| MWBE Commitment | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Percent goal met or good faith effort made? | Points | | |
| Certified M/WBE Compliance-Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor. | 5 | | |
| Not Qualified. Vendors proposal indicated that they do not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement. | 0 | | |

| Business Location | | |
|---------------------------|--------|--|
| | Points | |
| Within Forsyth County | 5 | |
| Within North Carolina | 3 | |
| Outside of North Carolina | 0 | |

| Cost Effectiveness/Price Value | | |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|--|
| | Points | |
| Lowest cost compared to other proposals | 5 | |
| Less than 25% above lowest proposal | 4 | |
| 25% - 50% above lowest proposal | 3 | |
| 50% - 100% above lowest proposal | 2 | |
| Greater than 100% above lowest proposal | 0 | |

| Experience\Methodology | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--|
| | Points | |
| Methodology and Experience most appropriate for Program / Superior | 5 | |
| Methodology and Experience are above average for Program | 4 | |
| Methodology and Experience are average | 3 | |
| Methodology and Experience are Below Average | 2 | |
| Methodology and Experience are Poor and would not meet Program needs | 1 | |
| Unacceptable Methodology and Experience | 0 | |

| Functionality/Architecture | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Points | | |
| Satisfies 90% or more of the mandatory requirements and most of the desired (not mandatory) requirements | 5 | | |
| Satisfies 80% or more of the mandatory requirements and an above average amount of the desired (not mandatory) requirements | | | |
| Satisfies 70% or more of the mandatory requirements and an average amount of the desired (not mandatory) requirements | | | |
| Satisfies 60% or more of the mandatory requirements and and a below average amount of the desired (not mandatory) requirements | | | |
| Satisfies 50% or more of the mandatory requirements and some of the desired (not mandatory) requirements | | | |
| Satisfies less than 50% of the mandatory requirements and an unacceptable amount of the desired (not mandatory) requirements | 0 | | |

Exhibit B

| Firm Name: | Pension Technology Group | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|
| Evaluation Criteria | Weight | Grade | Total |
| MWBE Commitment | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Business Location | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cost Effectiveness/Price | 10.00 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| Experience/Methodology | 20.00 | 4.75 | 95.00 |
| Functionality/Architecture | 30.00 | 4.50 | 135.00 |
| Final Score | Max S | core = 500 | 280.00 |