# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION			
Docket	W-3553				
Staff	Amy McBride				
<b>Petitioner(s)</b>	DS Legacy Properties, LLC				
Owner(s)	Same				
<b>Subject Property</b>	PIN 6817-97-0305				
Type of Request	Special Use rezoning				
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property <a href="from">from</a> RM18-S (Residential, Multifamily – 18 units per acre) <a href="from">to</a> RM18-S (Residential, Multifamily – 18 units per acre). The petitioner is requesting the following uses: <ul> <li>Cottage Court; Residential Building, Single Family; Residential Building, Duplex; Residential Building, Townhouse; Residential Building, Multifamily; Residential Building, Twin Home; Family Group Home A; Family Group Home B; Family Group Home C; Life Care Community, and Planned Residential Development</li> </ul>				
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.				
<b>Zoning District</b>	The RM18 District is primarily intended to accommodate multifamily				
Purpose	uses at a maximum overall density of eighteen (18) units per acre. This				
Statement	district is appropriate for Growth Management Areas 1 and 2 and may be suitable for Growth Management Area 3 and Metro Activity Centers where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads, parks, and other governmental support services are available, and the site has direct access to a minor or major thoroughfare.				
Rezoning	Is the proposal	consistent with the purpo	se statement(s) of the		
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?				
from Section	Yes. The site is in GMA 3 and has adequate access to public utilities and				
3.2.19 A 16	direct access to a minor thoroughfare. The request proposes a density				
	less than 18 units per acre.				
GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location	South side of Indiana Avenue, across from Appomattox Drive.				
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	North				
Wards(s) July	North				
2023	10.00				
Site Acreage	$\pm$ 10.23 acres				
Current Land Use	The site is currently undeveloped.				
Surrounding	Direction	<b>Zoning District</b>	Use		
Property Zoning and Use	North	RS9 and RM18-L	Single-family homes and vacant property		

			East		RM18	3	Ap	partments
								l right-of way,
		South		RS	RS9, RM18 and RM18-S			nts, and vacant
				,			p	roperty
	West RS9			Single-family homes				
Rezoning				_		_	-	fication/request
Consider					_			n the vicinity?
from Sec		The proposed multi-family residential use is compatible with th						
3.2.19 A	16	requested zoning district and with surrounding land uses. The site lies within GMA 3.						
Physical The			The undeveloped site is heavily wooded and slopes from the northeast					
Characte		towa	rd the south	eas	t and southw	est.		
Proximity Water an		The site has access to public water and sewer from Indiana Avenue.					ana Avenue.	
Stormwater/ Run			Runoff will be managed by two above-ground stormwater facilities in					
Drainage		the s	outheast and	d so	uthwest por	tions of the	site.	
Watersho		This site is not located in a water supply watershed						
Overlay l								
Analysis		The site is currently undeveloped and slopes downward to the souther						
General S		and southwest. The site has adequate access to public utilities and is n located within a water supply watershed.					filities and is not	
Informat	1011	locat					'C'	
RELEVANT ZONING HISTORIES  Case Request Decision & Direction Acreage Recommendation						nmendation		
Cuse	Reque	36	Decision C		from Site	ricreage	Staff	ССРВ
	RS9 an		Pending		Adjacent parcel			Pending (active
W-3551 RM18-S to RM18			(active case)		south of site	4.56	Approval	case)
W-3262	RS9 to RM18-		Approved 5/14/2015		60 feet northeast	1.21	Approval	Approval
W-2934	RS9 to RM18-		Approved 9/17/2007		Subject property	10.04	Approval	Approval
W-2335	RM18-S		Approved 9/7/1999		111 feet northeast	.66	Approval	Approval
W-2310	RS9 an RM18-S RM18-	to S	Approved 5/3/1999		217 feet northeast	58.77	Approval	Approval
							FORMATIO	
Street	Name	Clas	ssification		Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count		eity at Level of Service D
Indiana A	venue		Minor proughfare		1,152 feet	2,400		13,800
Proposed Access Point(s)  The proposed site plan depicts one private access point on Indiana Avenue that will connect to off-street parking areas.				T 1'				

Proposed Road	WSDOT will require left and right turn lanes with a minimum of 50'					
Improvements	storage, appropriate taper, and dedicated right-of way to accommodate					
_	additional traffic.					
Trip Generation -	Existing Zoning: RM18-S					
Existing/Proposed	181 units (previou	sly approv	ved site plan)	x 2.84 (retirement community		
<b>3 1</b>	trip rate) = 514 trips per day					
	Proposed Zoning: RM18-S					
	$\frac{110posed 20nnig. KW176-5}{180 \text{ units x } 6.65 \text{ (apartment trip rate)} = 1,195 \text{ trips per day}$					
Sidewalks	A public sidewalk is proposed on the south side of Indiana Avenue.					
	Sidewalks are also proposed along the internal private streets.					
Transit	WSTA Route 89 serves Indiana Avenue at the intersections of					
	Appomattox Drive and Triangle Drive adjacent to the site.					
Connectivity	The request does not propose any connectivity to adjacent properties.					
	The site is geographically constrained by the railroad right-of-way to the					
	south and the existing apartment development to the east.					
Transportation			<u>r</u> _			
Impact Analysis	A TIA is not requi	red.				
(TIA)	1					
Analysis of Site	The request proposes one private access point from Indiana Avenue and					
Access and	includes a proposed sidewalk extending along the frontage of the site.					
Transportation				with ample capacity to handle		
Information	the estimated additional trip generation. The developer will be required					
	to provide additional improvements on Indiana Avenue to facilitate					
	turning movements at the development entrance.					
	SITE PLAN COMPLIANCE WITH UDO REQUIREMENTS					
SITE						
SITE Building		NCE WI	TH UDO RE			
	PLAN COMPLIA	NCE WI' age	TH UDO RE	QUIREMENTS		
Building	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot	NCE WI' age ng type	TH UDO RE	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan)		
Building Square Footage	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot	NCE WI age ng type One	TH UDO RE Vario	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units		
Building Square Footage Units (by type)	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot	NCE WI age ng type One Two	Variou bedroom – 5	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units		
Building Square Footage Units (by type)	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot	NCE WI' age ng type One Two	Variou e bedroom – 5 o bedroom – 7	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 4 units units units units		
Building Square Footage Units (by type)	PLAN COMPLIA  Square Foot  Varies by building	NCE WI age ng type One Two Three To	Variouse bedroom – See bedroom – Stal units = 18	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin	NCE WI  age  ng type  One  Two  Thre  To  ment units	Variouse bedroom – See bedroom – Stal units = 18	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre		
Building Square Footage Units (by type)	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn Required	NCE WI age ng type One Two Three To nent units	Variouse bedroom – See bedroom – See bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acre oposed	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre Layout		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn  Required Vehicle-315	nce Williage ng type One Two Thre To ment units Pre	Variouse bedroom – Se bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn  Required  Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23	nce Wireland	Variouse bedroom – See bedroom – See bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acre oposed	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23 Maxim	nce Williage ng type One Two Three To nent units Pre Veh Bic	Variouse bedroom – Se bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn  Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23  Maxim 60 fee	NCE WI  age  ng type  One Two Three To  ment units  Pro Veh Bic  um et	Variouse bedroom – Se bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23 Maxim	NCE WI  age  ng type  One Two Three To  ment units  Pro Veh Bic  um et	Variouse bedroom – Se bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious Coverage	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23 Maxim 60 fee Maxim 80 perc	NCE WI age ng type One Two Thre To nent units Pre Veh Bic um et	Variouse bedroom – So bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321 ycle-25	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units  es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed 48.6 percent		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23 Maxim 60 fee Maxim 80 perc	NCE WI age ng type One Two Thre To nent units Pre Veh Bic um et	Variouse bedroom – So bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321 ycle-25	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartm Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23 Maxim 60 fee Maxim 80 perc • Section 4.5	nce Williage age age ype One Two Three To nent units Pre Veh Bic um et um et sent 5.14: RM1	Variouse bedroom – 50 bedroom – 50 bedroom – 50 bedroom – 51 tal units = 18 on 10.23 acres oposed icle-321 ycle-25	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units  es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed 48.6 percent		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn  Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23  Maxim 60 fee  Maxim 80 perc • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 standards)	NCE WI age ng type One Two Three To nent units Pre Veh Bic num et num et 2.71: Resid	Variouse bedroom – Se bedroom – Se bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321 ycle-25  8 Residential dential Buildi	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed 48.6 percent I, Multifamily District		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request  Complies with	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23 Maxim 60 fee Maxim 80 perc • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2	NCE WI age ng type One Two Three To nent units Pre Veh Bic num et num et 2.71: Resid	Variouse bedroom – 50 bedroom – 50 bedroom – 50 bedroom – 51 tal units = 18 on 10.23 acres oposed icle-321 ycle-25	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed 48.6 percent I, Multifamily District		
Building Square Footage Units (by type) and Density  Parking  Building Height  Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	PLAN COMPLIA Square Foot Varies by buildin  180 apartn  Required Vehicle-315 Bicycle-23  Maxim 60 fee  Maxim 80 perc • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 standards)	NCE WI age ng type One Two Three To nent units Pre Veh Bic um et um et 2.71: Resid	Variouse bedroom – Se bedroom – Se bedroom – Stal units = 18  on 10.23 acres  oposed icle-321 ycle-25  8 Residential dential Buildi	Placement on Site us locations (see site plan) 54 units 72 units 54 units 0 units es = 17.59 units per acre  Layout 90-degree head-in  Proposed Three-story buildings Proposed 48.6 percent I, Multifamily District		

	(C) Subdivision Regulations N/A				
	-				
Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO Requirements	The proposed site plan shows a total of six apartment buildings with three three-story buildings and three four/ five-story buildings along with a pool and amenity area accessed by a new private driveway. Sidewalks are proposed along the frontage of Indiana Avenue and internal throughout the site, providing access to the required common recreation areas. Provided tree save area exceeds the required ten percent and is located along the south and eastern property lines.				
CONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES					
Legacy 2030 Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods				
Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	<ul> <li>Encourage a mixture of residential densities and housing types through land use recommendations.</li> <li>Promote compatible infill development that fits with the context of its surroundings.</li> <li>Encourage development in areas with existing infrastructure before extending infrastructure farther.</li> </ul>				
Relevant Area Plan(s)	North Suburban Area Plan Update (2014)				
Area Plan Recommendations	<ul> <li>The area plan recommends the subject property for intermediate density residential land uses (8.1-18 Dwelling Units / Acre).</li> <li>Generally intermediate-density residential land use is recommended for sites greater than two acres that are most appropriately developed with multifamily or townhouse structures.</li> <li>Develop a variety of housing types for different income levels, family sizes, and personal preferences in the planning area to provide a mixture of housing opportunities.</li> </ul>				
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	This site is not located along a growth corridor.				
Site Located within Activity Center?	This site is not located within an activity center.				
Rezoning Consideration from Section	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the petition?  No				
3.2.19 A 16	Is the requested action in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?				
	Yes. The request is consistent with <i>Legacy 2030</i> recommendations for creating infill development that fits in the context of surrounding development patterns and is within the serviceable land area.				

Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues The request is to rezone a 10.23-acre tract from RS18-S (for a retirement community) to RM18-S with additional uses. The proposed site plan accommodates 180 apartment units at a density of 17.59 dwelling units per acre.

The site is located on the south side of Indiana Avenue across from a single-family residential neighborhood. The site is bordered almost entirely by multifamily-zoned property to the south and the east.

Legacy recommends a variety of housing types and infill development, provided the design is compatible with the general character of the surrounding area. The developer has proposed three-story buildings along the frontage of Indiana Avenue. Staff is recommending an additional condition of approval that would provide a landscape bufferyard along the entire road frontage to provide additional building and parking area screening. The request is consistent with the area plan land use recommendation of 8.1-18 dwelling units per acre.

This request contributes to the variety of housing types in the area; and is generally compatible with the area and recommendations of the area plan recommendation and *Legacy 2030*. Staff is supportive of this request.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The proposal is consistent with the				
recommendations of the North Suburban				
Area Plan Update and Legacy 2030.	The request would concrete additional traffic			
The request will increase the variety of	The request would generate additional traffic, although Indiana Avenue has ample capacity to accommodate any increase.			
housing types available in the area.				
The site is adjacent to other multifamily	accommodate any increase.			
development and fits in the context to the				
surrounding development pattern.				

#### SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

# • PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. The developer shall obtain a driveway permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of the driveway permits. Additional improvements may include but are not limited to:
  - Installation of left and right turn lanes with a minimum of 50' storage and appropriate taper. Dedicate additional ROW for these improvements.
  - Addition of a 6'x15' concrete pad for future bus shelter at the Appomattox bus stop.
  - Installation of required curb and gutter and sidewalk required along the entire Indiana Avenue frontage.

# • PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

a. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

#### • PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:

- a. The developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit(s).
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.
- c. A 10' Type I bufferyard shall be planted along the frontage of Indiana Avenue until its intersection with Appomattox Drive. The remainder of the site frontage west of the Appomattox Drive intersection shall be planted with two (2) deciduous trees per 100 feet.

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval**

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR**REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3553 DECEMBER 8, 2022

Bryan Wilson presented the staff report.

### **PUBLIC HEARING**

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

## **WORK SESSION**

Mo McRae asked questions related to the proposed single development access point and whether this would lead to potential traffic or public safety issues. Bryan Wilson and Chris Murphy provided additional information. George Bryan asked staff about the proposed stormwater devices, including where such devices would discharge stormwater. Chris Murphy provided an explanation that the eventual outlet for stormwater would be on the western end of the site. George Bryan expressed additional concerns related to stormwater management impacts of the development on Monarcas Creek.

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae,

Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment with revised

conditions.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

# VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae, Salvador Patiño,

Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman AGAINST: George Bryan

EXCUSED: None

\_\_\_\_\_

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO

Director of Planning and Development Services