Project - Stormwater Management Facility Design

Location of Business	
Location	Points
Within Winston-Salem	5
Within North Carolina	3
Outside of North Carolina	0

Work Experience	
Level	Points
>5 Relevant Projects	5
4-5 Relevant Projects	4
3-4 Relevant Projects	3
1-2 Relevant Projects	2
0 Relevant Projects	0

Understanding of Project			
Level	Points		
Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion,			
convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance	5		
requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses			
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion,			
convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's	4		
requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses			
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and			
demonstrates the ability to meet the project's performance requirements.	3		
May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor			
weaknesses.			
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion			
nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully	2		
meeting the project's requirements. Significant weaknesses are	2		
demonstrated and clearly outweigh any strengths presented.			
Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the			
information presented indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the	1		
project's requirements			

Past Performance	
Level	Points
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers.	
They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently,	5
got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how	
they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers.	
They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently,	4
got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how	
they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers.	
They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently,	3
got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how	
they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers.	
They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently,	2
got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how	
they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with	
the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers.	
They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently,	1
got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how	
they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	

Firm Name:		HDR	
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	5.00	100.00
Work Experience	25.00	5.00	125.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	5.00	75.00
Past Performance	20.00	5.00	100.00
Final Score			500.0

00.00	Max	Score =	500

Firm Name:		CDG	
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Work Experience	25.00	3.50	87.50
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.13	61.88
Past Performance	20.00	3.00	60.00
Final Score			209.4

100.00 Max Score = 500