CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

| | PETITION INFORMATION | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Docket | W-3655 | | | | | |
| Staff | Rory Howard | | | | | |
| Petitioner(s) | Douglas and Renee Steadman Living Trust | | | | | |
| Owner(s) | Same | | | | | |
| Subject Property | PIN(s) 6824-61-4548 and 6844-61-1568 | | | | | |
| Type of Request | Special Use Rezoning | | | | | |
| Proposal | The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property from Residential, Multifamily – maximum of 8 units per acre, Special Use Zoning (RM8-S) | | | | | |

| Surround | ding | Dire | ction | | Zoning Distr | rict | U | Jse |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Property Zoning and Use | | No | rth | RS9 | | | Undeveloped land and a | |
| | | | | | | | single-fai | mily home |
| | | So | uth | | RS9 and RM | 8-S | Undevelo | oped land, |
| | | | | | | | single-fan | nily homes, |
| | | | | | | | and tow | nhomes |
| | | East | | IP, LB-S, and H | | HB-S | Cemetery, | undeveloped |
| | | | | | | | commerci | ally zoned |
| | | | | | | | | offices, and |
| | | | | | | | convenie | ence store |
| | | W | est | | RS9 | | One single- | family home |
| | | | | | | | | vacant |
| | | | | | | | | al building |
| | | | | | | | | oose lodge) |
| Physical | | | | | ely wooded and | | | |
| Characte | | | | | ong the southern | | | |
| Proximit | • | | | | ilable along the p | | | |
| Water ar | nd Sewer | | | | oper is proposin | | | |
| sewer that runs through the western portion of the property to within | | | | | to within the | | | |
| | proposed private street. | | | | | | | |
| Stormwa | rater/ The site plan depicts one proposed stormwater management device | | | | device, | | | |
| Drainage which will be located in the western portion of the site. | | | | | | | | |
| Watersh | | | | ocat | ted within a wate | er supply w | atershed or o | verlay |
| Overlay 2 | | district | | | | | | |
| | | site is relatively flat and has a perennial stream running along the | | | | | | |
| | | outhern boundary. The subject property is immediately surrounded by | | | | | | |
| Informat | tion | | indeveloped land, a cemetery, one single-family home, and a vacant | | | | | |
| | | | nstitutional building. One stormwater device is proposed on-site and he site will be served by public water and sewer. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | er. | |
| | | | | | ZONING HIS | | | |
| Case | Requ | est | Decisio | | Direction | Acreage | | endation |
| **** | 7.00 | 3.50.0 | & Dat | | from Site | 1.70 | Staff | ССРВ |
| W-2806 | RS9 to R | M8-S | Approv | | Subject | 4.50 | Approval | Approval |
| | | | 11/7/20 | 005 | property | | | |
| W-2010 | RS9 to R | M5-S | Approv | ed | Northwest | 2.02 | Approval | Approval |
| 12/4/19 | | | 95 | | | | | |
| SITE ACCESS AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION | | | | | | | | |
| Street Name | | Classification | | Street Maintenance | | Frontage | | Capacity |
| | | | | | | | Daily | at Level |
| | | | | | | | Trip | of Service |
| | | | | | | | Count | D |
| Old Salis | Old Salisbury Road | | Minor | | WSDOT | 214 feet | 5,700 | 13,800 |
| | | Thoro | ughfare | | | | | |

| Proposed Access | The site plan proposes the construction of one new private street, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Point(s) | Sycamore Lane. Sycamore Lane is the only proposed connection to Old | | | | | |
| | Salisbury Road. Staff did not find any reasonable opportunities to | | | | | |
| | interconnect the site with surrounding properties due to topographic | | | | | |
| | conditions, surrounding streams, and existing power transmission lines. | | | | | |
| Trip Generation - | Existing Zoning: RM8-S | | | | | |
| Existing/Proposed | 32 proposed apartmen | | 671 (Ar | ortma | ont Trin Poto) - 215 7 | |
| Existing/110posed | | it uiiits x | 0.74 (Ap | arunc | in Trip Rate) = 213.7 | |
| | Trips per Day | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Proposed Zoning: RM8-S | | | | | |
| | 30 proposed townhomes x 5.81 (Residential Condominium/Townhouse | | | | | |
| | Trip Rate) = 174.3 Tr | | | | | |
| Sidewalks | There are no existing sidewalks along Old Salisbury Road in the | | | | | |
| | vicinity of the site. A fee-in-lieu of sidewalk installation along the Old | | | | | |
| | Salisbury Road fronta | ge will b | e require | d by ' | WSDOT at the time of | |
| | infrastructure permitti | ng. The | site plan | illust | rates required sidewalks | |
| | along the internal priv | ate stree | t. | | • | |
| Transit | | | | along | Peters Creek Parkway | |
| | approximately ½ mile | | | | | |
| Analysis of Site | | | | | | |
| Access and | The site has direct access to Old Salisbury Road, a minor thoroughfare, via a proposed private street. Internal sidewalks are proposed on the | | | | | |
| Transportation Transportation | property. The trip gen | | | | | |
| Information | | | | | trip generation rate in the | |
| Illiorillation | | | | | imp generation rate in the | |
| | prior approved rezonia | ng case (| W-2800) | • | | |
| | Th - Old C-1:-1 D- | - 1 1-4 | - c | 144: | - 411:4 | |
| | • | _ | • | | g the subject property is | |
| | currently closed due to a Winston-Salem DOT improvement and | | | | | |
| | maintenance project. Currently, the project is anticipated to be | | | | | |
| CYTOTO | completed before Sep | | | FOU | | |
| | PLAN COMPLIANCI | | UDO K | | | |
| Building | Square Footag | | | Placement on Site | | |
| Square Footage | 6 townhome build | _ | Throug | ghout | the central area of the site | |
| | containing between 4 | l and 7 | | | | |
| | units each | | | | | |
| Parking | Required | Pı | roposed | | Layout | |
| | 53 spaces | 60 |) spaces | | Ninety-degree spaces | |
| | _ | | - | | along both sides of the | |
| | | | | | proposed private street | |
| Building Height | Maximum Proposed | | | | * * * | |
| | | | | | 45 feet | |
| Impervious | Maximu | | Proposed | | | |
| Coverage | | | | | | |
| | 70% 29.22% | | | | | |
| UDO Sections | Section 4.5.12: RM-8 Residential Multifamily District | | | | | |
| Relevant to | • Section 5.2.71: Residential Building, Townhouse Use Specific | | | | | |
| Subject Request | Standards | | | | | |
| | Chapter 6: Development Standards | | | | | |
| | l | | | | | |

| Complies with | (A) Forward 2045 policies: | Yes | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Section 3.2.11 | (B) Environmental Ord. | Yes | | | | |
| | (C) Subdivision Regulations | N/A | | | | |
| Analysis of Site Plan Compliance with UDO Requirements | The site plan illustrates the development of 6 townhome buildings containing a total of 30 units. The plan proposes one new private street extending south into the site from to Old Salisbury. Sidewalks are proposed along both sides of the internal street, and the required Type II bufferyard against single-family zoned properties is shown. The site plan meets all other UDO requirements. | | | | | |
| | NFORMITY TO PLANS A | ND PLANNING ISSUES | | | | |
| Forward 2045 Growth Management Area | Growth Management Area 3 | - Suburban Neighborhoods | | | | |
| Relevant Forward 2045 Recommendations | Prioritize density, diversity of uses, and connectivity in areas served by existing infrastructure. Prioritize higher density housing close to services, schools, workplaces, and transit. Encourage infill development in areas with access to existing public services and infrastructure. | | | | | |
| Relevant Area Plan(s) | South Suburban Area Plan Update (2018) | | | | | |
| Area Plan Recommendations | The Proposed Land Use Map recommends single-family residential development (0-8 dwelling units per acre) for this property. Develop a variety of housing types for different income levels, family sizes, and personal preferences. Goods and services should be available near where people live and work. | | | | | |
| Site Located Along Growth Corridor? | The site is not located along a growth corridor. | | | | | |
| Site Located within Activity Center? | The site is not located within an activity center. | | | | | |
| Rezoning Consideration | Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the petition? | | | | | |
| from Section | No. | | | | | |
| 3.2.19 A 16 | Is the requested action in conformance with Forward 2045? | | | | | |
| | Yes. | | | | | |

Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues

This request is to rezone a 4.50-acre parcel from RM8-S to RM8-S to allow for a greater variety of single-family and low-density attached residential housing uses. The original rezoning case for this property, W-2806, only allowed for the use Residential Building, Multifamily. The request seeks to expand the development opportunities and options for the subject property. The site plan proposes a development with six buildings containing a total of 30 townhome units.

The request aligns with several recommendations of *Forward 2045* by prioritizing higher-density housing near existing infrastructure and public services. The request would increase the local housing supply and offer additional housing options near the Peters Creek Parkway Growth Corridor. In addition, the site layout emphasizes pedestrian connectivity through internal sidewalks.

While the proposed use is inconsistent with the Area Plan's parcel-specific recommendation for single-family residential development at this location, the plan also encourages a variety of housing types to accommodate different income levels, family sizes, and personal preferences. The proposed density is consistent with the Area Plan's recommendation, and the development would utilize public water and sewer. The site is located within GMA 3 on a minor thoroughfare and is close to a designated growth corridor. For these reasons, staff believes the proposal is generally consistent with the *South Suburban Area Plan Update*.

| CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST | T WITH RECOMMENDATION |
|--|--|
| Positive Aspects of Proposal | Negative Aspects of Proposal |
| The request is consistent with the general recommendations of <i>Forward 2045</i> and the <i>South Suburban Area Plan Update</i> to prioritize higher density housing close to services and transit. | The request is inconsistent with the parcel- specific land use recommendations of the Area Plan. |
| The request would encourage a variety of housing types for different income levels, family sizes, and personal preferences. | |
| The request would allow for residential development of a site located along a minor thoroughfare in the serviceable land area. | |

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE PERMITS:

- a. The developer shall obtain a commercial infrastructure permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements or fee-in-lieu may be required prior to issuance of the commercial infrastructure permits.
- b. Developer shall have a stormwater management study submitted for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved by the City of Winston-Salem.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

- Developer shall record a tentative plat in the office of the Register of Deeds. The plat shall show tentative building locations and all access and utility easements.
- b. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

• PRIOR TO THE SIGNING OF PLATS:

- a. All documents including covenants, restrictions, and homeowners' association agreements shall be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds
- b. Prior to the conveyance of any individual units to private ownership, the developer shall record final "as-built" plats to be reviewed by Planning staff

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:

- a. Developer shall complete all requirements of the driveway permit(s).
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.
- c. Any required "as-built" plats shall be recorded with the Register of Deeds.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3655 JULY 10, 2025

Bryan Wilson presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Salvador Patiño, Lindsey Schwab, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning amendment.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Salvador Patiño, Lindsey Schwab, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO

Director of Planning and Development Services