

## II. **ENGINEERING**

1.     X     Ownership Verified

2.     X     Property Description Verified

3.     X     Acreage Map Attached

4.     X     Property Description Verified for Closure

5. Date Received 6-13-25 Date Completed 6-25-25

Signature: Micah Dishman



14.74 ACRES

### III. PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1. Zoning Designation: MU-S 2. Zoning Conditions: See Rezoning Case F-1608

- 
3. Is there an approved Development Plan for this property? Explain. Yes. There is a concept plan tied to the rezoning.

The submitted preliminary plat proposed 13 lots which is consistent with the rezoning concept plan.

4. Public Streets: Yes ☒ No      5. Number of Linear Feet Approximately 975 linear feet proposed  
6. Average market value per unit \$ Unkown  
7. Current tax value of land \$ Unable to Estimate 8. Projected Population: Unknown.  
9. Impact on overall Annexation Plan: No Annexation Plan Exists

- 
10. Date Received: 6/13/2025 Date Completed: 6/23/2025 Signature: Bj D. Wiber

## IV. FIRE DEPARTMENT

1 Estimated Response Time

2 "First-in" Engine Company

3 Are hydrant distribution and available water adequate for fire suppression? Yes No

Comments:

4 Are vertical and horizontal clearances adequate for fire suppression vehicles? Yes No

Comments:

5 Are weight limitations (bridges, culverts, causeways, etc.) adequate for fire suppression vehicles? Yes No

Comments:

6 Is proposed or existing land-use suitable for existing fire -department capabilities? Yes No

Comments:

7 Impact on existing resources?

8 Estimated Arrival Time of Effective Response Force to moderate risk structure fire

9 Estimated Arrival time of Effective Response Force to cardiac arrests

10 Is the fire department able to meet NFPA 1710 response standards? Yes No

11 Additional Comments:

Date Received:

Date Completed:

Signature: *David S. Emery*

## V. POLICE DEPARTMENT

1. Response Time 5 min

2. Potential traffic problems? There shouldn't be any significant traffic issues.

---

3. Will the annexation of this area pose any special problems (i.e., is it visible from the street, is it accessible, etc.)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

---

4. Can this area be incorporated into the existing beat structure?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

---

5. Impact on existing resources? There should be no significant impact on existing resources.

---

6. Additional Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

---

7. Date Received: 06/24/25 Date Completed: 07/03/25 Signature: CPT James B. Keller

## VI. SANITATION DIVISION

1. Do the streets in the area exceed the grade requirement (less than 12%) for collection? Yes ☒ No

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Will bulk container service be required Yes ☒ No

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Will containers be accessible according to the City Code requirements? ☒ Yes No

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Can we incorporate this area into our existing route structure? ☒ Yes No

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Can we provide all services according to City Code requirements?

Services:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments:
Household Refuse Collection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Leaf Collection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Annual Bulky Item Collection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Curbside Recycling Collection				?
Optional: Brush Collection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

6. Additional Comments: The Sanitation department is not over recycling.

7. Date Received: 6-16-25

Date Completed: 6-16-25

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



## VII. BUDGET OFFICE

1. Revenue Estimation: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Expenditure Estimation: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Date Received \_\_\_\_\_ Date Completed \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: Scott De