## **Project -WSFD Medical Evaluation Services**

| Business Name:                       | The I  | Mobile D | Ooc, PLLC       |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Evaluation Criteria                  | Weight | Grade    | Total           |
| MWBE Commitment                      | 20.00  | 0.00     | 0.00            |
| Business Location                    | 20.00  | 5.00     | 100.00          |
| Cost Effectiveness/Price Value       | 20.00  | 5.00     | 100.00          |
| Relevant Qualifications / Experience | 30.00  | 5.00     | 150.00          |
| Quality of Proposal                  | 10.00  | 5.00     | 50.00           |
| Final Score                          |        |          | 400.00          |
|                                      | _      |          | Max Score = 500 |

| MWBE Commitment                             | Points |
|---|--------|
| Certified MWBE Compliance-Primary           |        |
| Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE            |        |
| certificate in their proposal; OR           |        |
| (2) Will award required portion of the      |        |
| project to a named M/WBE certified          | 5      |
| subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they    |        |
| made a good faith effort to comply but were |        |
| unable to locate a qualified M/WBE          |        |
| subcontractor.                              |        |
| Not Qualified Vendors proposal indicated    |        |
| that they do not qualify for the M/WBE      |        |
| certification nor do they comply with the   | 0      |
| M/WBE subcontract participation             |        |
| requirement.                                |        |

| <b>Business Location</b>  | Points |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Within Winston-Salem      | 5      |
| Within North Carolina     | 3      |
| Outside of North Carolina | 0      |

| Cost Effectiveness/Price Value             | Points |
|--|--------|
| Least cost compared to all other proposers | 5      |
| 2nd least cost                             | 4      |
| 3rd least cost                             | 3      |
| 4th least cost                             | 2      |
| 5th least cost                             | 1      |
| 6th least cost                             | 0      |

| Relevant Qualifications/Experiences  | Points |
|--|--------|
| Superior- Has significant experience   |        |
| providing (5+ years) services required -<br>Provided 5 or more verifiable references<br>and examples of projects | 5      |
| Above Average- Has great experience  | 4      |
| providing (4 years) services required.   | 4      |
| Average- Has some experience providing (3 years) services required. Delow Average- Has substantial               | 3      |
| experience providing (2 years) services  | 1      |
| Poor-Has little experience providing (<2 years) services required.   | 0      |

| Quality of Proposal                           | Points |
|---|--------|
| Superior : Proposer fully addresses all       |        |
| aspects of the criterion, convincingly        |        |
| demonstrates that it will meet the project's  | 5      |
| performance requirements, and                 |        |
| demonstrates no weaknesses                    |        |
| Above Average: Proposer fully addresses       |        |
| all aspects of the criterion, convincingly    |        |
| demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the      | 4      |
| project's requirements, and demonstrates      |        |
| only a few minor weaknesses                   |        |
| Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of    |        |
| the criterion and demonstrates the ability to |        |
| meet the project's requirements. May          | 3      |
| contain significant weaknesses and/or a       |        |
| number of minor weaknesses.                   |        |
| Below Average: Proposer does not address      |        |
| all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence  |        |
| presented indicating the likelihood of        | 1      |
| successfully meeting the project's            |        |
| requirements. Significant weaknesses are      |        |
| demonstrated and clearly outweigh any         |        |
| strengths presented.                          |        |
| Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects   |        |
| of the criterion and the information          | 0      |
| presented indicates a strong likelihood of    |        |
| failure to meet the project's requirements    |        |