VII. BUDGET OFFICE

| 4. | Date Received | Date Completed | Signature: | Scott well | |
|----|-------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|--|
| 3. | Comments: | | | | |
| 2. | Expenditure Estimation: | | | | |
| 1. | Revenue Estimation: | | | | |

II. ENGINEERING

| 1. | Ownership Ve | rified | | |
|----|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| 2. | Property Descri | ription Verified | | |
| 3. | Acreage Map | Attached | | |
| 4. | Property Descri | ription Verified for Closu | re | |
| 5 | Data Pagaiyad | Data Completed | Signatura | |

IV. FIRE DEPARTMENT

| 1 | Estimated Response Time | | |
|----|--|------------------|----|
| 2 | "First-in" Engine Company | | |
| 3 | Are hydrant distribution and available water adequate for fire suppression? Comments: | Yes | No |
| 4 | Are vertical and horizontal clearances adequate for fire suppression vehicles? Comments: | Yes | No |
| 5 | Are weight limitations (bridges, culverts, causeways, etc.) adequate for fire suppresion Comments: | vehicles? Yes | No |
| 6 | Is proposed or existing land-use suitable for existing fire -department capabilities? Comments: | Yes | No |
| 7 | Impact on existing resources? | | |
| 8 | Estimated Arrival Time of Effective Response Force to moderate risk structure fire | | |
| 9 | Estimated Arrival time of Effective Response Force to cardiac arrests | | |
| 10 | Is the fire department able to meet NFPA 1710 response standards? | Yes | No |
| 11 | Additional Comments: | | |
| | Date Received: Date Completed: | | |
| | Signature: | | |

III. PLANNING DEPARTMENT

| Is there an approved Development Plan for this property? Explain. Yes. | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Please see concept master plan approved with zoning file F-1608 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | None existing. Approximately 2 900 linear fee | | | |
| Public Streets: Yes 🛂 No | 5. Number of Li | None existing. Approximately 2,900 linear fee | | | |
| | Linkarin | inear Feet None existing. Approximately 2,900 linear fee | | | |
| Average market value per u | Linkarin | inear Feet | | | |
| Public Streets: Yes No Average market value per us Current tax value of land mpact on overall Annexation | s Unkown N/A | inear Feet None existing. Approximately 2,900 linear fee 8. Projected Population: Unkown | | | |

V. POLICE DEPARTMENT

| 1. Response Time 6-8 min | | |
|--|-------------------|----|
| 2. Potential traffic problems? NO | | |
| 3. Will the annexation of this area pose any special problems (i.e., is it visible from accessible, etc.)? | the street, is it | |
| | Yes | No |
| Comments: No | | |
| 4. Can this area be incorporated into the existing beat structure? Comments: yes, Beat 324 | ✓ Yes | No |
| 5. Impact on existing resources? NO | | |
| 6. Additional Comments: N/A | | |
| 7. Date Received: 9/7/23 Date Completed: 9/20/23 Signature: | , | |

Brookpory Frus

VI. SANITATION DIVISION

| 1. Do the streets in the area exceed the | ne grade | require | ement (le | ess than 12%) for co | ellection? Yes No |
|---|----------|---------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| Comments: | | | s de contra | T. VIANUE PARALLE | |
| 2. Will bulk container service be requ | | | *************************************** | TANK! | Yes No |
| 3. Will containers be accessible according to the comments: | | | | | Yes No |
| 4. Can we incorporate this area into o | | | | | Yes No |
| 5. Can we provide all services accord | ing to C | ity Coo | de requir | ements? | |
| Services: Household Refuse Collection | Yes | No | N/A | Comments: | |
| Leaf Collection | V | 71 | | | |
| Annual Bulky Item Collection | V | **** | | | |
| Curbside Recycling | // | | | | |
| Collection | | | | | |
| Optional: Brush Collection | ' | | | | |
| 6. Additional Comments: | ** | | | | |
| 7. Date Received: 9-7-23 Date Comple | eted: 9 | -7-2 | ?'3 si | gnature: | ٨ |