CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETI	TION INFORMATION			
Docket	W-3473				
Staff	Samuel Hunter				
Petitioner(s)	Lane Dental Properties, LLC				
Owner(s)	Same				
Subject Property	PIN 6805-82-5951				
Address	4303 Country Club Road				
Type of Request	Special Use rez	oning from LO-S to IP-S			
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property <u>from</u> LO-S (Limited Offices – Special Use) <u>to</u> IP-S (Institutional and Public – Special Use). The petitioner is requesting the following uses:				
	• School,	Private			
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	The petitioner's	s neighborhood outreach sui	mmary is attached.		
Zoning District			e public and institutional uses		
Purpose	which have a limited land use impact or traffic generation potential upon				
Statement	surrounding uses. The district is intended to accommodate smaller, less				
	intensive public and institutional uses which have concentrated service				
	areas and are located in or near residential areas, or larger, less intensive recreational or institutional facilities in rural areas.				
Rezoning		consistent with the purpo	ose statement(s) of the		
Consideration	requested zoni				
from Section			burban Neighborhoods) and is		
3.2.15 A 13	1 * *	commodate a low-intensity i	use. The site is also located		
	within a resider		NT		
T		AL SITE INFORMATIO			
Location	Cebon Avenue	of Country Club Road, bety	ween Mozart Avenue and		
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem				
Ward(s)	West				
Site Acreage	± 1.5 acres				
Current		antly dayaloned with an offi	ce building and associated		
Land Use	The site is currently developed with an office building and associated				
Surrounding	parking on the portion of the site closest to Country Club Road. Direction Zoning District Use				
Property Zoning	North	RM12	Multifamily apartments		
and Use	East	RS9 and RM12	Multifamily apartments and single-family homes		
	South	RS9 and RM12	Multifamily apartments and single-family homes		
	West	RM12	Multifamily apartments		

Rezoning Consider	ation	Is/are the use(s) compatible with	_				_
from Sec 3.2.15 A		Yes, the proposed institutional use is generally compatible with the uses in the vicinity.					
Physical		The site is already developed with an existing building on a relatively					
Characte	ristics	flat parcel.					
Proximit	,	Public water and sewer are available in the right-of-way for Country					-
Water an	d Sewer	Club Road. The proposed development will connect to existing utility lines.					xisting utility
Stormwa	ter/	The proposed use is exempt from applicable stormwater provisions					
Drainage	!	because it would not increase the existing impervious surface area(s) on					face area(s) on
A 1 .	0	the site.	.1	1 1 1	*.1 *		1.1
Analysis General S		This site is current	•	-		_	
Informat		adequate access to required.	o u	unues. No ac	iaitionai su	orniwater ina	magement is
IIII OI III at	1011		VТ	ZONING H	ISTORIES	3	
~		Decision &		Direction		Recommendation	
Case	Reques	Date		from Site	Acreage	Staff	ССРВ
W-2801	LO-S to SPA	10/13/2005	,	Subject site	1.5	Approval	Approval
W-699	R-4 to R-S (LO-S	1.1		Subject site	1.5	Denial	Approval
	SITE	ACCESS AND T	RA	NSPORTA'	TION INF	ORMATIO	N
Street	Name	Classification		Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	_	ty at Level of ervice D
Country (Club Road	Major Thoroughfare	Major + 100 feet 16 000 15 800				15,800
Proposed Point(s)	Access	The site will be a driveways.	cce	ssed from Co	ountry Club	Road utilizi	ng existing
Planned Improve		There are no planned road improvements.					
		Existing Zoning: LO-S					
Trip Gen	eration -	Existing Zoning:	Frip Generation - Existing Zoning: LO-S $1,900/1,000 \times 36.13$ (medical-dental office trip rate) = 68.64 trips per				
_			.13	(medical-der	ntal office t	rip rate) = 68	3.64 trips per
_			.13	(medical-der	ntal office t	rip rate) = 68	3.64 trips per
_		1,900/1,000 x 36. day			ntal office t	rip rate) = 68	3.64 trips per
_		1,900/1,000 x 36. day <u>Proposed Zoning</u>	: IP	<u>'-S</u>			
Existing/	Proposed	1,900/1,000 x 36. day Proposed Zoning: 1,900/1000 x 5.5	: IP (pr	-S ivate school	trip rate) =	10.45 trips p	er day
_	Proposed	1,900/1,000 x 36. day Proposed Zoning: 1,900/1000 x 5.5 There are no side	: IP (pr wal	- <u>S</u> ivate school lks along this	trip rate) =	10.45 trips p untry Club F	er day Road. The
Existing/	Proposed	1,900/1,000 x 36. day Proposed Zoning: 1,900/1000 x 5.5 There are no side Comprehensive T	: IP (pr wal	-S ivate school ks along this	trip rate) = s side of Co Plan calls fo	10.45 trips p untry Club F or sidewalks	er day Road. The along both sides
Existing/	Proposed	1,900/1,000 x 36. day Proposed Zoning: 1,900/1000 x 5.5 There are no side	: IP (pr wal <i>Tran</i> Cou	- <u>S</u> ivate school lks along this asportation F untry Club Ro	trip rate) = s side of Co Plan calls foo oad, and the	10.45 trips p untry Club F or sidewalks	er day Road. The along both sides

W-3473 Staff Report 2 May 2021

Access and is a major tho	PLIANGE Footage square for the squar	CE WIT ge feet Pr	of recording lot	nmends remain O REQ Intrally lo	m Country Club Road, which that the circulation of the one-way. No road widening in CUIREMENTS Placement on Site ocated in the southern portion of the site Layout
Transportation existing drive required. SITE PLAN COMP Building Square Square Footage ± 1,900 s Parking Required	PLIANGE Footage square for the squar	CE WIT ge feet Pr 3	Cer	remain O REQ Intrally lo	One-way. No road widening in the southern portion of the site
	Footage of square for the square for	ge feet Pr	Cer	l ntrally lo	Placement on Site ocated in the southern portion of the site
	Footage of square for the square for	ge feet Pr	Cer	l ntrally lo	Placement on Site ocated in the southern portion of the site
	square f	feet Pr 3	roposed	ntrally lo	ocated in the southern portion of the site
+ 1,900 s Parking Required	10 (aximu	Pr 3	roposed		of the site
	10 [aximu	3	_	d	Layout
1 space per	[aximu		spaces		-
students					Angled head-in, in front of existing building
8 8	11) Fast		Maximum Proposed		•
	40 feet One story (existing)				
F	Maximum 75 paraent				Proposed
LIDO Sections	75 percent 28.29 percent				
Relevant to Section	• Section 4.8.1: Institutional and Public District				
Subject Request • Section	• Section 5.2.75: School, Private (use-specific standards)				
Complies with Section 3.2.11 (A) Legacy 203	(A) Legacy 2030 policies: Yes (B) Environmental Ordinance N/A				
(B) Environme					
(C) Subdivision	(C) Subdivision Regulations		N/A		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			•	•	g one-story building and new
	parking striping. A Type I perimeter bufferyard is required, but the site				
					te property boundary. The ace to meet the bufferyard
standard when					
CONFORMITY					
Legacy 2030					
Growth Growth Mana	agemen	nt Area 3	– Sub	urban N	leighborhoods
Management Area	U				C
	ote com	nnatible	infill d	evelonn	nent that fits with the context
	surroun	-		e retopn	none mac mas with the context
		_	ment a	and reus	e of existing sites and
	ngs tha inding		patible	and cor	mplementary with the
Relevant Area Plan(s) West Suburba			pdate ((2018)	

W-3473 Staff Report 3 May 2021

Area Plan Recommendations	 The mix, type, and design of development should facilitate walking and bicycling where feasible. Site design should incorporate pedestrian-oriented design elements such as street trees, buildings located close to the street, building façade articulation and variety, and transparent windows and doors. Retain existing single-family structures adjacent to institutional uses. 		
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is located along the Country Club Road Growth Corridor.		
Site Located within Activity Center?	The site is not located within an Activity Center.		
Comprehensive Transportation Plan Information	The <i>Comprehensive Transportation Plan</i> recommends a three-lane cross section with wide outside lanes, curb and gutter and sidewalk on both sides for this section of Country Club Road.		
Addressing	The new proposed address is 4305 Country Club Road.		
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the		
Consideration	petition? No		
from Section			
1 3 7 1 5 A 1 3			
3.2.15 A 13	Is the requested action	in conformance with <i>Legacy 2030</i> ?	
3.2.13 A 13	Is the requested action Yes	in conformance with Legacy 2030?	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Subur	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site proved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made.	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use ocurrent zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future land	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site proved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map.	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use ocurrent zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future land	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **WITH RECOMMENDATION**	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues CONC Positive Asp	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use of current zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future land CLUSIONS TO ASSIST ects of Proposal	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site proved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map.	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues CON Positive Asp The proposed use is	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use of current zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future land CLUSIONS TO ASSIST ects of Proposal	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **WITH RECOMMENDATION**	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues CON Positive Asp The proposed use is current use.	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use of current zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future lance CLUSIONS TO ASSIST ects of Proposal less intense than the	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **WITH RECOMMENDATION** Negative Aspects of Proposal**	
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues CON Positive Asp The proposed use is current use. The proposed zoning	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use of current zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future land CLUSIONS TO ASSIST ects of Proposal	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **WITH RECOMMENDATION** Negative Aspects of Proposal** The area plan recommends medium-density	
CONOR Positive Asp The proposed use is current use. The proposed zoning commercial uses.	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use ocurrent zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future language of Proposal less intense than the	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **EVITH RECOMMENDATION** Negative Aspects of Proposal** The area plan recommends medium-density residential development in the surrounding*	
CONO Positive Asp The proposed use is current use. The proposed zoning commercial uses. The proposed use with	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use of current zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future language of Proposal less intense than the district restricts any	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **WITH RECOMMENDATION** Negative Aspects of Proposal** The area plan recommends medium-density	
CONOR Positive Asp The proposed use is current use. The proposed zoning commercial uses.	Yes The request is to rezone small private school. The Plan Amendment was apparking, but no improve Although the West Suburdensity residential use of current zoning and does decrease in intensity is calign with the future language of Proposal less intense than the district restricts any	the subject property from LO-S to IP-S for a e property was rezoned to LO-S in 1979. A Site oproved in 2005 to accommodate off-street ments were made. **Trban Area Plan Update** recommends medium-f the site, the proposal is less intense than the not propose any new construction. Generally, a considered favorably, even if it does not directly d use map. **EVITH RECOMMENDATION** Negative Aspects of Proposal** The area plan recommends medium-density residential development in the surrounding*	

W-3473 Staff Report 4 May 2021

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

- a. Developer shall obtain a driveway permit from NCDOT and the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements may be required prior to issuance of the driveway permit(s). Required improvements include:
 - Installation of required sidewalks within the first 180 days of City Council approval.

If no grading permit is required, this condition shall be met prior to the issuance of any required permits.

• OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

- a. Any freestanding signage shall be limited to one monument sign with a maximum height of six (6) feet and a maximum copy area of thirty-six (36) square feet. No electronic messages shall be incorporated into the sign.
- b. The zoning designation of the subject property shall revert to the previous LO-S, including all conditions approved with Zoning Docket W-2801, if the School, Private use ceases operation for more than one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR**REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3473 MAY 13, 2021

Desmond Corley presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo

McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the zoning petition.

SECOND: Jason Grubbs

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Melynda Dunigan, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo

McRae, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Aaron King

Director of Planning and Development Services