CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETITION INFORMATION					
Docket	W-3552					
Staff	Amy McBride					
Petitioner(s)	Second Star Development, Inc.					
Owner(s)	Same					
Subject Property	PIN 6816-15-1414					
Address	3455 Polo Road					
Type of Request	Special Use rezoning					
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property from NO-S (Neighborhood Office – Special Use zoning) to NO-S (Neighborhood Office – Special Use zoning). The petitioner is requesting the following uses: Child Day Care Center; Offices; Residential Building, Single Family; and Combined Use 					
Neighborhood Contact/Meeting	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.					
Zoning District	The NO District is primarily intended to accommodate very low					
Purpose Statement	intensity office uses within converted single family detached units. The district is intended to be located on the periphery of established residential areas, along major and minor thoroughfares. The district is established to provide convenient locations for offices, the size and operating characteristics of which require limited parking, and which generate little traffic. Standards are designed so that this district may serve as a transitional land use between residential districts and commercial districts. This district is intended for application in GMAs 2, 3, and 4.					
Rezoning	Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the					
Consideration	requested zoning district(s)?					
from Section	Yes, the request proposes a neighborhood serving use. The site is located					
3.2.19 A 16	within GMA 3 and is accessible to a minor throughfare.					
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION					
Location	North side of Polo Road, west of Green Valley Road					
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem					
Ward(s)	Northwest					
Ward(s) July 2023	Northwest					
Site Acreage	± .97 acres					
Current Land Use	Offices					

Surrounding		Direction Zoning Di		strict Use		Use			
Property Zoning		No	rth	RS9		Single-f	amily homes		
and Use		Ea	ıst	NO-L	,		Offices		
		Soi	uth	th RM12		Two-story apartment buildings			
			est	NO-S		Offices			
Rezoning	, ,	Is/are t	he use(s)	permitted und	ler the proj	posed classif	ication/request		
Consideration		compatible with uses permitted on other properties in the vicinity?							
from Section 3.2.19 A 16		The proposed childcare use is compatible with the other office and business uses, and residential development in the general area.							
Physical Characte	eristics	area. The property	ne parcel s y line. The tial structu	e is occupied by the existing office building and parking el slopes gently from Polo Road towards the northwestern The rear portion of the site contains an older uninhabitable ecture, with medium to large specimen trees and dense					
Proximit Water an		The site has access to public water and sewer from Polo Road.							
Stormwa Drainage		Staff is not aware of any existing stormwater issues at this location.					is location.		
Watershed and Overlay Districts Overlay districts				ect property is not located within a water supply watershed or listrict.					
Analysis General S Informat	Site	The site has no apparent restrictions and appears to be suitable for the proposed re-development within the NO district.							
~	_			NT ZONING H					
Case	Requ			8		Recommendation			
			& Date			Staff	ССРВ		
W-3242	RM12 NO-		Approve 11/3/201		.59	Approval	Approval		
W-2675	NO-S and to NO		Approve 3/1/2004		.97	Approval	Approval		
W-2377	RM12 to	NO-S	Approve 4/3/2000		.48	Approval	Approval		
W-2130	RM12 to		Approve 3/3/1997	7 property to west	.89	Approval	Approval		
C :				RANSPORTA					
Street Name		Classification		Frontage	Average Daily Trip Count	_	ity at Level of ervice D		
Polo Road		Minor Thoroughfare		85.89 feet 4,90		18,200			

Proposed Accoss	Access points for the	hic cite a	lready	evict N	o new access point is	
Proposed Access Point(s)	Access points for this site already exist. No new access point is proposed.					
Proposed Road	There are no road improvements proposed in conjunction with this					
Improvements						
Trip Generation -	request. Existing Zoning: NO S					
Existing/Proposed	Existing Zoning: NO-S 2.845 of hydding/1000 v. 11.01 (Conoral Office Bydding trip					
Existing/110poseu		2,845 sf. building/1000 x 11.01 (General Office Building trip generation) = 31 trips per day				
		ips per u	ay			
	Proposed Zoning: NO-S					
	<u>Proposed Zoning: NO-S</u> 2,845 sf. building/1000 x 79.26 (Day Care Center trip generation) = 225					
	2,845 sr. building/1000 x /9.26 (Day Care Center trip generation) = 225 trips per day					
Sidewalks	Sidewalks exist along the north and south side of this section of Polo					
Siucwaiks	Road.					
Transit		etone at 1	the inte	reaction	of Polo Road and Green	
Transit	Valley Road, appro					
Transportation	vancy Road, appro	DAIIIIatei	y 330 I	eet norti	neast of the site.	
Transportation	A TIA is not requir	·od				
Impact Analysis (TIA)	A TIA is not requir	.cu.				
Analysis of Site	The site is located	on Polo	Road	minor	thoroughfare with ample	
Access and	The site is located on Polo Road, a minor thoroughfare with ample capacity, and is served by public transit and sidewalks. Due to the					
Transportation					e limited intensity of the	
Information						
IIIIVI IIIativii	* *	proposed uses, staff does not foresee any transportation-related issues associated with the request.				
SITE	PLAN COMPLIAN			DO RE	OUIREMENTS	
Building	Square Foota				Placement on Site	
Square Footage	2,845 SF (exis		Sout	utheastern portion fronting Polo Road		
	building)			F		
Parking	Required	P	ropose	d	Layout	
G	10			Approximately 60-degr		
	12 spaces	12 spaces (ex		isung)		
	Maximum				parking stalls (existing)	
Building Height	Maxim	um			parking stalls (existing) Proposed	
Building Height	Maximu 40 fee			No ch		
Building Height				No ch	Proposed	
Building Height Impervious		et		No ch	Proposed nange to the existing one-story	
	40 fee	um			Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure	
Impervious	40 fee	um			Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	40 fee Maximum 60 percent	um ent	hhorho	No c	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to	40 fee Maximum 60 percuipation 4.6	um ent .1: Neigl		No c	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site the District	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	Maximum 60 percent 4.6 Section 4.6 Section 5.2	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil	ld Day	No c	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site the District	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	40 fee Maximum 60 percuipation 4.6	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil		No c	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site the District	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	Maximum 60 percent 4.6 Section 4.6 Section 5.2	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil	ld Day	No c	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site the District	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	Maximum 60 percular Section 4.6 Section 5.2 (A) Legacy 2030 policity (B) Environmental One	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil	Yes N/A	No c	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site the District	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11	Maximum 60 percent 60	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil cies: rd.	Yes N/A N/A	No cod Office Care Ce	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site te District	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11 Analysis of Site	Maximum 60 percent 60	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil cies: rd. ulations	Yes N/A N/A or a Chi	No cond Office Care Center Cen	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site enter Care Center. The existing one-	
Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with Section 3.2.11	Maximum 60 percent 60	um ent .1: Neigl .19: Chil cies: rd. ulations	Yes N/A N/A or a Chied in the	No cond Office Care Center Id Day are front a	Proposed nange to the existing one-story structure Proposed change to existing impervious coverage on-site te District	

with UDO Requirements	existing driveway. The required loading and unloading spaces will be incorporated into the existing parking lot.				
CO Legacy 2030	The required outdoor play area is proposed in the northwestern rear section of the parcel and will be enclosed by a minimum four (4) foot tall security fence. The existing bufferyards that are required are noted on the plan. The proposed site plan is compliant with UDO standards. ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES				
Growth Management Area	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods				
Relevant Legacy 2030 Recommendations	 Facilitate land use patterns that offer a variety of housing choices and convenient access to neighborhood shopping, schools, parks, and other services. Promote land use compatibility through good design and create a healthy mix of land uses in proximity to one another. Move away from separating and buffering some land uses toward transitioning and blending those uses. Promote the use of moderate-density residential and office as 				
	 transitional uses between intense business and residential uses. Encourage reuse of vacant and underutilized commercial and industrial sites. Encourage the development of a range of childcare facilities. 				
Relevant Area Plan(s)	West Suburban Area Plan Update (2018)				
Area Plan Recommendations	 Goods and services should be available near where people live and work. Both public and private community facilities such as schools, parks, medical offices, and day care providers should be easily accessible to all segments of the population. Parking should be located to the side or rear of the building where possible and screened from view of any nearby residential development. 				
Site Located Along Growth Corridor?	The site is not located along a growth corridor.				
Site Located within Activity Center?	The subject property is not located within an activity center.				
Rezoning Consideration	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the petition?				
from Section	No.				
3.2.19 A 16	Is the requested action in conformance with Legacy 2030?				
	Yes.				

Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues The request is to rezone a .97-acre tract from NO-S to NO-S to add the uses Child Day Care Center; Offices; Residential Building, Single Family; and Combined Use. The existing building, driveway and parking area will remain unchanged. A new 8,374 square-foot outdoor play area is proposed in the northwest rear portion of the site.

Although the area plan recommendation is for offices at this location, *Legacy* and the area plan include general recommendations for encouraging redevelopment and availability of childcare facilities in the community. Given the proposed design, proximity to transit, and availability of existing infrastructure, staff is supportive of this request.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The proposed rezoning is in keeping with				
the goals of <i>Legacy</i> regarding				
redevelopment of existing sites.				
The request is generally consistent with the	The request could generate additional traffic in the surrounding area.			
recommendations of the West Suburban				
Area Plan Update.	the surrounding area.			
The request would reutilize an existing				
structure which is residential in scale and				
character.				
SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL				

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR**REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3552 DECEMBER 8, 2022

Bryan Wilson presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae,

Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment.

SECOND: Walter Farabee

VOTE:

FOR: George Bryan, Walter Farabee, Clarence Lambe, Chris Leak, Mo McRae,

Salvador Patiño, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO

Director of Planning and Development Services