# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETITION INFORMATION
Docket #	W-3333
Staff	Aaron King
<b>Petitioner(s)</b>	Waughtown Cemetery Inc.
Owner(s)	Same
<b>Subject Property</b>	PIN # 6844-56-6420
Address	N/A
<b>Type of Request</b>	Special use limited rezoning
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Maps for the subject property <u>from</u> RS9 (Residential Single Family; 9,000sf lot size) <u>to</u> IP-L (Institutional & Public – Special use limited zoning). The petitioner is requesting the following uses:  • Cemetery  NOTE: General, special use limited, and special use district zoning were discussed with the petitioner(s) who decided to pursue the
	rezoning as presented.
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	Per an email from the applicant: "Per your suggestion, I have spoken with some neighbors close to the cemetery property that we are seeking to rezone. On Monday, 6/26, I spoke to Shirley Jones at 1807 East Sprague Street and Pansy Stone at 1827 East Sprague Street. The backyards of each of these properties is adjacent to the lots being rezoned. Both neighbors indicated to me that they had no problem with the rezoning. Further, both of these neighbors have been very cooperative with us as we have been clearing/cleaning the lots we purchased. And, at no cost to them, we have removed trees from their property during this process to help the overall appearance of the total area. They seem to be very supportive of the cemetery initiative.
	Relative to Waughtown Street properties, 1822 appears to have partially burned and it is vacant. I do not know who owns the house. The house at 1804 Waughtown Street is "bank owned" I am told. Of course, it is vacant. The property at 1814 Waughtown Street is "Habitat" built. I was not able to speak to the owner yesterday concerning the rezoning, but I have spoken to her in the past relative to the work we are doing with clearing/cleaning the land we purchased and she has given us permission, and in fact has encouraged us, to remove trees, etc. from her property as part of the overall beautification effort. I cannot imagine that she would not support what we are doing. The house at 1826 Waughtown is renter occupied. I do not know who owns the house. I spoke to the renters yesterday about the rezoning and they had no problem with it and assured me that the owner would not care.

	A - T ! - 1!4 - 1 4		-4'			
	As I indicated to you in our phone conversation, I met with Councilman James Taylor on May 23rd and my recollection is that he saw no need					
	for a neighborhood meeting"					
Zoning District	The IP District is intended to accommodate public and institutional uses					
O	which have a limited land use impact or traffic generation potential upon					
Purpose Statement						
Statement	surrounding uses. The district is intended to accommodate smaller, less					
	intensive public and institutional uses which have concentrated service					
	areas and are located in or near residential areas, or larger, less intensive					
Applicable	recreational or institutional facilities in rural areas.  (R)(1) - Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of					
Rezoning		coning district(s)?	ne pur pose statement(s) or			
Consideration			emetery zoned IP and in the			
from Chapter B,	same ownership	1 1 0	emetery zoned if and in the			
Article VI,	same ownersing	).				
Section 6-2.1(R)						
Section 0-2.1(IX)	CENER	AL SITE INFORMATIO	N			
Location		ight Street, between Waugl				
Location	Street	ight Bucci, between waugi	ntown Street and Sprague			
Jurisdiction	City of Winston	ı-Salem				
Ward(s)	Southeast	Guiem				
Site Acreage	$\pm 0.79$ acres					
Current		nerty is currently undevelor	ned			
	The subject pro	The subject property is currently undeveloped.				
Land Use						
Land Use Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use			
Surrounding	<b>Direction</b> North	Zoning District	Use Various commercial uses			
	North	RS9 & HB	Various commercial uses			
Surrounding Property Zoning	North East	RS9 & HB RS9	Various commercial uses Single family homes			
Surrounding Property Zoning	North	RS9 & HB	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes &			
Surrounding Property Zoning	North East South	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes & cemetery			
Surrounding Property Zoning	North East	RS9 & HB RS9	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes & cemetery Cemetery and & single			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use	North East South West	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes & cemetery Cemetery and & single family homes			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use Applicable	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are to	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes & cemetery Cemetery and & single family homes r the proposed			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are t classification/r	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 the use(s) permitted under equest compatible with use	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes & cemetery Cemetery and & single family homes r the proposed			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use  Applicable Rezoning Consideration	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are t classification/r properties in the second control of the second contr	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 Che use(s) permitted under equest compatible with usine vicinity?	Various commercial uses Single family homes Single family homes & cemetery Cemetery and & single family homes r the proposed ses permitted on other			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use  Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B,	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are telesification/reproperties in the south of	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 Che use(s) permitted under equest compatible with usine vicinity?	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use  Applicable Rezoning Consideration	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are telesification/reproperties in the south of	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP with use equest compatible with use evicinity? quested use (Cemetery) is a	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing			
Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R)	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are to classification/r properties in the company of the comp	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP with use equest compatible with use evicinity? quested use (Cemetery) is a	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed  ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing g Leight Street.			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use  Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI,	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are to classification/r properties in the company of the comp	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP with use the use(s) permitted under equest compatible with use the vicinity? quested use (Cemetery) is the single family homes along	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed  ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing g Leight Street.			
Surrounding Property Zoning and Use  Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R) Physical	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are t classification/r properties in tl Yes, the only recemetery and the	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP & RS9 IP with use the use(s) permitted under equest compatible with use the vicinity? quested use (Cemetery) is the single family homes along	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed  ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing general Leight Street.  as a favorable topography.			
Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R) Physical Characteristics	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are t classification/r properties in tl Yes, the only recemetery and the	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed  ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing general Leight Street.  as a favorable topography.			
Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R) Physical Characteristics Proximity to	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are t classification/r properties in tl Yes, the only recemetery and the	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed  ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing general Leight Street.  as a favorable topography.			
Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R) Physical Characteristics Proximity to Water and Sewer	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are telassification/reproperties in the subject profile in the subject profile subject profile in the subject profile subject profile in the subject	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed  ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing general Leight Street.  as a favorable topography.			
Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R) Physical Characteristics Proximity to Water and Sewer Stormwater/	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are telesification/reproperties in the subject profile i	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing g Leight Street.  as a favorable topography.  vater and sewer.			
Applicable Rezoning Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(R) Physical Characteristics Proximity to Water and Sewer Stormwater/ Drainage	North East South West  (R)(2) - Is/are telesification/reproperties in the subject profile i	RS9 & HB RS9 IP & RS9 IP with use equest compatible with use equest compatible with use equested use (Cemetery) is easingle family homes along perty is undeveloped and happerty has access to public vess.	Various commercial uses  Single family homes  Single family homes & cemetery  Cemetery and & single family homes  r the proposed ses permitted on other  compatible with the existing g Leight Street.  as a favorable topography.  vater and sewer.			

Historic, Natural Heritage and/or Farmland Inventories	This vacant site is listed on the National Register of Historic Places in the Waughtown-Belview Historic District adjacent to a cemetery. The National Register of Historic Places listing does not prohibit or limit alterations to buildings or sites, with the exception of federal/state rehabilitation tax credit properties. This historic resource is not a locally designated and therefore does not require an approved Certificate of Appropriateness by the Historic Resources Commission prior to changes to the property and/or site.  The subject property is 0.79 acres in size and is located on the west side						
General Site Information	of Leight Street between Waughtown Street and Sprague Street. The site is currently vacant and some of the existing vegetation has recently been removed. Staff believes the site is suitable for the proposed IP-L zoning.						
SITE	ACCESS AND T	<b>TRANSPORTA</b>	TION INFO	ORMATION			
Street Name	Classification	Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	Capacity at Level of Service D			
Leight Street	Local Street	200'	NA	NA			
Proposed Access Point(s)  Trip Generation -	Since this is a special use limited request, the exact location of access points is unknown. However, the site does have access to Leight Street and could also gain access through the existing cemetery property to the west.						
Existing/Proposed	Existing Zoning: RS9 0.79 x 43,560 / 9,000 = 3 units x 9.57 (Single Family Trip Rate) = 29 Trips per Day  Proposed Zoning: IP-L for Cemetery use Staff would not anticipate a significant increase in traffic based on the subject zoning request.						
Sidewalks	There are no side	ewalks along th	is section of l	Leight Street.			
Transit							
Analysis of Site Access and Transportation Information	Route 108 runs along Waughtown Street and Sprague Street in this area.  Staff would not anticipate any negative transportation impacts associated with the subject request.						
	ONFORMITY TO						
Legacy 2030 Growth Management Area	Growth Manage						
Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	residential and n of neighborhood	Ensure appropriate transitional land uses or physical buffering between residential and nonresidential uses to maintain the character and stability of neighborhoods. Quality design of nonresidential uses may negate the need for buffers.					

	I ~		~ 1			(2010)	
Relevant Area Plan(s)	South	heast Winston-	Salem	Area	Plan Updai	e (2013)	
Area Plan	Tho	Droposed Land	I I Ico N	Ion ch	ove the pr	parties for le	yy doneity
Recommendations		The Proposed Land Use Map shows the properties for low-density residential land use.					
Site Located	No	ential failu use	•				
Along Growth	110						
Corridor?							
Site Located	No						
within Activity	110						
Center?							
Applicable	( <b>R</b> )(3	3) - Have char	nging c	onditi	ions substa	ntially affect	ted the area in
Rezoning		petition?	-88	011010		and an arrangement of the second	
Consideration	No						
from Chapter B,		1) To 41,	43	4	·		7 20202
Article VI,	, , ,	4) - Is the requ	uestea	action	1 in confor	mance with I	Legacy 2030 :
Section 6-2.1(R)	Yes						
Analysis of	The	subject request	would	l place	: IP-L zonir	ng adjacent to	an existing
<b>Conformity to</b>	ceme	cemetery and in close proximity to residential zoning. The proposed					
Plans and	rezoi	rezoning is limited to one proposed use- Cemetery and the applicant has					
<b>Planning Issues</b>	agree	ed to a condition	on that	limits	the size an	d type of sign	age that can be
	place	ed on the site.	Staff b	elieve	s the propo	sed IP-L zoni	ing (along with
	41	placed on the site. Staff believes the proposed IP-L zoning (along with the included signage condition) will be compatible with the surrounding					
	the 11	ncluded signag	ge cond	ition)	will be con	npatible with	the surrounding
	area.						the surrounding
		RELEVANT	ΓZON	ING I		ES	
Case Reque	area.	RELEVANT Decision &	Γ ZON	ING I		ES Recom	nmendation
1	area. st	RELEVANT Decision & Date	T ZON Direct	ING I	HISTORIE Acreage	Recom Staff	nmendation CCPB
Case Reque W-2859 HB to R	area. st	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved	Γ ZON	ING I	HISTORII	ES Recom	nmendation
W-2859 HB to R	st	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06	Direction 300' 1	ING Inction Site	Acreage .16	Recom Staff	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R UDO Sections	st S7	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A	Direction 300° 1	ING Inction Site north	Acreage .16	Recom Staff Approval	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R UDO Sections Relevant to	st S7	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06	Direction 300° 1	ING Inction Site north	Acreage .16	Recom Staff Approval	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	st	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13	Direction 200° 1	ING Inction Site north District	Acreage  .16  see Condition	Recom Staff Approval	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	st	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A Section 2-5.13	Direction 300' in Cemet	ING Inction Site north	Acreage  .16  see Condition	Recom Staff Approval	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B,	st	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13	Direction 300' in Cemet	ING Inction Site north District	Acreage  .16  se Condition	Recom Staff Approval	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	area.  st  (A) 1  (B) 4  (C) 8	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A Section 2-5.13 Legacy 2030 police Environmental On	Direction 300' in Cemet	ING Inction Site north District erry Us	Acreage  .16  see Condition	Recom Staff Approval	nmendation CCPB
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3	area.  st  (A) 1  (B) 1  (C) S  Regu	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13 Legacy 2030 policity policity policity in the company and the company	Direct from 300' 1  A) IP D Cemet	ING I etion Site north District ery Us Yes NA NA	Acreage  .16  see Condition	Recom Staff Approval	amendation CCPB Approval
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3	area.  St  (A) 1  (B) 4  (C) 8  Regu	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A Section 2-5.13 Legacy 2030 police Environmental On Subdivision Illations SIONS TO AS	Direct from 300' 1  A) IP D Cemet	ING I etion Site north District ery Us Yes NA NA	Acreage  .16  se Conditio	Recom Staff Approval ns	Approval
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  CON Positive Aspect	area.  st  S7  (A) I  (B) I  (C) S  Regu  CLUS  ects of	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A Section 2-5.13  Legacy 2030 police Environmental On Subdivision clations HONS TO AS	Direct from 300° 1  A) IP D Cemet cies:	ING Inction Site north District ery Us NA NA WITH	Acreage  .16  se Condition  RECOMINEGATIVE	Recom Staff Approval  ns  MENDATIO Aspects of P	Approval  N  roposal
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  CON Positive Asper	area.  st  S7  (A) I  (B) I  (C) S  Regu  CLUS  ects of stent w	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13 Environmental On Subdivision allations Froposal with the purpose	Direct from 300' 1  A) IP D Cemet cies:	ING I etion Site north District ery Us NA NA NA WITH	Acreage  .16  RECOMINEGATIVE uest would	Recom Staff Approval  ns  MENDATIO Aspects of P place non-res	Approval  N  roposal  sidential zoning
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  CON Positive Aspect	area.  st  S7  (A) I  (B) I  (C) S  Regu  CLUS  ects of stent w	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13 Environmental On Subdivision allations Froposal with the purpose	Direct from 300' 1  A) IP D Cemet cies:	ING I etion Site north District ery Us NA NA NA WITH	Acreage  .16  RECOMINEGATIVE uest would	Recom Staff Approval  ns  MENDATIO Aspects of P	Approval  N  roposal  sidential zoning
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  CON Positive Asperate of the IP description	area.  st  S7  (A) I  (B) I  (C) S  Regu  CLUS  ects of stent whistrict.	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13 Segacy 2030 policity	Direct from 300' 1  A) IP D Cemet cies:	Yes NA NA WITH	Acreage  .16  RECOMINEGATIVE uest would	Recom Staff Approval  ns  MENDATIO Aspects of P place non-res	Approval  N  roposal  sidential zoning
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  CON Positive Asper The request is consist statement of the IP description.	area.  st  S7  (A) I  (B) I  (C) S  Regu  CLUS  ects of stent whistrict.	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13 Segacy 2030 policity	Direct from 300' 1  A) IP D Cemet cies:	Yes NA NA WITH	Acreage  .16  RECOMINEGATIVE uest would	Recom Staff Approval  ns  MENDATIO Aspects of P place non-res	Approval  N  roposal  sidential zoning
W-2859 HB to R  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  CON Positive Asperate of the IP description	area.  st  S7  (A) I  (B) I  (C) S  Regu  CLUS  ects of stent w  istrict.	RELEVANT Decision & Date Approved 6-5-06 Section 2-1.5(A) Section 2-5.13 Section	Direct from 300' in A) IP D Cemet cies:	Yes NA NA WITH	Acreage  .16  RECOMINEGATIVE uest would	Recom Staff Approval  ns  MENDATIO Aspects of P place non-res	Approval  N  roposal  sidential zoning

IP-L zoning for the use of cemetery at this location is compatible with surrounding land uses.

### SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following proposed conditions are from interdepartmental review comments and are proposed in order to meet codes or established standards, or to reduce negative off-site impacts.

### • PRIOR TO THE SIGNING OF ANY PLATS:

a. Developer shall install a Type II bufferyard adjacent to residentially zoned land as required by the UDO.

### • OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

a. Any signage on the subject property shall be limited to a maximum of sixteen (16) square feet. Electronic message board signs shall be prohibited.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL**

<u>NOTE:</u> These are **staff comments** only; <u>final recommendations</u> on projects are made by the City-County Planning Board, with <u>final decisions</u> being made by the appropriate Elected Body, who may approve, deny, table or request modification for any project. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.** 

# CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3333 JULY 13, 2017

Aaron King presented the staff report.

### **PUBLIC HEARING**

#### FOR:

Steve Morgan, 2833 Birchwood Drive, Winston-Salem, NC 27103

- As one of four trustees for the cemetery, I am representing the cemetery today.
- In December we purchased these three vacant lots that had been for sale for a couple of years. We bought them for the protection of the cemetery.
- These three lots had become a dumping ground. A lot of that was spilling over into the cemetery itself.
- The zoning request was initiated when we got a tax bill from the County. This is a 501(c)(3) corporation so it is exempt from paying taxes. The County tax office said the way to get these lots off the tax rolls was to get them rezoned.
- We are clearing the lots and are clearing trees and debris from the cemetery itself to protect gravesites. Some of the trees were dead and dying and as a result were falling onto headstones and breaking them. Removing trees and debris takes away the opportunities to be undetected therefore assisting in fighting the vandalism which is a problem.
- I have spoken to numerous neighbors and to all four property owners whose backyards adjoin these lots. They do not have a problem with the rezoning.
- We're doing a lot of cleaning up of the cemetery itself and of these tree lots. We never intend to bury people on these lots. The purpose of owning the lots is for protection of the existing gravesites.
- We hope to beautify the lots as money permits.

### **AGAINST:**

Sharon D. Anderson, 1901 East Sprague Street, Winston-Salem, NC 27107

- I do not oppose the rezoning. What I talked with Mr. Morgan about is that this was a dumping ground. I appreciate that they are taking down trees and debris, but now that the trees are removed I see directly into the cemetery.
- While I have nothing against the dead, I just really don't want to see these headstones.
- I understand that the cemetery is a 501(c)(3) corporation and there is no money to install trees at this time. However, I would like to have some commitment that at some point there will be a fence or trees of some kind to hide the headstones.

## **WORK SESSION**

During discussion by the Planning Board, the following points were made:

Melynda Dunigan: Will there be any access to the cemetery through this property? Steve Morgan responded that there is no intent to put a road through these lots at this time. They might plants trees around the perimeter in the future to beautify the area.

Paul Norby reminded the Board that there is not a condition prohibiting new graves on these three lots. Unless the petitioner volunteered a condition to that effect, there is nothing about the zoning that would prohibit that.

Although removing the trees from these lots has reduced the opportunities for vandalism in the cemetery, it has now turned into a clear view of the cemetery for Ms. Anderson. In response to a question from the Board about when a bufferyard would be triggered, Chris Murphy explained that a typical condition would not take care of this concern. It would typically be triggered if they came in to do a plat and that would only be if they opened more plots that aren't already platted and it doesn't sound like they have any intention to do that.

The spacing of trees for a Type II bufferyard is two (2) per every 100 linear feet. The petitioner was asked if he could live with a condition requiring a Type II bufferyard against the right-of-way of Leight Street? The petitioner explained that everything they do is dependent on resources. However, they could commit to it being done in a reasonable time frame.

Aaron King: A thirty (30)' wide Type II bufferyard for the entire length of frontage would be four (4) deciduous trees and 16 primary evergreen plants. If the primary evergreens could go in first and establish that screening, maybe the deciduous trees and whatever else needs to go in could be done at a later date as resources permit.

Steve Morgan: Most of the vandalism and litter problems have occurred along Leight Street. What we don't want to do is put up some type of fence which vandals can tear down and which we then have to spend money to put back up or they destroy trees and we have to spend more money to replant them. What we would like to do is put something there that would be lasting to beautify and protect where we don't have to keep pumping money into it. What I have thought about is an attractive kind of fence which would not block the view, along with shrubs or trees. What I would not want to do is put up a solid block wall.

MOTION: Clarence Lambe moved approval of the zoning petition with an additional condition which staff recommended and a Type II buffer along Leight Street.

The trees shall be planted by December 31, 2017. Said buffer shall be planted anywhere between Leight Street and the burial plots.

The petitioner stated that he is not sure how quickly they can get the plantings done and asked if the deadline could be next year instead.

Jason Grubbs: What if we required the evergreens by the end of this calendar year since they provide better screening and require the deciduous by the end of 2018?

Sharon Anderson agreed to that time table and the petitioner agreed to the revised condition that evergreens be planted by December 31, 2017 and deciduous plantings shall be done by December 31, 2018.

MOTION: Clarence Lambe moved approval of the zoning petition with an additional condition which staff recommended and that evergreens for a thirty (30) foot wide Type II buffer be planted along Leight Street by December 31, 2017 and deciduous plantings for a thirty (30) foot wide Type II buffer shall be planted by December 31, 2018. Said buffer shall be planted anywhere between Leight Street and the burial plots.

SECOND: Allan Younger

VOTE:

FOR: Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Tommy Hicks, Arnold King, Clarence Lambe,

Chris Leak, Brenda Smith, Allan Younger

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

A D IN I FAICD

A. Paul Norby, FAICP

Director of Planning and Development Services