Project - Dental Administrative Services

Cigna		
Weight	Grade	Total
20.00	5.00	100.00
35.00	5.00	175.00
35.00	4.00	140.00
10.00	3.86	38.57
		454
	Weight 20.00 35.00 35.00	Weight Grade 20.00 5.00 35.00 5.00 35.00 4.00

		154	
1/	fav S	ore =	500

Business Name	e:	Aet	ina
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	35.00	4.00	140.00
Qualifications / Experience	35.00	2.71	95.00
Quality of Proposal	10.00	2.71	27.14
Final Score			262
			Max Score = 500

Firm Name	e:	Delta Dental		
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total	
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00	
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	35.00	3.00	105.00	
Qualifications / Experience	35.00	2.71	95.00	
Quality of Proposal	10.00	3.43	34.29	
			234	
			M C 500	

Firm Name:		BC	BS
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	35.00	2.00	70.00
Qualifications / Experience	35.00	3.14	110.00
Quality of Proposal	10.00	2.71	27.14
Final Score			207.14
			May Saara = 500

Firm Name:		Met	Life
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	35.00	1.00	35.00
Qualifications / Experience	35.00	2.57	90.00
Quality of Proposal	10.00	2.43	24.29
Final Score			149.29
			May Score = 500

MWBE Commitment		Cost Effectiveness/Price Va	lue
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?	Points	Response	Points
Certified MWBE Compliance- Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; DR 2) Will award required portion of the rooject to a named M/WBE certified hubcontractor; OR (3) Has certified hey made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a	5	Least cost compared to all other proposers	5
Not Qualified Vendors proposal ndicated that they do not qualify for he M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement.	0	2nd least cost	4
		3rd least cost	3
		4th least cost	2
		5th least cost	1
		6th least cost	0

Relevant Qualifications/Exper	iences	Quality of Proposal	
Qualifications	Points	Quality of Proposal	Points
Superior: The firm's qualifications are superior, demonstrating their specialization in this field with at least 5 similar and verifiable projects in size and scope, and meeting all experience-based requirements.	5	Exceeds Expectations	5
Above average: The qualifications of the firm are solid, demonstrating their expertise with at least 4 similar and verifiable projects in size and scope, and meeting most experience-based requirements.	4	Above Average	3
Average: The firm's qualifications are solid, demonstrating their specialization in this field with at least 3 similar and varied projects in size and scope, and meeting experience-based requirements.	3	Met Minimum RFP Requirements	1
Below Average: The firm's qualifications indicate that they have some experience in the field and/or less than 2 projects that are similar in nature & size and satisfy fewer experience-based requirements	2	Unresponsive or incomplete	0
Poor: The firm did not provide sufficient evidence of their qualifications for our project, including less than I verifiable project of similar nature and size, and they do not meet the required experience.	1		

Project - Medical Services

Firm Name	e:	Cig	na
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	35.00	5.00	175.00
Qualifications / Experience	35.00	4.29	150.00
Quality of Proposal	10.00	3.71	37.14
Final Score			462.14
			May Score - 500

Max Score = 500

Firm Name:		BCI	BS
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Cost Effectiveness/Price Value	35.00	4.00	140.00
Qualifications / Experience	35.00	3.43	120.00
Quality of Proposal	10.00	2.57	25.71
Final Score			285.71
			Max Score = 500

Business Name: Aetna **Evaluation Criteria** Weight Grade Total MWBE Commitment 20.00 0.00 0.00 Cost Effectiveness/Price Value 35.00 3.00 105.00 Qualifications / Experience 35.00 2.86 100.00 Quality of Proposal 2.14 10.00 21.43 Final Score 226.43

Max Score = 500

MWBE Commitment		Cost Effectiveness/Price	Value	Relevant Qualifications/Exp	periences	Quality of Proposa	l
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?	Points	Response	Points	Qualifications	Points	Quality of Proposal	Points
Certified MWBE Compliance-Primary Vendor	5	Least cost compared to all other proposers	5	Superior: The firm's qualifications are superior, demonstrating their specialization in this field with at least 5 similar and verifiable projects in size and scope, and meeting all experience-based requirements.	5	Exceeds Expectations	5
Not Qualified	0	2nd least cost	4	Above average: The qualifications of the firm are solid, demonstrating their expertise with at least 4 similar and verifiable projects in size and scope, and meeting most experience-based requirements.	4	Above Average	3
		3rd least cost	3	Average: The firm's qualifications are solid, demonstrating their specialization in this field with at least 3 similar and varied projects in size and scope, and meeting experience-based requirements.	3	Met Minimum RFP Requirements	1
		4th least cost	2	Below Average: The firm's qualifications indicate that they have some experience in the field and/or less than 2 projects that are similar in nature & size and satisfy fewer experience-based requirements	2	Unresponsive or incomplete	0
		5th least cost	1	Poor: The firm did not provide sufficient evidence of their qualifications for our project, including less than 1 verifiable project of similar nature and size, and they do not meet the required experience.	1		
		6th least cost	0				