

Project - Computer / End Point Maintenance and Support Services

| Business Name: | | Key Services, Inc. | |
|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|---------------|
| Evaluation Criteria | Weight | Grade | Total |
| MWBE Commitment | 20.00 | 5.00 | 100.00 |
| Business Location | 20.00 | 5.00 | 100.00 |
| Functionality/Capability | 30.00 | 3.00 | 90.00 |
| Relevant Qualifications | 15.00 | 3.00 | 45.00 |
| Cost Effectiveness/Value | 5.00 | 5.00 | 25.00 |
| Relevant Experience | 10.00 | 3.00 | 30.00 |
| Final Score | | | 390.00 |

| MWBE Commitment | |
|--|--------|
| Percent goal met or good faith effort made? | Points |
| Certified M/WBE Compliance- Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor. | 5 |
| Not Qualified Vendors proposal indicated that they do not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement. | 0 |

| Business Location | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| | Points |
| Within Winston-Salem | 5 |
| Within North Carolina | 3 |
| Outside of North Carolina | 0 |

| Functionality and Capability | |
|---|--------|
| Response | Points |
| Meets all RFP requirements and exceeds expectations on delivery of RFP requirements | 5 |
| Meets all RFP requirements | 3 |
| Does not meet all RFP requirements | 0 |

| Relevant Experience and Qualifications | |
|--|--------|
| Rating | Points |
| Proposer has all four desired certifications and both required certifications as listed on page 9 of the RFP. | 5 |
| Proposer has three out of four desired certifications and both required certifications as listed on page 9 of the RFP. | 4 |
| Proposer has 2 out of four desired certifications and both required certifications as listed on page 9 of the RFP. | 3 |
| Proposer has 1 out of four desired certifications and both required certifications as listed on page 9 of the RFP. | 2 |
| Proposer fails to have any desired certifications but has both required certifications as listed on page 9 of the RFP. | 1 |

| Cost Effectiveness/Value | |
|--|--------|
| Response | Points |
| Least cost compared to all other proposers | 5 |
| 2nd least cost | 4 |
| 3rd least cost | 3 |
| 4th least cost | 2 |
| 5th least cost | 1 |
| 6th least cost | 0 |

| Relevant Experiences | |
|--|--------|
| Response | Points |
| Greater than 3 verified for similar projects performed | 5 |
| 3 verified for similar projects performed | 3 |
| 0 verified for similar projects performed | 0 |