Project - RFQ-Prof Services - WLGC Improvements REBID

Location of Business	
Location	**Points**
Within Winston-Salem	5
Within North Carolina	3
Outside of North Carolina	0

Relevant Project Experience	
Level	**Points**
5 or more projects identical or nearly so to ours in scope	5
5 or more very similar projects	4
3-4 similar projects	3
1-2 similar projects	2
1-2 Relevant Projects	1

Understanding of Project	
Level	**Points**
Superior: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates that it will meet the project needs	5
Above Average: Proposer fully addresses all aspects of the criterion, convincingly demonstrates a likelihood of meeting the project needs	4
Average: Proposer addresses all aspects of the criterion and demonstrates the ability to meet the project needs	3
Below Average: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion nor is evidence presented indicating the likelihood of success	2
Poor: Proposer does not address all aspects of the criterion and the information presented indicates a likelihood of failure	0

Staff Availability	
Level	**Points**
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	5
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	4
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	3
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	1
Superior: proposer maintained an exceptional working relationship with the City's project managers and/or private entities project managers. They communicated issues well in advance with all parties efficiently, got project completed on ahead of schedule, within budget, and how they said it look/turnout in the proposal.	0

Firm Name:	Arnold Palmer Golf Design		
Evaluation Criteria	**Weight**	**Grade**	**Total**
MWBE Commitment	20.00	5.00	100.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Relevant Project Experience	25.00	3.33	83.33
Understanding of Project	25.00	4.67	116.67
Staff Availability	10.00	4.33	43.33
Final Score			**343.3**
	100.00		**Max Score = 500**

Firm Name:	Richard Mandell Golf Architecture		
Evaluation Criteria	**Weight**	**Grade**	**Total**
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Relevant Project Experience	25.00	5.00	125.00
Understanding of Project	25.00	5.00	125.00
Staff Availability	10.00	4.67	46.67
Final Score			**296.7**
	100.00		**Max Score = 500**

Firm Name:	Oliphant Golf		
Evaluation Criteria	**Weight**	**Grade**	**Total**
MWBE Commitment	20.00	0.00	0.00
Business Location	20.00	0.00	0.00
Relevant Project Experience	25.00	3.33	83.33
Understanding of Project	25.00	4.17	104.17
Staff Availability	10.00	3.67	36.67
Final Score			**224.2**
	100.00		**Max Score = 500**