Chapter 6:	No Lim .10 – GB Developr	it General Business Dis nent Standards	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	 Section 4.6 Chapter 6: 1 (A) Forward 2045 po 	No Lim .10 – GB Developr	it General Business Dis nent Standards Yes	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	 Section 4.6 Chapter 6: 1 (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O 	No Lim .10 – GB Developr blicies:	it General Business Dis nent Standards	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	 Section 4.6 Chapter 6: 1 (A) Forward 2045 po 	No Lim .10 – GB Developr blicies:	it General Business Dis nent Standards Yes	69%	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11	Section 4.6 Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regu	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations	it General Business Dis ment Standards Yes N/A N/A	69% trict	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site	 Section 4.6 Chapter 6: 1 (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proportion 	No Lim .10 – GB Developr blicies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1	it General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaur.	69% trict ant with drive-through	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11	Section 4.6 Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking the state of the st	No Lim .10 – GB Developr blicies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. T	it General Business Dis ment Standards Yes N/A N/A	69% trict ant with drive-through uired Type II	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance	Section 4.6 Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The plan proposed apartments.	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe	it General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaur. The plan shows the req	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	Section 4.6 Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the section of t	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe	it General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaur. The plan shows the require property line next to	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	Section 4.6 Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The place connections.	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe an shows	it General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaurche plan shows the required property line next to all required streetyard	ant with drive-through uired Type II of the adjacent ls and sidewalk	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	Section 4.6 Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The placenections. The proposed build	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe an shows	General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaur. The plan shows the required property line next to all required streetyard attions comply with the	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent ls and sidewalk	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 4.6 • Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The placent of W-3266, which	No Lim .10 – GB Developr blicies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe an shows ding eleva	General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaurations shows the required streetyard attions comply with the ne building exterior to	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent ls and sidewalk Phase One conditions be at least sixty-five	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 4.6 • Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The placennections. The proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brice	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe an shows ding elevarequire the and to be	General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restauration shows the required streetyard attions comply with the ne building exterior to have a front façade with the street of th	ant with drive-through uired Type II to the adjacent als and sidewalk. Phase One conditions be at least sixty-five the aminimum	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 4.6 • Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The placent of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and the proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifteen and transparency of fifteen and transp	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe an shows ding elevarequire the k and to be ty percen	General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaur. The plan shows the required streetyard all required streetyard ations comply with the ne building exterior to have a front façade with t (50%) including wind	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent and sidewalk Phase One conditions be at least sixty-five the aminimum dows and doors. The	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 4.6 • Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The placent connections. The proposed build of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifting petitioner has worked.	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 he northe an shows ding eleva require th k and to l ty percent	General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaurations the plan shows the required streetyard attions comply with the ne building exterior to have a front façade with to orient the building winestaff to orient winestaff to orient the building winestaff to orient the building	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent ls and sidewalk Phase One conditions be at least sixty-five th a minimum dows and doors. The ling as close as is	
Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO	• Section 4.6 • Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental O (C) Subdivision Regular The site plan proposed and required parking Bufferyard along the apartments. The placent of W-3266, which percent (65%) brick transparency of fifting petitioner has work feasible to Fairlaward.	No Lim .10 – GB Developr licies: rd. ulations oses a 2,1 ng area. The northe an shows ding elevate require the and to be a sed with sen or the sen or th	General Business Disment Standards Yes N/A N/A 95 square-foot restaurations the plan shows the required streetyard attions comply with the ne building exterior to have a front façade with to orient the building winestaff to orient winestaff to orient the building winestaff to orient the building	ant with drive-through uired Type II the adjacent and sidewalk Phase One conditions be at least sixty-five the aminimum dows and doors. The ling as close as is o impervious coverage	

CC	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES			
Forward 2045 Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods			
Relevant Forward 2045 Recommendations	 Prioritize vacant properties that are appropriate for commercial development. Encourage infill development in areas with access to existing public services and infrastructure. 			
Relevant Area Plan(s)	North Suburban Area Plan Update (2014)			
Area Plan Recommendations	 The Proposed Land Use Map recommends office uses for the subject property. However, the official parcel-specific land use recommendation was changed to commercial land uses with the approval of zoning case W-3266 in 2015. Commercial areas should be compact with limited access to major thoroughfares and should not promote strip development. Create new commercial opportunities and improve existing commercial areas to blend with existing development while not infringing on nearby neighborhoods. 			
Site Located	The site is not within a Growth Corridor but is located just to the west of			
Along Growth Corridor?	the Silas Creek Parkway Growth Corridor.			
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an Activity Center but is within walking distance to the Reynolda Road/Fairlawn Drive Activity Center west of the site.			
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	The request is for approval of a Site Plan Amendment on a site zoned GB-S. The petitioner is proposing to replace a previously approved 9,000 square foot retail space with a 2,195 square foot restaurant with drive-through service. The request conforms to the recommendations of both <i>Forward 2045</i> and the <i>North Suburban Area Plan</i> by promoting commercial development on a vacant commercially-zoned site. The request is consistent with the Phase One conditions of the original rezoning request. The proposed building will be of similar building materials to the surrounding development. The revised site plan maintains the intent of the property's 2015			
	rezoning and allows for the development of a parcel within an existing commercial destination.			

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The request conforms to the general	The proposed restaurant with drive-through use			
recommendations of Forward 2045 and the	is estimated to generate a substantial amount of			
North Suburban Area Plan Update.	traffic.			
The request would allow for the				
development of a vacant commercially-				
zoned site within an already developed				
commercial area.				
The site has excellent access to multimodal				
transit.				

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

- a. The exterior walls of all buildings on the subject property must consist of a minimum of sixty-five percent (65%) brick. Brick color shall be consistent with the brick colors "Milwaukee" and "St. Windsor Thin Brick".
- b. The front façade of the structure facing Fairlawn Drive shall be composed of fifty 50%) transparency including windows and doors as shown on the proposed building elevations.
- c. All rooftop HVAC equipment located on the subject property shall be completely screened from view along all public streets.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:

- a. Freestanding signage shall be limited to a maximum height of six (6) feet and a maximum copy area of thirty-six (36) square feet. Freestanding signage for the entire subject property shall be constructed of the same material. Monument signs shall consist of a brick base with a brick or precast cap.
- b. Streetyard plantings for the entire subject property shall consist of Shumard Oaks (Quercus Shumardii) or comparable variety for the trees and Carissa Holly (Ilex cornuta 'Carissa') or comparable variety for the shrubs which shall be the primary plant materials. Additional trees and shrubs may be used to supplement the required species listed above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD **PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3656 AUGUST 14, 2025**

Bryan Wilson presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Chris Leak

VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak,

Dixon Pitt, Lindsey Schwab, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None **EXCUSED:** None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning amendment.

SECOND: Chris Leak

VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak,

Dixon Pitt, Lindsey Schwab, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO

Director of Planning and Development Services