

Exhibit A

RFP - Facility Condition Assessment Services					
Firm	Score	Rank	interview score	total score	Final rank
Alpha	268.571	1	48.00	316.57	1
CBRE / Turner & Townsend	250.714	2	23.71	274.42	3
FEA	212.143	4			
McKissick	133.571	5			
Terracon	243.571	3	34.86	278.43	2

Evaluation Criteria:

M/WBE Commitment		Price Value	
Weight	20%	Weight	20%
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?	Points	Response	Points
Certified MWBE Compliance-Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor.	5	Lowest price	5
Not Qualified Vendors proposal indicated that they do not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement.	0	Within 10% of lowest price	4
		11% - 20% more than lowest price	3
		21% - 35% more than lowest price	2
		36% - 49% more than lowest price	1
		Greater than 50% more than lowest price	0

Qualifications / Experience		Project Understanding and Schedule Commitment	
Weight	30%	Weight	25%
Response	Points	Response	Points
Team is a "specialist" with projects of a similar scope/size and has significant experience (7+ years). Project team demonstrates no weaknesses and has completed at least 5 similar projects in past 10 years, 3 of which were for public sector clients.	5	Demonstrates superior understanding of City's needs and expected deliverables. Proposal provides excellent and thorough plan for completing the work and a high likelihood of success. Indicates full ability to meet the target completion timeline.	5
Team is a "specialist" with projects of a similar scope/size and has significant experience (5+ years). Project team demonstrates no weaknesses and has completed at least 4 similar projects in past 7 years, 2 of which were for public sector clients.	4	Demonstrates sound understanding of City's needs and expected deliverables. Proposal provides very solid, developed plan for completing the work and a high likelihood of success. Indicates full ability to meet the target completion timeline.	4
Offers relative experience (5+ years) with projects of a similar scope/size. Project team demonstrates possible weaknesses, but has completed at least 3 similar projects in past 5 years, 2 of which were for public sector clients.	3	Demonstrates sufficient understanding of City's needs and expected deliverables. Proposal provides decent plan for completing the work successfully within the target timeline. Proposal does not offer above average content compared to other firms.	3
Offers relative experience (3+ years), but no clear indication of experience with projects of a similar scope/size. Submitted at least 3 similar project references, but none were for public sector clients.	2	Demonstrates insufficient understanding of City's needs and expected deliverables. Proposal raises some questions on how the work will be completed and does not give sufficient specifics for meeting the target timeline.	2
Offers less than 3 years experience providing services required and does not address all aspects of the criteria. and indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	1	Demonstrates a poor understanding of City's needs and expected deliverables. Presents a weak scheduling strategy and/or how the work will be executed. Demonstrates a likelihood of failure.	1
Offers less than 3 years experience with similar projects and does not address all aspects of the criteria. and indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	0	Demonstrates poor understanding of City's needs and expected deliverables. Definite lack of understanding related to scheduling and executing the work. High likelihood of failure.	0