CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PETITION INFORMATION			
Docket	W-3665			
Staff	Michelle O'Brien			
Petitioner(s)	Parker Real Estate and Development, LLC			
Owner(s)	Same			
Subject Property	Portion of PIN#: 6803-67-7774			
Address	115 Densmore Street			
Type of Request	Special Use Rezoning			
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Map for the subject property from (Residential Single-Family - 9,000 square-foot minimum lot size) to RM5-S (Residential Multifamily – maximum of 5 units per acre).			
	Residential Building, Twin Home			
	Residential Building, Single Family			
	Residential Building, Duplex			
	Residential Building, Townhouse			
	Residential Building, Multifamily			
	Cottage Court			
	Planned Residential Development			
Neighborhood	A summary of the petitioner's neighborhood outreach is attached.			
Contact/Meeting				
Zoning District	The RM-5 District is primarily intended to accommodate low density,			
Purpose	pedestrian-oriented sites and communities containing duplexes, twin			
Statement	homes, multifamily, and townhouse residential buildings containing			
	between three and six units, and similar residential uses at a maximum			
	overall density of five units per acre. 2. This district is intended for			
	GMAs 2 and 3 and may be suitable for GMA 4 and Activity Centers			
	where public facilities, including public water and sewer, public roads,			
Dozonina	parks, and other governmental support services, are available.			
Rezoning Consideration	Is the proposal consistent with the purpose statement(s) of the requested zoning district(s)?			
from Section	Yes, the site will contain twin homes, is in GMA 3, and has access to			
3.2.19 A 16	existing public infrastructure. This site is near Stratford Road, a			
3.2.17 11 10	designated growth corridor.			
	GENERAL SITE INFORMATION			
Location	North side of Densmore Street, between South Stratford Road and Brandywine Road.			
Jurisdiction	Winston-Salem			
Ward(s)	Southwest			
Site Acreage	± 2.03			
Current	The current use of the site includes a portion of a non-conforming dirt			
Land Use	storage operation along with a single-family dwelling located on the site.			

Surround	ding	Dir	ection		Zoning Di	strict		Use	
Property Zoning		N	orth		RS9			conforming dirt	
and Use								operation.	
			outh		RS9			amily homes	
			East		HB-S			eloped land	
			Vest		RS9			amily homes	
Rezoning		Is/are the use(s) permitted under the proposed classification/request							
Consider		compatible with uses permitted on other properties in the vicinity?							
from Section 3.2.19 A 16 Yes, the proposed residential land uses are compatible uses permitted on the adjacent properties.					compatible wi	th the allowed			
Physical Characte	eristics	The subject property is relatively flat and partially cleared. A portion of the site is currently used for a dirt storage operation and contains a single-family dwelling.							
Proximit Water an	•	The site has access to public water and sewer.							
Stormwa		The si	ite plan de	pict	s a single sto	rmwater m	anagement de	vice in the	
Drainage								the minimum	
···-g							vater manager		
		_					impacts as a 1		
		develo	opment.	-			-		
Watershed and The site is not located within a water supply watershed or overla				roverlay					
Overlay Districts district.									
A 1						11			
Analysis		The subject property is primarily cleared and has a slight downward							
*			ope towards the northwest corner of the site. A portion of the site						
IIIIVI IIIauvii		currently contains a legally non-conforming dirt storage operation. A single-family dwelling exists on the frontage of Densmore street and is							
			proposed to be removed.						
	proposed to be followed.								
			RELEVA	NT	ZONING I	HISTORIE	S		
Case	Reque	st	Decision	&	Direction	Acreage	Recom	mendation	
			Date		from Site		Staff	ССРВ	
W-3618	RS9 to G	B-L Approve 8/19/202			North	0.67	Denial	Approval	
W-3529	3529 RS9 to RM5-S		Approved 11/1/2022		West	87.73	Approval	Approval	
	SITE	ACCE	SS AND	TRA	ANSPORTA	TION INF	FORMATIO	N	
Street	Street Name		Classification		Street	Frontage	Average	Capacity at	
					Iaintenance		Daily Trip Count	Level of Service D	
Densmore	e Street	Loca	al Street		WSDOT 400'		N/A	N/A	
Proposed	Access		The site plan does not propose any new access points; all vehicular						
Point(s) access will be provided via Densmore Street.									

Proposed Road	No proposed road improvements will be required for this project.					
Improvements						
Trip Generation - Existing/Proposed	Existing Zoning: RS9 • 2.03 acres/9,000 sq ft= 9 potential homes x 9.57 (single family detached housing trip rate) = 86.13 trips per day					
	Proposed Zoning: RM5-S • 10 units x 5.81 (residential townhouse/twin home trip rate) = 58.1 trips per day					
Sidewalks					along Densmore Street.	
Transit	WSTA Route 102 stops at the northwest corner of Hillcrest Center Drive and South Stratford Road, approximately one-half of a mile north of the subject property.					
Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA)	A TIA was not required as part of this request.					
Analysis of Site	All proposed twin	homes wi	ill hav	e access to	Densmore Street, where no	
Access and					e development is estimated	
Transportation					er the existing RS9 zoning.	
Information	There are no sidewalks that exist or are being proposed along Densmore					
CUTE	Street.		THE TAIL	DO DEOI	IIDEN/EN/EC	
	PLAN COMPLIANCE WITH UDO REQUIREMENTS					
D:1.1:	Carrana East	~~~		DL	Sameant on Cita	
Building Square Footoge	Square Foots		A 11		acement on Site	
Square Footage	18,300 (10 units	total)		buildings f	Front along Densmore Street	
Square Footage Units (by type)	18,300 (10 units	total)	e total	buildings f		
Square Footage	18,300 (10 units	total) its in five	e total	buildings f buildings a s per acre	Front along Densmore Street	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un	total) its in five	e total units	buildings f buildings a s per acre	Front along Densmore Street at a density of 4.92 dwelling	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required	total) its in five	e total units Propos	buildings f buildings a s per acre	Tront along Densmore Street at a density of 4.92 dwelling Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18	total) its in five	e total units Propos	buildings f buildings a s per acre	Cront along Densmore Street at a density of 4.92 dwelling Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximo 40' Maximo	total) its in five F um	e total units Propos	buildings f buildings a s per acre	Tront along Densmore Street at a density of 4.92 dwelling Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximo 40°	total) its in five F um	e total units Propos	buildings f buildings a s per acre	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20'	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximo 40' Maximo	total) its in five P um	e total units Propos 20	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86%	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 40' Maximum 70% • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2	total) its in five r um .11: RM71: Resid	e total units Propos 20 5 Zonidential	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed ng District Building,	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 40' Maximum 70% • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 or Twin Home	um .11: RM71: Resignme Use-S	e total units Propos 20 5 Zoni dential Specific	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 40' Maximum 70% • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 or Twin Home units • Chapter 6:	um .11: RM71: Resignme Use-S	e total units Propos 20 5 Zoni dential Specification	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 40' Maximum 70% • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 or Twin Home	um .11: RM71: Resignme Use-S	e total units Propos 20 5 Zoni dential Specific	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 40' Maximum 70% • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 or Twin Home units • Chapter 6:	um .11: RM71: Resignme Use- Developrolicies:	e total units Propos 20 5 Zoni dential Specification	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 70% • Section 4.5 • Section 5.2 or Twin Home units • Chapter 6: (A) Forward 2045 po	um .11: RM71: Residence Use-Speveloprolicies:	e total units Propos 20 5 Zoni dential Specifi ment S Yes	buildings f buildings a s per acre ed	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	
Square Footage Units (by type) and Density Parking Building Height Impervious Coverage UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Request Complies with	18,300 (10 units Ten twin home un Required 18 Maximum 40' Maximum 70% Section 4.5 Section 5.2 or Twin Home units (A) Forward 2045 po (B) Environmental Omega or in the site plan proper oriented towards Described in the site plan proper oriented in the s	um .11: RM71: Resignme Use- Developme Use- policies: prd. pulations Densmore	total units Propos 20 5 Zonidential Specifiment S Yes Yes N/A ew res Street	buildings for buildings as per acre ed Ing District Building, ic Standards idential two. There is 1	Layout Parking spaces provided at each unit via garages and individual driveways Proposed 20' Proposed 32.86% t Multifamily; Townhouse;	

with UDO Requirements	development pattern. The plan proposes to manage stormwater with a single device in the northwest portion of the site. The plan complies with				
Requirements	all applicable UDO requirements.				
00	NATE ODMITTY TO DE AND AND DE ANNINO EQUIES				
	ONFORMITY TO PLANS AND PLANNING ISSUES				
Forward 2045 Growth	Growth Management Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods				
Management	Growth Wallagement Area 3 – Suburban Neighborhoods				
Area					
Relevant	Encourage the development of housing that integrates residents				
Forward 2045	of multiple income levels throughout Winston-Salem and				
Recommendations	Forsyth County.				
	Prioritize and potentially incentivize denser, mixed-use, urban				
	development patterns along growth corridors.				
Relevant Area	Southwest Suburban Area Plan Update (2015)				
Plan(s)	Zamini est anomi anti in cui i contra a pante (2010)				
Area Plan	The proposed land use map recommends low-density attached				
Recommendations	residential (0-8 dwelling units/acre) for the site.				
	Generally, low-density attached residential land use is				
	recommended for sites greater than two acres that are most				
	appropriately developed with multifamily, townhouses, twin				
	homes, duplex, triplex, or quad units.				
	Develop a variety of housing types and densities for different				
	ages, income levels, family sizes, and personal preferences,				
	particularly when developing large parcels of land along				
	transportation corridors.				
Site Located	The site is located along the South Stratford Road Growth Corridor.				
Along Growth	_				
Corridor?					
Site Located	This site is not located within an activity center.				
within Activity					
Center?					
Rezoning	Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in the				
Consideration	petition?				
from Section	Yes. There has been a substantial amount of development and				
3.2.19 A 16	redevelopment along the South Stratford Road corridor and in southwest				
	Winston-Salem. There are limited greenfield areas available for future				
	residential development in the area.				
	Is the requested action in conformance with Forward 2045?				
	Yes				
Analysis of	The request is to rezone an approximately 2.03-acre site from RS9 to				
Conformity to	RM5-S to allow for a 10-unit twin home development.				
Plans and	•				
Planning Issues	This request aligns with the general recommendations of <i>Forward 2045</i>				
	to integrate a mixture of housing types with access to services, while				
	promoting compact development patterns along designated growth				

corridors to attenuate suburban sprawl. Additionally, the request is consistent with the Area Plan recommendation for low-density attached residential development at this location.

The site is located along the South Stratford Road Growth Corridor and has excellent access to an array of services and employment centers. The proposed development would add needed housing units that are in character with the surrounding neighborhood while offering a diversity of housing types.

CONCLUSIONS TO ASSIST WITH RECOMMENDATION				
Positive Aspects of Proposal	Negative Aspects of Proposal			
The request is consistent with the	Sidewalks are not currently present or proposed			
recommendations of Forward 2045 and the	along Densmore Street.			
Southwest Suburban Area Plan Update.				
The request would provide needed				
additional infill housing at a comparable				
density to the existing RS9 zoning.				
The request would provide additional				
housing with direct access to a growth				
corridor in the serviceable land area.				

SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions are proposed from interdepartmental review comments to meet established standards or to reduce negative off-site impacts:

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE PERMITS:

- a. The developer shall obtain a residential infrastructure permit from the City of Winston-Salem; additional improvements or fee-in-lieu may be required prior to issuance of the residential infrastructure permits.
- b. Developer shall submit a stormwater management study submitted for review by the City of Winston-Salem. If required, an engineered stormwater management plan shall be submitted and approved by the City of Winston-Salem.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS:

- a. Developer shall record a tentative plat in the office of the Register of Deeds. The plat shall show tentative building locations and all access and utility easements.
- b. The proposed building plans shall be in substantial conformance with the submitted elevations as verified by Planning staff.

• PRIOR TO THE SIGNING OF PLATS:

a. All documents including covenants, restrictions, and homeowners' association agreements shall be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds.

• PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE(S) OF OCCUPANCY:

- a. Developer shall complete all requirements of the infrastructure permit(s).
- b. Buildings shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the approved building elevations as verified by Planning staff.
- c. Any required "as-built" plats shall be recorded with the Register of Deeds.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

<u>NOTE</u>: These are **staff comments** only; the City-County Planning Board makes <u>final</u> recommendations, and <u>final action</u> is taken by the appropriate Elected Body, which may approve, deny, continue, or request modification to any request. **THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.**

CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES FOR W-3665 OCTOBER 9, 2025

Bryan Wilson presented the staff report.

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR:

Steve Causey, the petitioner and representative for the developer.

• Mr. Causey provided a brief overview of the proposed plan.

AGAINST:

David Dinkens

• Mr. Dinkens expressed his concerns regarding the proposed rezoning request, citing potential increases in traffic on nearby neighborhood streets.

Jim Sullivan

• Mr. Sullivan shared similar concerns about traffic impacts on nearby streets.

WORK SESSION

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended that the Planning Board find that the request is

consistent with the comprehensive plan.

SECOND: Salvador Patiño

VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Haley Gingles, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Salvador Patiño,

Dixon Pitt, Lindsey Schwab, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

MOTION: Clarence Lambe recommended approval of the ordinance amendment.

SECOND: Salvador Patiño

VOTE:

FOR: Walter Farabee, Haley Gingles, Jason Grubbs, Clarence Lambe, Salvador Patiño,

Dixon Pitt, Lindsey Schwab, Brenda Smith, Jack Steelman

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

Mr. Murphy noted that compliance with applicable ordinance requirements would be necessary and that adequate plans must be submitted as part of the permitting process.

Chris Murphy, AICP/CZO

Director of Planning and Development Services