## **Computer End Point Support**

Business Name:		Key Services,	Inc.
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Ability to Meet All Requirements	30.00	5.00	150.00
Experience - Years in Business	20.00	5.00	100.00
Methodology-Proposed Plan of Action	10.00	3.50	35.00
Price	20.00	4.00	80.00
Final Score			465.00

Max Score = 500

Firm Name:	Valen Solutions LLC		
Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Grade	Total
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Ability to Meet All Requirements	30.00	2.50	75.00
Experience - Years in Business	20.00	4.25	85.00
Methodology-Proposed Plan of Action	10.00	2.75	27.50
Price	20.00	5.00	100.00
Final Score			387.50

Max Score = 500

MWBE Commitment	
Percent goal met or good faith effort made?	Points
Certified M/WBE Compliance- Primary Vendor (1) Submitted their M/WBE certificate in their proposal; OR (2) Will award required portion of the project to a named M/WBE certified subcontractor; OR (3) Has certified they made a good faith effort to comply but were unable to locate a qualified M/WBE subcontractor.	5
Not Qualified Vendors proposal indicated that they do not qualify for the M/WBE certification nor do they comply with the M/WBE subcontract participation requirement.	0

Ability	to	Meet A	All	Req	uirements	

Ability to Meet All Requirem	ients
Rating	Points
Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses	5
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses	4
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project's performance requirements. May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor weaknesses.	3
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully meeting the project's requirements. Significant weaknesses are demonstrated and clearly outweigh any strengths presented.	2
Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	1
Proposer has no applicable experience and proposal contains no approach to implement services	0

Methodology-Proposed Plan of	Action
Response	Points
Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses	5
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses	4
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project's performance requirements. May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor weaknesses.	3
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully meeting the project's requirements. Significant	2

Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project's performance requirements, and demonstrates no weaknesses	5
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project's requirements, and demonstrates only a few minor weaknesses	4
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project's performance requirements. May contain significant weaknesses and/or a number of minor weaknesses.	3
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of successfully meeting the project's requirements. Significant weaknesses are demonstrated and clearly outweigh any strengths presented.	2
Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a strong likelihood of failure to meet the project's requirements	1
Proposer has no applicable experience and proposal contains no approach to deliver a successful implementation of the services	0

Experience - Years in Busin	ess
Response	Points
Superior: More than 15 years in business	5
Above Average: More than 10 years and less than 15 years in business	4
Average: More than 5 years and less than 10 years in business	3
Below Average: More than 1 year and less than 5 years in business	2
Poor: Less than 1 year in business	0

Points
_
5
4
3
2
0