

Project - Lowery Street Improvements

Location of Business	
Location	Points
Within Winston-Salem	5
Within North Carolina	3
Outside of North Carolina	0

Work Experience	
Level	Points
>5 Relevant Projects	5
4-5 Relevant Projects	4
3-4 Relevant Projects	3
1-2 Relevant Projects	2
0 Relevant Projects	0

Understanding of Project	
Level	Points
Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses	5
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses	4
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project's performance requirements. May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor weaknesses.	3
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully meeting the project's requirements. Significant weaknesses are demonstrated and clearly outweigh any strengths presented.	2
Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	1

Past Performance	
Level	Points
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	5
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	4
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	3
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	2
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	1

Firm Name: Rummel, Klepper & Kahl			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	3.00	60.00
Work Experience	25.00	4.60	115.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	5.00	75.00
Past Performance	20.00	5.00	100.00
Final Score			450.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: AMT Engineering			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	3.00	60.00
Work Experience	25.00	4.20	105.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.40	66.00
Past Performance	20.00	3.80	76.00
Final Score			407.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	5.00	100.00
Work Experience	25.00	3.00	75.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.00	60.00
Past Performance	20.00	3.60	72.00
Final Score			407.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: Kisinger Campo & Associates			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Work Experience	25.00	4.40	110.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	5.00	75.00
Past Performance	20.00	5.00	100.00
Final Score			385.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	5.00	100.00
Work Experience	25.00	3.00	75.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.00	60.00
Past Performance	20.00	2.20	44.00
Final Score			379.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: LJB Inc.			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Work Experience	25.00	4.00	100.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.00	60.00
Past Performance	20.00	4.00	80.00
Final Score			340.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: Alpha & Omega Group			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Work Experience	25.00	3.00	75.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	4.00	60.00
Past Performance	20.00	4.00	80.00
Final Score			315.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Firm Name: Thompson Gordon Shook Engineers			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Business Location	20.00	3.00	60.00
Work Experience	25.00	4.00	100.00
Understanding of Project	15.00	3.20	48.00
Past Performance	20.00	3.60	72.00
Final Score			280.0
	100.00		Max Score = 500