

City Council – Action Request Form

Date: March 16, 2020

To: Mayor, Mayor Pro Tempore and Members of the City Council

From: Tasha Logan Ford, Assistant City Manager
 William Royston, Recreation & Parks Director
 Jerry Bates, Purchasing Director

Council Action Requested:

Resolution Awarding Contract for Mineral Springs Tennis Court Reconstruction (Northeast Ward)

Strategic Focus Area: Livable Neighborhoods
Strategic Objective: Expand Open Space and Recreational Facilities
Strategic Plan Action Item: No
Key Work Item: Yes



Summary of Information:

Tennis court renovations are being funded by 2018 G.O. Recreation Bonds. The scope of work is to completely reconstruct the two tennis courts at Mineral Springs. The method chosen by staff is called Full Depth Reclamation (F.D.R.), as used by the Department of Transportation in many states. F.D.R. is a cost effective way to rebuild an asphalt road or tennis court, but it requires a Reclaimer, a special paving machine not widely owned by paving firms. The existing asphalt is re-used by pulverizing and remixing it with Portland cement to create a stronger, more bonded subsurface. A final asphalt layer is added, which is then painted and striped.

Purchasing advertised for bids with a summary of bids received on January 29, 2020 as follows:

| | <u>City/State</u> | <u>Base Bid</u> | <u>Add Alternate</u> |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Recreational Ventures, LLC d/b/a Court One | Youngsville, NC | \$106,296 | \$2,222 |
| Ruston Paving Co., Inc. | Greensboro, NC | 131,500 | 7,000 |

The Base Bid reuses the existing fence fabric, whereas the Add Alternate is for new fence fabric. Funding allows acceptance of the Add Alternate.

The low bidder, Court One, submitted subcontracting goals of 0% with minority-owned businesses and 16.46% with women-owned businesses, which did not meet the subcontracting goals established for this project.

Committee Action:

| | | | |
|------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| Committee | _____ | Action | _____ |
| For | _____ | Against | _____ |

Remarks: _____

Therefore, pursuant to the City's M/WBE Policy, the Internal M/WBE Committee and the Citizen's M/WBE Advisory Committee met to review and consider all documentation of the bidder's good faith efforts which follow this resolution. The Citizen's M/WBE Advisory Committee determined that a good faith effort was not made; therefore, per the City's M/WBE Policy the bid submitted by Court One is found to be non-responsive and shall not be considered for award.

The next lowest bidder, Ruston Paving Co., Inc., submitted subcontracting goals of 1.2% with minority-owned businesses and 19.0% with women-owned businesses, exceeding those established for this project of 1% and 10%, respectively, as indicated on their Affidavit "C".

Therefore, it is recommended that a contract be awarded to Ruston Paving Co., Inc. the lowest bidder meeting specifications, in the total amount of \$138,500. This amount is below the bond allocation of \$160,000.

M/WBE comment: Notices were sent to 22 paving firms on the City's vendor list, of which one is a minority-owned and one is a woman-owned business. No M/WBE firms submitted a bid.

See Exhibit A for a complete list of businesses notified and the workforce demographics of Ruston Paving Co., Inc.