## Tree Removal at Winston Lake Golf Course REBID

Business Name:	WC Brown Construction		
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0
Price/Value	35.00	5.00	175
Project Understanding & Approach	20.00	0.75	15
Project Timeline & Manpower	15.00	0.75	11.25
Years Performing Similar Work	10.00	0.75	7.5
Final Score			208.75
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Business Name:	HNA			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total	
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0	
Price/Value	35.00	0.00	0	
Project Understanding & Approach	20.00	4.75	95	
Project Timeline & Manpower	15.00	4.75	71.25	
Years Performing Similar Work	10.00	4.00	40	
Final Score			206.25	
	100.00		Max Score = 500	

Business Name:		A1 Forestry			
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total		
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100		
Price/Value	35.00	0.00	0		
Project Understanding & Approach	20.00	1.00	20		
Project Timeline & Manpower	15.00	0.75	11.25		
Years Performing Similar Work	10.00	0.75	7.5		
Final Score			138.75		
	100.00		Max Score = 500		

Business Name:	Business Name:		
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0
Price/Value	35.00	3.50	122.5
Project Understanding & Approach	20.00	0.75	15
Project Timeline & Manpower	15.00	0.75	11.25 15
Years Performing Similar Work	10.00	1.50	
			163.75
	100.00		Max Score = 500

Business Name:		Country	Boy
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0
Price/Value	35.00	0.00	0
Project Understanding & Approach	20.00	0.75	15
Project Timeline & Manpower	15.00	0.75	11.25
Years Performing Similar Work	10.00	0.75	7.5
Final Score			33.75
	100.00		Max Score = 500

MWBE Commitment			
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?	Points		
Certified MWBE Compliance-Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor.	5		
Not Qualified Vendors proposal indicated that they did not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement.	0		

ł	Price/Value		Project Understanding & Approach		Project Timeline & Manpower		Years Performing Similar Work	
1	Rating	Points	Response	Points	Response	Points	Response	Points
	Lowest cost compared to other proposals	5	Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project needs (e.g. strategy is detailed & laid out in clear steps; staffing commitment is clearly spelled out showing dedication to our project)	5	firm intends to complete construction project with 4-6 months of Notice to Proceed and is dedicating 5 or more team members to project thru construction phase; completion of design by late April	5	firm have 12+ years experience with similar projects; at least 4 projects identical or nearly so to ours in scope	5
	Less than 5% above lowest proposal	4	Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project needs (e.g. strategy is well outlined; staffing commitment is clearly spelled out)	4	firm intends to complete construction project within 4-6 months of Notice to Proceed and is dedicating 4 or more team members to project thru construction phase; completion of design by mid-May	4	firm have 10 to 12 years experience with similiar projects; at least 3 strongly similar projects to ours in scope	4
	5% - 20% above lowest proposal	3	Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet our specific project needs	3	firm intends to complete construction project within 4-6 months of Notice to Proceed and is dedicating 3 or more team members to project thru construction phase; completion of design by late-May	3	At least 3 similar type landscape removal projects;	3
	21% - 35% above lowest proposal	2	Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of success (e.g. strategy is uncertain or generic)	1	Proposed project timeline is uncertain; Proposal does not demonstrate that the firm is not committed to the timeline in the RFP or objects to approach outlined in the RFP	1	1-2 similar projects; no experience for a similar project, but has such in another similar project type	1
	Greater than 35% above lowest proposal	0	Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a likelihood of failure	0	Proposed timeline goes beyond Spring and/or firm disagrees strongly with the approach outlined in the RFP		no similar projects	0